

RESEARCH IN PROGRESS:

IMAGES OF THE VIDEO GAMEROOM

Project Directors: Thomas J. Mickey and David Emerick, Communications Department, New England College, Henniker, NH 03242.

Based on theories of imagery and dramatic communication, Mickey and Emerick examine perceptions of the video gameroom at New England College as a prelude to a public relations campaign, under the assumption that "the more the campaign can be formed in keeping with the public images..., the more likely will the Gameroom serve the public."

The Q-sample structure in the accompanying table also provided the structure for a series of 15 focus-interview questions--e.g., "What do you think goes into setting up a gameroom such as the one in the Student Center?" (act/behind the scenes), "What does the gameroom mean to you as an individual?" (actor/

INTERVIEW AND Q-SAMPLE STRUCTURE

A. Sociodramatic perspectives	(a) behind the scenes (c) outside the theater	(b) on stage (audience)
B. Elements of drama	(d) act (g) agency	(e) actors (h) purpose
	(f) scene	

Combinations:

(ad) 15, 40, 43	(bd) 2, 6, 23, 27
(ae) 33, 38, 42, 48	(be) 11, 13, 20, 21, 22, 44
(af) 8, 39	(bf) 10, 29, 37, 45, 46
(ag) 18, 25	(bg) 4, 7, 32, 36
(ah) 17, 19	(bh) 1, 3, 28, 31
(cd) 9, 16, 24	(cg) ---
(ce) 12, 14, 26	(ch) 30, 41
(cf) 5, 34, 35, 47	

audience), "What kind of reputation does the gameroom have around here?" (scene/community outside), and "What purpose does the gameroom serve to you?" (purpose/audience). The concourse which was induced in the process led to the Q sample reported below.

In a preliminary study, $n=12$ Q sorts were obtained from a P set composed of students, staff and faculty, and revealed three images: The *Challenge* image ("It takes some sort of skill and coordination to play," and "There ought to be more tournaments"), with emphasis on the scene element; the *Wasteful* image ("I think video games are a waste of money"), with emphasis on the act; and the *Relaxation* image ("Video games can be relaxing," and "I use the games more as a break"), which emphasizes behind-the-scenes and on-stage levels. Hence each factor represents a different drama enacted by the subjects holding each of the images.

Selected Bibliography

- Boulding, K. *The image*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1956.
- Duncan, H.D. *Communication and social order*. London: Oxford University Press, 1962.
- Nimmo, D. *Popular images of politics*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1974.

VIDEO GAMEROOM Q SAMPLE ($N = 48$)

(1) Video games are a good excuse not to do something. (2) They are better than going to the Pub and having a beer. (3) I use the games more as a break. (4) If you go and look at the game long enough, you have to play. (5) NEC is behind the times in video games. (6) The games are very active. (7) Word-of-mouth is the normal way to let people know about the gameroom. (8) The student that comes to NEC is used to having this kind of recreational facility. (9) We are expected, as a College, to have video games. (10) The hours that the gameroom is open are good.

(11) Faculty are scared to go into the gameroom.

(12) Older people who didn't grow up with video games tend to see them as evil. (13) Students are addicted to those machines in the gameroom. (14) It's better to have video games and people socializing there than seeing students in their rooms drinking. (15) There are a lot of video games that relate to war so the games in general are more attractive to men than women. (16) A lot of people who were not brought up on video games think they are bad. (17) The gameroom is another recreational outlet for the students. (18) I think video games are a waste of money. (19) Video games can be relaxing. (20) I don't like the games because I'm not good at them.

(21) People are competitive in video games. They compete against themselves and their friends. (22) The underachiever is more likely to be involved in video games. (23) The games are something you can put a time limit on. (24) Sometimes you find yourself talking about the games outside the gameroom and you realize how stupid the whole thing is. (25) It takes some sort of skill and coordination to play. (26) When people start watching, you start to play better. (27) The whole thing is competitiveness. (28) The recognition in getting your name on the screen is important. (29) The gameroom is a place to meet. (30) The games are a break in the day.

(31) When I'm frustrated, I'll just drop in there. (32) I heard about the gameroom by word-of-mouth. (33) They could bring in twice the money if they had more games. (34) I would just as soon walk downtown than wait. (35) Pinball isn't so popular. (36) Some places have five games for a dollar, which is nice. I would rather spend a dollar than a couple of quarters here or there. (37) I like playing in the dark with just music. (38) The gameroom is good because all college students are there. (39) There ought to be more tournaments. (40) With video you can notice the improvement in the score quickly.

(41) What I like is the competition with yourself and your friends rather than sitting and watching soaps. (42) I feel sorry for the people who work in the gameroom. I'd spend all the money I'd make. (43) Once you get into it, it's hard to stop. (44) All

types of students play the games in the gameroom. (45) The gameroom atmosphere is bad. It's just a room with machines. (46) The gameroom is convenient. (47) With the gameroom you don't have to fight with the townies like you do in the ones downtown. (48) The gameroom attendants are doing a good job.

NEWS, NOTES & COMMENT

Recent and Forthcoming Scholarship

William Stephenson, "Q-Methodology and English Literature," in Charles Cooper (Ed.), *Researching Response to Literature and the Teaching of Literature* (Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corp.). Publication of this 16-chapter volume is tentatively scheduled for June 1, 1983, as part of the Discourse Processes Series; tentative prices are \$35 cloth, \$17 paper. In his chapter, Stephenson begins with the assumption that "the primary step in understanding literature from the subjective standpoint is to study it as immediate experience," whereupon he introduces the reader to concourse theory, a theory of meaning, Q technique, and factor analysis, using for an illustration Keats' "Ode on a Grecian Urn." This paper is a replacement for Stephenson's earlier "Q-Methodology and the Subjectivity of Literature," which was delivered at the 1977 Buffalo Conference on Researching Response to Literature and the Teaching of Literature (see *Operant Subjectivity*, 1978, 1, 85-86), and which was judged "too abstract" for literature students and researchers who might wish to employ Q in their own studies on literary effect. The original paper was published in *Operant Subjectivity*, 1980, 3, 111-133. Further details can be obtained from the volume editor, Professor Charles Cooper, Department of Literature, C-005, University of California-San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093.

William Stephenson, "Quantum Theory and Q-Methodology: Fictionalistic and Probabilistic Theories Conjoined," *Psychological Record*, forthcoming. *Abstract*: The close parallels between quantum and factor theor-