not the same as professional humanistic intelligence. Let us call this new form of intelligence we seek 'intelligent subjectivity.'" By intelligent subjectivity, Novak means "something like the bent, cast, direction of a human's capacity for noticing or for raising questions.... It is a quizzical regard." Such a bent "will be 'intelligent' because it will be aware of alternatives.... It will be a form of 'subjectivity' rather than a form of 'objectivity' because it will be based on changes brought about in its subject's consciousness and ways of perceiving." It will stress the uniqueness of each individual, hence "will not be easy to routinize or to quantify." Novak has no inkling of operant possibilities and of the needless bifurcation between science and subjectivity, but his observations represent a refreshing departure from the norm. They are to be found in "The liberation of imagination: The place of intelligent subjectivity in health care education," Man and Medicine, 1975, 1, 95-107.

Q BIBLIOGRAPHIC UPDATE (CONTINUED)

Additional references appear in previous issues of this newsletter, and in "Bibliography on Q technique and its methodology," Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1968, 26, 587-613 (available upon request).

- Liebrand, W. Maslow's hiërarchische rangschikking van fundamentele behoeften. [Maslow's hierarchical rank-ordering of fundamental needs] Nederlands Tijdschrift voor de Psychologie en haar Grensgebieden [Dutch], 1977, 32, 67-80.
- Meier, C.A. & M.A. Wozny. An empirical study of Jungian typology. Journal of Analytical Psychology, 1978, 23, 226-230.
- Talbot, V.R. Review of, W. Stephenson, The play theory of mass communication. Journal of Communication, 1969, 19, 82-83.
- Tatom, M.H. A factorial isolation of psychiatric out-patient syndromes. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1958, 22, 73-81.

- Thomas, D.B. Radicals and moderates: Psychological issues in students' response to Kent State. Political Science Discussion Papers (Kent State University), 1971, 3(1), 55-78.
- Thomas, D.B. Exploring the personality-ideology interface: Q-sort consideration of Tomkins' polarity theory. Experimental Study of Politics, 1976, 5(3), 47-87.
- Thomas, D.B. Political belief systems and ideo-affective resonance: The structuring principle revisited. Experimental Study of Politics, 1978, 6(3), 34-89.
- Torgerson, W.S. & B.F. Green, Jr. The factor analysis of subject-matter experts. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1952, 43, 354-363.
- Trotter, M.J. & W.E. Fordyce. A process for physical therapist student selection: The Q-technique. Physical Therapy, 1975, 55, 151-156.
- Truax, C.B. & R.R. Carkhuff. Toward effective counseling and psychotherapy: Training and practice. Chicago: Aldine, 1967.
- Truax, C.B., W.J. Schuldt & D.G. Wargo. Self-ideal concept congruence and improvement in group psychotherapy. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 1968, 32, 47-53.
- Truax, C.B., D.G. Wargo, R.R. Carkhuff, F. Kodman, Jr., & E.A. Moles. Changes in self-concepts during group psychotherapy as a function of alternate sessions and vicarious therapy pretraining in institutionalized mental patients and juvenile delinquents. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1966, 30, 309-314.
- Tyler, L.L. The concept of an ideal teacher-student relationship. Journal of Educational Research, 1964, 58, 112-117.
- Ungs, T.D. & L.R. Baas. Judicial role perceptions: A Q-technique study of Ohio judges. Law and Society Review, 1972, 6, 343-366.
- Urich, T.R. A Q-sort analysis of attitudes of school personnel in Iowa toward collective negotiations. Journal of Educational Research, 1969, 63, 74-77.