

## ***Operant Subjectivity Subject Index, Volumes 1-35<sup>§</sup>***

### ***Advertising***

- Choi, Y.J. 2010. The ideal female body image: A Q approach to the third-person effect. 33(3-4): 129-148.
- Kim, H.K. 1997. Q methodology and creation of advertising message: An example of coffee. 20(1-2): 28-42.
- Mauldin, C.R. 1980. Closing the gap between communication research and communication. 3(3): 83-100.
- Mauldin, C.R. 1990. A segmentation study of attitudes about advertising. 4(1): 20-33.

### ***Affirmative Action***

- DeCourville, N.H. and Hafer, C.L. 2001. Attitudes toward affirmative action programs: A Q methodological study. 24(4): 183-200.

### ***Arts and Culture***

- Buehner, T.M. 2011. College student preferences for trendy versus classic typefaces. 35(1): 1-36.
- Hoss, M.M. and Kinsey, D.F. 2003. Instinctive influence: An exploration of the influences of opinion leaders on buyers of original fine art. 26(3): 112-131.
- Sherman, R., Blanchard, C.L., and Kagel, K.A. 1995. Local theater attendance: A scientific approach to audience recruitment. 18(3-4): 108-120.
- Stephenson, W. 2005. Theory and methodology for esthetics. 28(1-2): 13-32.
- Waterton, E. 2006. The meaning of 'heritage': Mapping discursive perspectives with Q methodology. 29(3-4): 138-181.

### ***Audience Analysis***

- Kanihan, S.F. and Kinsey, D.F. 1997. Political involvement: Characteristics and categories. 20(3-4): 97-116.
- McCormack, U. 1998. First-time voting in the UK 1997 general election. 21(1-2): 49-61.
- Patterson, J. 1982. A dissection of attitudes about science. 5(2): 58-76.
- Sylvester, J.L. 1996. Post-verdict attitudes toward the O.J. Simpson murder trial: The LSU study. 19(3-4): 105-15.

---

<sup>§</sup> N.B.: In this index hyphenation in terms containing Q is that of the original publication.  
*Operant Subjectivity: The International Journal of Q Methodology*, 2012, 35(4): 183-214

Thomas, D.B., McBride, A., and Baas, L.R. 1996. Contrary convictions: Race and subjectivity in public opinion on the O.J. Simpson criminal trial. 19(3-4): 58-84.

### ***Behavioral Analysis***

- Delprato, D.J. and Brown, S.R. 2002. Q Methodology and the operant construct. 25(3-4): 139-147.
- Brown, S.R. 2002. Subjective behavior analysis. 25(3-4): 148-163.
- Lipgar, R.M. 2002. Behavior under conditions of uncertainty: Empirical probes of subjective probability. 25(3-4): 164-176.
- Midgley, B.D. and Morris, E.K. 2002. Subjectivity and behaviorism: Skinner, Kantor, and Stephenson. 25(3-4): 127-138.
- Smith, N.W. 2002. Commentary on papers on operantcy. 25(3-4): 177-180.

### ***Bibliographic Updates***

- Brown, S.R.. 1978-93. Q bibliographic update: A continuation of "Bibliography on Q technique and its methodology."
- Volume 1: (1): 17-26; (2): 53-58; (3): 88-94; (4): 126-129.
- Volume 2: (1): 33-36; (2): 63-67; (3): 106-107; (4): 138-142.
- Volume 3: (1): 36; (2): 70-72; (3): 104-108; (4): 140-141.
- Volume 4: (1): 35-6; (2): 72; (3): 94-100; (4): 135-137.
- Volume 5: (1): 34-6; (2): 84-88; (3): 123-124; (4): 164.
- Volume 6: (1): 36; (2): 71-72; (3): fc<sup>‡</sup> and bc; (4): fc and 143-144.
- Volume 7: (1): fc and 35-37; (2): fc and 72-bc; (3): fc and 107-108; (4): fc.
- Volume 8: (1): fc and bc; (2): 72; (3): 96 and 108-bc; (4): 139-140.
- Volume 9: (1): fc and 35-bc; (2): 71-72; (3): 101 and bc; (4): 139-140.
- Volume 10: (1): 34-36; (2): fc and bc; (3): 108-bc; (4): 143.
- Volume 11: (1): fc and 35-36; (2): fc and bc; (3): 104.
- Volume 12: (1-2): 53-55; (3-4): 120-123.
- Volume 13: (1): 34-6; (3): 138-9; (4): 187-8.
- Volume 14: (1): 48; (2): 88-bc.
- Volume 15: (1): 27-28; (2): 64-bc.
- Volume 16: (1-2): 63-68; (3-4): 150-152.
- Volume 26: (2): 78-97.
- Volume 26: (3): 132-136.

---

<sup>‡</sup> "bc" refers to inside back cover, "fc" refers to inside front cover.

**Book Reviews**

- Arwood, T.W. 1988. Review of *Peirce's Theory of Scientific Discovery: A System of Logic Conceived as Semiotic*, by R. Tursman. 12(1-2): 45-48.
- Barchak, L.J. 1984. Review of *Science and Subjectivity*, by I. Scheffler. 8(1): 18-21.
- Barchak, L.J. 1986. Review of *Max Weber's Insights and Errors*, by S. Andreski. 9(3): 102-107.
- Byrd, K. 2001. Review of *Social Discourse and Environmental Policy: An Application of Q Methodology*, H. Addams and J. Proops, eds. 24(3): 154-158.
- Brown, S.R. 1986. Review of *Data, Instruments, and Theory: A Dialectical Approach to Understanding Science*, by R.J. Ackermann. 9(3): 107-108.
- Carlson, J.M. 1993. Review of *Politics at the Periphery: Third Parties in Two Party America*, by J.D. Gillespie. 16(3-4): 147-150.
- Cottle, C. 1985. Review of *A Celebration of Subjective Thought*, by J.A. Diefenbeck. 9(1): 25-27.
- Cottle, C.E. 1988. Review of *The Social Construction of Lesbianism*, by C. Kitzinger. 11(4): 118-120.
- Dennis, K.E. 1984. Review of *The Experience of Old Age: Stress, Coping, and Survival*, by M.A. Lieberman and S.S. Tobin. 7(2): 67-69.
- Dryzek, J.S. 1991. Review of *Socialism, Communism and Liberation Theology in Brazil: An Opinion Survey Using Q Methodology*, by N.P. Peritore. 14(3): 122-123.
- Dryzek, J.S. 1993. Review of *Democratic Values and Technological Choices*, by S. Hill. 16(1-2): 60-62.
- Gallivan, J. 1992. Review of *Feminist Methods in Social Research*, by S. Reinhartz. 15(4): 116-118.
- Gillespie, J.D. 1991. Review of *Discursive Democracy: Politics, Policy, and Political Science*, by J.S. Dryzek. 14(3): 123-127.
- Kitzinger, C. and Stanton Rogers, R. 1985. Review of *Changing the Subject: Psychology, Social Regulation and Subjectivity*, by J. Henriques, W. Holloway, C. Urwin, C. Venn, and V. Walkerdine. 8(3): 97-100.
- Lichtenstein, P.E. 1989. Review of *New Ideas in Therapy: Introduction to an Interdisciplinary Approach*, D.H. Ruben and D.J. Delprato, eds. 13(1): 23-25.
- Lipschultz, J.H. 1991. Review of *Descent into Subjectivity: Studies of Rawls, Dworkin and Unger in the Context of Modern Thought*, by C.F. Murphy, Jr. 14(3): 127-130.

- Mauldin, C.R. 1990. Review of *Peirce's Approach to Self: A Semiotic Perspective on Human Subjectivity*, by V.M. Colapietro. 13(4): 175–178.
- McKeown, B.F. and Thomas, D.B. 1989. Rejoinder to Stephenson. 12(3–4): 107–109.
- Mrtek, R.G. 1992. Review of *Explaining Health and Illness: An Exploration of Diversity*, by W. Stainton Rogers. 15(3): 81–84.
- Rohrbaugh, M. 1984. Review of *Black and White Identity Formation*, by S.T. Hauser and E. Kasendorf. 7(2): 70–72.
- Stephenson, W. 1985. Review of *Structures of Subjectivity: Exploration in Psychonalytic Phenomenology*, by G.E. Atwood and R.D. Stolorow. 8(3): 100–108.
- Stephenson, W. 1989. Review of *Q Methodology*, by B. McKeown, and D. Thomas. 12(3–4): 103–107.

### ***Brenner Outstanding Paper Award\*\****

- Baker, M.A. 2012. Examining the agricultural education fishbowl: Understanding perceptions of agricultural education stakeholders in higher education. 35(2): 74–101.
- Baptiste, L.J.C. 2011. What educators learn when they evaluate students. 34(2): 104–123.
- Deignan, T. 2012. A novel way to develop policy and practice. 35(2): 102–128.
- Ramlo, S. 2011. A note on the Don Brenner Outstanding Paper Award. 34(2): 100–101.

### ***Career Studies***

- Allgood, E. and Kvalsund, R. 2000. Career counseling experiences in Norway: A Q methodological study. 23(2): 74–91.
- Slavet, J. and Butterfield, D.A. 1999. Women's career advancement and the glass ceiling in the financial services industry. 23(1): 9–30.

### ***Children and Young People***

- Ellingsen, I.T. 2011. Designing a Q sample for a study with adolescent foster children. 34(3): 125–145.
- Endorf, M.G. and Clyde, R.W. 1993. Adolescent female views of values education. 16(3–4): 139–146.
- Scheutzow, S.J. 1981. Policy makers of tomorrow: Case studies of adolescents. 4(3): 74–92.

---

\*\* The Award, established in 2010, recognizes papers presented at the ISSSS conference. See Ramlo, S. 2011. 34(2): 100–101.

- Størksen, I. and Thorsen, A.A. 2011. Young children's participation in a Q study with visual images: Some comments on reliability and validity. 34(3): 146-171.
- Swetnam, K.G. 2010. Dropout and academic achievement perceptions of middle and high school students of Mexican descent: A Q-methodology study. 33(3-4): 103-128.
- Thorsen, A.A. and Størksen, I. 2010. Ethical, methodological, and practical reflections when using Q methodology in research with young children. 33(1-2): 3-25.
- Webster, K.L. and Trepal, H.C. 2004. Youth perspectives of bullying: Thinking outside the box. 27(2): 68-83.

### ***Communicability***

- Stephenson, W. 1984. The primary function of human communicability: Testimony to H.C.J. Duijker. 7(3): 73-80.

### ***Communication Applications***

- Aitken, J.E. 1988. Using Q-methodology to teach interpersonal communication. 11(4): 114-118.
- Barchak, L.J. 1979. Discovery of socialist opinion. 2(3): 69-102.
- Barchak, L.J. and Arnold, P.J. 1991. Reducing vulnerability by assessing customer business styles. 14(2): 49-60.
- Barchak, L.J. and Marshall, R. 1999. What do they know of English who only English know? Ascertaining attitudes toward foreign language study using Q methodology in a public relations framework. 22(1-2): 31-48.
- Brenner, D.J. 1996. The O.J. Simpson papers: A commentary. 19(3-4): 116-120.
- Brown, S.R. 1994. Scientific conferences and the communication of enlightenment. 17(3-4): 55-69.
- Chappell, S. 1998. Representations of violent young women. 21(1-2): 62-72.
- Cohen, J., Calvert, C., and Lipari, L. 1994. Shared goals in an undergraduate communication curriculum: Using Q methodology to identify community expectations. 17(3-4): 70-84.
- Grosswiler, P. 1992. Some methodological considerations on the use of multimedia Q-sample items. 15(3): 65-80.
- Kang, H. 1997. Q studies in Korea: Past and present. 20(1-2): 3-13.
- Karmatz, F.N. and Wood, E.C. 1985. Differentiating Outback miners. 8(3): 88-96.

- Ketterer , S.E., Perry, E.L., Meeds, R.W., Lee, E., Sanders, K.P., and Yin, J. 1996. Perceptions of the O.J. Simpson murder trial and its news coverage. 19(3-4): 85-104.
- Kinsey, D.F. 1991. Selecting a winning campaign slogan. 15(1): 1-10.
- Kinsey, D.F. 1994. Humor communicability. 17(1-2): 49-61.
- Kinsey, D.F. and Taylor, R.W. 1982. Some meanings of political cartoons. 5(3): 107-114.
- Kleine, S.S. 2000. Using clothing to bring alive autobiographical memories. 23(2): 92-115.
- Lee, B.S. 2000. Information privacy: How much privacy protection does the public want on the Web? 23(4): 170-191.
- Logan , R.A. and Beltrao, J. 1995. Sustainable development, the press and policy: A Q study of Brazilian policy makers. 18(3-4): 121-143.
- Massé, M.H. and Popovich, M.N. 1999. Using Q methodology to assess journalism faculty attitudes toward the teaching of writing. 22(1-2): 20-30.
- Mauldin, C.R. 1990. A segmentation study of attitudes about advertising. 14(1): 20-33.
- Parker, K.R. 1995. Storylistener subjectivity in response to Mark's Passion-Resurrection narrative. 18(1-2): 51-69.
- Patterson, J. 1982. A dissection of attitudes about science. 5(2): 58-76.
- Root, J. 1995. A partisan/nonpartisan schematic approach to interpreting political cartoons. 18(3-4): 94-107.
- Singer, J.B. 1999. Pairing Q methodology and case studies to explore journalists' attitudes toward new media forms. 22(1-2): 1-19.
- Stainton Rogers, R. and Stainton Rogers, W. 1989. Subjectivity, representation, and communication: Report of the first British Q conference. 13(1): 15-20.
- Suppasarn, P. and Adams, R.C. 1984. Some discrete views of televised violence: Implications for media policy. 7(2): 37-55.
- Sylvester, J.L. 1996. Post-verdict attitudes toward the O.J. Simpson murder trial: The LSU study. 19(3-4): 105-115.

### ***Communication Theory***

- Barchak, L.J. 1984. Discovering communication paradigms with Q methodology: Ferment or sour grapes? 7(4): 115-130.
- Duijker, H.C.J. 1979. Mind and meaning. 3(1): 15-31.
- Grosswiler, P. 1994. The convergence of William Stephenson's and Marshall McLuhan's communication theories. 17(3-4): 2-16.
- Stephenson, W. 1978. Applications of communication theory: IV. Immediate experience of movies. 1(4): 96-116.

- Stephenson, W. 1979. The communicability and operantcy of self. 3(1): 2-14.
- Stephenson, W. 1980. Q methodology and the subjectivity of literature. 3(4): 111-133.
- Stephenson, W. 1980. Applications of communication theory: V. Play-theoretical aspects of science. 4(1): 2-16.
- Stephenson, W. 1986. Protoconcursus: The concourse theory of communication (Part 1). 9(2): 37-58.
- Stephenson, W. 1986. Protoconcursus: The concourse theory of communication (Conclusion). 9(3): 73-96.
- Stephenson, W. 1986. Play theory of communication. 9(4): 109-122.
- Stephenson, W. 1998. Quantum theory media research: II. Intentionality and acculturation. 21(3-4): 73-91.
- Wilkerson, K.E. 1982. Media Ludentia. 6(1): 1-14.

### ***Concourse Theory***

- Stephenson, W. 1986. Protoconcursus: The concourse theory of communication (Part 1). 9(2): 37-58.
- Stephenson, W. 1986. Protoconcursus: The concourse theory of communication (Conclusion). 9(3): 73-96.
- Wolf, A. The bones of a concourse. 2004. 27(3): 145-165.

### ***Conflict Management***

- Maxwell, J.P. and Brown, S.R. 1999. Identifying problems and generating solutions under conditions of conflict. 23(1): 31-51.

### ***Conscirring***

- Stephenson, W. 2007. Conscirring: A general theory for subjective communicability. 30(3-4): 89-136. [First published in *Communication Yearbook 4*. 1980. (D. Nimmo, Ed., pp. 4-36).

### ***Control Systems***

- Goldstein, D.M. 1989. Q methodology and control systems theory. 13(1): 8-14.

- Goldstein, D.M. 1991. Q methodology and control theory: II. General considerations. 14(2): 61-74.

### ***Counseling***

- Allgood, E. 1995. Persons-in-relation and Q methodology. 18(1-2): 17-35.

- Allgood, E. 2000. Attention in counseling and education: A Q methodological study. 24(1): 25-37.

Allgood, E. and Kvalsund, R. 2001. The work group as a learning group in counseling: Leader subjectivity in group learning processes. 24(3): 132-153.

Thompson, B., Frankiewicz, R.G., and Ward, G.R. 1983. Cross-technique validation of attitude measures. 6(2): 37-50.

### ***Cross-Cultural Studies***

Brown, S.R. and Feist, U. 1992. Calibrating bilingual Q samples. 15(4): 105-115.

Ray, C.M., Thorman, J., Montgomery, D., Yang, Y., and Dew, J. 2006. Using Q methodology as a strategy to explore cultural opinions toward health care. 29(3-4): 182-205.

### ***Diffusion of Innovation***

Lee, B.S. and Synn, W. 2001. Investor response to online stock trading: A study using Q methodology. 24(3): 109-131.

Lee, B.S. and Anderson, J.Q. 2001. An analysis of internet adopters. 25(1): 11-36.

### ***Discourse Analysis***

Stainton Rogers, W. 1998. Q Methodology, textuality, and tectonics. 21(1-2): 1-18.

Stenner, P. and Watts, S. 1998. [Re]searching for love: Subjectivity and the ontology of the Q factor. 21(1-2): 27-48.

Stowell-Smith, M. 1997. Identity dilemmas and psychological discourse: The case of psychopaths in a secure psychiatric hospital. 20(3-4): 143-158.

Waterton, E. 2006. The meaning of 'heritage': Mapping discursive perspectives with Q methodology. 29(3-4): 138-181.

Woodilla, J. 2000. Voices of hope, voices of cynicism: Using Q methodology to interpret complex attitudes towards organizational change. 23(3): 147-169.

### ***Education***

Aitken, J.E. 1988. Using Q-methodology to teach interpersonal communication. 11(4): 114-118.

Allgood, E. 2000. Attention in counseling and education: A Q methodological study. 24(1): 25-37.

Baker, M.A. 2012. Examining the agricultural education fishbowl: Understanding perceptions of agricultural education stakeholders in higher education. 35(2): 74-101.

Baptiste, L.J.C. 2011. What educators learn when they evaluate students. 34(2): 104-123.

- Barchak, L.J. and Marshall, R. 1999. What do they know of English who only English know? Ascertaining attitudes toward foreign language study using Q methodology in a public relations framework. 22(1-2): 31-48.
- Berry, R.A.W., Shields, B. A., Krickovich, S.R., and Sadler, J.T. 2012. Prospective student teachers' concerns regarding the student-teaching experience. 35(3): 159-175.
- Chinnis, A.S., Paulson, D.J., and Davis, S.M. 2003. Targeted faculty development and program administration based on subjective structural analysis of academic physician needs. 26(2): 58-71.
- Cohen, J., Calvert, C., and Lipari, L. 1994. Shared goals in an undergraduate communication curriculum: Using Q methodology to identify community expectations. 17(3-4): 70-84.
- Downing Wilson, D. 2007. Revealing shifts in attitude among undergraduates participating in academic service learning programs. 30(1-2): 23-51.
- Endorf, M.G. and Clyde, R.W. 1993. Adolescent female views of values education. 16(3-4): 139-146.
- Hathcoat, J.D., and Montgomery, D. 2010. Second-order structure of academic and religious personal epistemologies. 33(1-2): 26-50.
- Hurd, R. 2007. Academic procrastination by undergraduate students. 30(1-2): 2-22.
- Khatri, R. 2010. Investigating students' perceptions of e-learning within an occupational therapy program. 33(1-2): 84-100.
- Kvalsund, R. and Allgood, E. 2005. Co-leader subjectivity in an educational setting. 28(3-4): 116-144.
- Madoc-Jones, G. and Gajdamaschko, N. 2006. Theoretical incompatibilities in teachers' self-understandings of educational practice: An examination using Q methodology. 29(1-2): 58-80.
- Montgomery, D. 2010. Q applied to educational issues. 33(1-2): 1-2.
- Nauman, A., Borthwick, A.C., and Stirling, T. 2004. Experienced and preservice teacher beliefs about how best to teach beginning reading. 27(2): 84-103.
- Oterkiil, C. 2010. Exploring perceptions of leadership for school staff. 33(1-2): 51-67.
- Petit dit Dariel, O. 2010. Assessment research in nursing education: The case for Q methodology. 33(1-2): 68-83.
- Rahman, N.A. 2004. Teacher attitudes towards misconceptions in physics learning. 27(2): 50-67.
- Ramlo, S. 2007. Student views of learning in a first semester college physics course: A study using Q methodology. 30(1-2): 52-63.

- Schwartz, S.H. 1978. Reflections on a Q dissertation and its opposition. 1(3): 78–84.
- Sell, D.K. and Craig, R.B. 1983. The use of Q methodology to investigate attitude change in American students who participate in foreign study programs: A review of the literature. 7(1): 14–29.
- Swetnam, K.G. 2010. Dropout and academic achievement perceptions of middle and high school students of Mexican descent: A Q-methodology study. 33(3–4): 103–128.
- Thomas, D.B. 1999. Taking subjectivity seriously in educational outcomes assessment: Exploring undergraduate understandings of the liberal arts. 22(4): 14–40.

### ***Environment***

- Hooker, A.M. 2001. Beliefs regarding society and nature: A framework for listening in forest and environmental policy. 24(4):159–182.

### ***Evaluation***

- Baptiste, L.J.C. 2011. What educators learn when they evaluate students. 34(2): 104–123.
- Kramer, B. and Gravina, V. 2004. Theoretical rotation as a tool for identifying points of leverage in people's perspectives for program improvement. 27(3): 125–144.

### ***Factor Analysis***

- Baas, L.R. and Thomas, D.B. 1993. Are we all on the same factor? (Rejoinder) 16(1–2): 55–59.
- Brouwer, M. 1993. Validity: Q vs. R. 16(1–2): 1–17.
- Brouwer, M. 1993. Rejoinder to Brown and Dennis. 16(1–2): 52–54.
- Brown, S.R. 1993. On validity and replicability. (Commentary) 16(1–2): 45–51.
- Burt, C. 1981. O, P, Q, and R techniques. 4(4): 102–119.
- Creaser, J.W. 1978. The factor-wheel method of rotation for Q-technique research. 1(3): 70–77.
- Dennis, K.E. 1993. Looking at reliability and validity through Q-colored glasses. (Commentary) 16(1–2): 37–44.
- Fairweather, J.R. 2001. Factor stability, number of significant loadings, and interpretation: Evidence from three studies and suggested guidelines. 25(1): 37–58.
- Stephenson, W. 1977. Factors as operant subjectivity. 1(1): 3–16.
- Stephenson, W. 1978. A note on estimating standard errors of factor scores in Q method. 1(2): 29–37.
- Stephenson, W. 1979. Observations on Sir Cyril Burt and "The Burt Affair". 2(4): 110–23.

Stephenson, W. 1980. Factor analysis. 3(2): 38–57.

Stephenson, W. 1981. Cyril Burt, quantum theory, and Q: Historical note. 4(4): 120–134.

### ***Frame Analysis***

Stephenson, W. 1992. Self in everyday life. 15(2): 29–55.

### ***Futurist Studies***

O'Shea, L. 1985. Value congruences shaping mankind's future. 9(1): 8–24.

### ***Gender Studies***

Anderson, D.R. 2005. Female executives in biotechnology: A contextual approach to understanding their work environments. 28(1–2): 33–57.

Chappell, S. 1998. Representations of violent young women. 21(1–2): 62–72.

Choi, Y.J. 2010. The ideal female body image: A Q approach to the third-person effect. 33(3–4): 129–148.

Endorf, M.G. and Clyde, R.W. 1993. Adolescent female views of values education. 16(3–4): 139–46.

Maxwell, J.P. 1999. The violence of the night: The perception of trauma in a song. 22(3): 12–30.

Shin, Y. 2007. Attitudes toward gender equality: A Q methodology study. 30(1–2): 64–86.

Slavet, J. and Butterfield, D.A. 1999. Women's career advancement and the glass ceiling in the financial services industry. 23(1): 9–30.

### ***Gerontology***

Good, J.M.M. 2011. Some contextualizing notes on William Stephenson's "Old age research". 34(4): 215–216.

Stephenson, W. 1979. Old age research in England. [1950] 2(2): 46–50.

Stephenson, W. 2011. Old age research. 34(4): 217–233.

### ***Global Studies***

Sell, D.K. and Craig, R.B. 1983. The use of Q methodology to investigate attitude change in American students who participate in foreign study programs: A review of the literature. 7(1): 14–29.

O'Shea, L. 1985. Value congruences shaping mankind's future. 9(1): 8–24.

Stephenson, C.W.T. 1983. International development and security. 7(1): 3–13.

### ***Health and Medicine***

- Barbosa, J.C., Erickson, T., and Wiloughby, P. 1999. Attitudes and self-perceptions of emergency physicians: The systematization of factor interpretation. 22(1-2): 49-71.
- Chinnis, A.S., Paulson, D.J., and Davis, S.M. 2003. Targeted faculty development and program administration based on subjective structural analysis of academic physician needs. 26(2): 58-71.
- Corr, S., Phillips, C.J., and Capdevila, R. 2003. Using Q methodology to evaluate a day service for younger adult stroke survivors. 27(1): 1-23.
- Dennis, K.E. 2001. The use and misuse of Q methodology in health research. 25(1): 1-10.
- Forrest, J. 2000. Using Q methodology to assess chronic pain in elderly cognitively intact and Alzheimer's patients. 24(1): 38-48.
- Kim, B.H. and Kim, H.K. 1997. The causal schemata of cancer: A Q-methodological application for Korean patients. 20(1-2): 14-27.
- Kim, B.H. and Kim, J.K. 2003. Meaning of cancer and hospice among health service providers: Conflict or congruity? 27(1): 24-46.
- Kim, D. and Kim, H.K. 1997. The schemata of hope: A Q methodological look. 20(1-2): 62-72.
- Popovich, K. and Popovich, M. 1994. Use of Q methodology by public relations practitioners for hospital strategic planning. 17(3-4): 40-54.
- Ray, C.M., Thorman, J., Montgomery, D., Yang, Y., and Dew, J. 2006. Using Q methodology as a strategy to explore cultural opinions toward health care. 29(3-4): 182-205.
- Sylvester, J.L. 2000. Q methodology and social marketing: Interpreting racial attitudes toward health care. 23(2): 52-73.
- Wartel, D.A. and Aragon, A. 1990. Psychiatric staff opinion about patient etiology and treatment. 13(3): 121-126.
- Yeun, E.J., Chi, S.A., and Kim, H.K. 1997. A study of the professional nursing images of nursing unit managers: A Q-methodological approach. 20(1-2): 43-61.

### ***Hermeneutics***

- McKeown, B. 1998. Circles: Q methodology and hermeneutical science. 21(3-4): 112-138.

### ***Human Rights***

- Baas, L.R. 1978. Images of the Constitution. 2(1): 17-30.
- Stainton Rogers, R. and Kitzinger, C. 1986. Human rights: Bedrock or mosaic? 9(4): 123-130.

Willard, A.R. 1994. The scientific study of subjectivity and the achievement of human rights. 17(1-2): 14-29.

### ***Human Relations***

Lipgar, R.M., Bair, J.P., and Fichtner, C.G. 2000. Integrating research with conference learning: 10 years of Q methodology studies exploring experiential learning in the Tavistock tradition. 24(1): 1-24.

### ***Humor***

Kinsey, D.F. 1994. Humor communicability. 17(1-2): 49-61.

### ***Intentionality***

Stephenson, W. 1993. Intentionality: Or how to buy a loaf of bread. 16(3-4): 69-90. Reprinted 29(3-4): 122-137.

### ***International Studies***

Baker, A.B. 1997. Identifying political subcultures in Mexico. 20(3-4): 73-96.

Brown, S.R. 1994. Scientific conferences and the communication of enlightenment. 17(3-4): 55-69.

Tureanu, V. and Illiescu, D. 2006. Segmentation of public perceptions on Romania's accession to the EU. 29(1-2): 1-27.

### ***Internet Studies***

Lee, B.S. and Anderson, J.Q. 2001. An analysis of internet adopters. 25(1): 11-36.

### ***Investor Relations***

Lee, B.S. and Synn, W. 2001. Investor response to online stock trading: A study using Q methodology. 24(3): 109-131.

### ***ISSSS History***

1987. William Stephenson at 85 (May 14, 1987). *Operant Subjectivity* at 10. 10(4): 109-112.

Aitken, Joan, ed. 1991. Bylaws of the International Society for the Scientific Study of Subjectivity. 14(2): 75-9. Revised. 2002. 27(1): 47-49.

Barchak, L.J. 1990. Obituary: William Stephenson. 13(2):38-41.

Brown, S.R. 2002. Obituary: Maimie Stephenson. 26(1): 53-57.

Crumley, W. 1990. Looking to the future. 13(2): 103-104.

Popovich, M.N. (Ed). 2002. *Operant Subjectivity* Subject index 1-25. 26(1): 7-36.

Popovich, M.N. (Ed.) 2002. *Operant Subjectivity* Author index 1-25. 26(1): 37-52.

- Ramlo, S. 2011. A note on the Don Brenner Outstanding Paper Award. 34(2): 100–101.
- Stephenson, C.W.T. 1990. Looking to the future. 13(2): 101–102.
- Various Authors. 1990. Remembrances of things past. 13(2): 43–100.
- Waters, H.J., III. 1990. Obituary: William Stephenson. 13(2): 41–42.
- Wolf, A. (Ed). 2012. *Operant Subjectivity* Subject index 1–35. 35(4): 183–214.
- Wolf, A. (Ed.) 2012. *Operant Subjectivity* Author index 1–35. 35(4): 214–233.

### ***Leadership***

- Allgood, E. and Kvalsund, R. 2001. The work group as a learning group in counseling: Leader subjectivity in group learning processes. 24(3): 32–53.
- Kvalsund, R. and Allgood, E. 2005. Co-leader subjectivity in an educational setting. 28(3–4): 116–144.
- Lipgar, R.M., Bair, J.P., and Fichtner, C.G. 2000. Integrating research with conference learning: 10 years of Q methodology studies exploring experiential learning in the Tavistock tradition. 24(1): 1–24.
- Oterkiil, C. 2010. Exploring perceptions of leadership for school staff. 33(1–2): 51–67.

### ***Literary Studies***

- Thomas, D.B. and Baas, L.R. 1994. Reading the romance, building the bestseller: A Q-technique study of reader response to *The Bridges of Madison County*. 17(3–4): 17–39.
- Stephenson, W. 1980. Q methodology and the subjectivity of literature. 3(4): 111–133.

### ***Marketing***

- Adams, R.C. 1983. An evaluation of research replication and market segmentation with Q method. 6(4): 126–139.
- Barchak, L.J. and Arnold, P.R. 1991. Reducing vulnerability by assessing customer business styles. 14(2): 49–60.
- Danbury, T. 1985. An original in-depth segmentation study of the market research industry. 8(4): 113–122.
- Kim, H.K. 1997. Q methodology and creation of advertising message: An example of coffee. 20(1–2): 28–42.
- Kim, I. 2007. Korean public relations practitioners' perspectives on integrated marketing communications implementation. 30(3–4): 137–154.

- Kleine, S.S. 2000. Using clothing to bring alive autobiographical memories. 23(2): 92–115.
- Mauldin, C.R., Sutherland, J.C., and Hofmeister, J.F. 1978. Operant attitude segmentation and marketing decisions. 1(2): 38–50.
- Schwartz, S.H. and Moore, R.L. 1979. Newspaper research on the rocks: An argument for the use of Q methodology in readership studies. 2(4): 124–134.
- Sherman, R., Blanchard, C.L., and Kagel, K.A. 1995. Local theater attendance: A scientific approach to audience recruitment. 18(3–4): 108–120.
- Sylvester, J.L. 2000. Q methodology and social marketing: Interpreting racial attitudes toward health care. 23(2): 52–73.
- Van Tubergen, G.N. and Olins, R.A. 1979. Mail vs personal interview administration for Q sorts: A comparative study. 2(2): 51–59.
- Van Tubergen, G.N. and Olins, R.A. 1982. Reflections on a test for construct validity in some Q typologies. 6(1): 22–30.

### ***Media Studies***

- Buehner, T.M. 2011. College student preferences for trendy versus classic typefaces. 35(1): 1–36.
- Chappell, S. 1998. Representations of violent young women. 21(1–2): 62–72.
- Ketterer , S.E., Perry, E.L., Meeds, R.W., Lee, E., Sanders, K.P., and Yin, J. 1996. Perceptions of the O.J. Simpson murder trial and its news coverage. 19(3–4): 85–104.
- Kinsey, D.F. and Taylor, R.W. 1982. Some meanings of political cartoons. 5(3): 107–114.
- Root, J. 1995. A partisan/nonpartisan schematic approach to interpreting political cartoons. 18(3–4): 94–107.
- Schwartz, S.H. and Moore, R.L. 1979. Newspaper research on the rocks: An argument for the use of Q methodology in readership studies. 2(4): 124–134.
- Singer, J. 1995. Stephenson's play theory and online news reading. 18(1–2): 36–50.
- Singer, J.B. 1999. Pairing Q methodology and case studies to explore journalists' attitudes toward new media forms. 22(1–2): 1–19.
- Stephenson, W. 1978. Applications of communication theory: IV. Immediate experience of movies. 1(4): 96–116.
- Suppasarn, P. and Adams, R.C. 1984. Some discrete views of televised violence: Implications for media policy. 7(2): 37–55.

### ***Non-Verbal Q Samples***

- Buehner, T.M. 2011. College student preferences for trendy versus classic typefaces. 35(1): 1-36.
- Choi, Y.J. 2010. The ideal female body image: A Q approach to the third-person effect. 33(3-4): 129-148.
- Grosswiler, P. 1992. Some methodological considerations on the use of multimedia Q-sample items. 15(3): 65-80.
- Størksen, I. and Thorsen, A.A. 2011. Young children's participation in a Q study with visual images: Some comments on reliability and validity. 34(3): 146-171.

### ***Nursing***

- Gibson, D. and Ingle, J.R. 1989. A select review of *Nursing Research*. 13(1): 3-7.
- Kim, D. and Kim, H.K. 1997. The schemata of hope: A Q methodological look. 20(1-2): 62-72.
- Petit dit Dariel, O. 2010. Assessment research in nursing education: The case for Q methodology. 33(1-2): 68-83.
- Taylor, H.A. 1988. Help orientations of geriatric nursing care givers. 11(4): 105-113.
- Yeun, E.J., Chi, S.A., and Kim, H.K. 1997. A study of the professional nursing images of nursing unit managers: A Q-methodological approach. 20(1-2): 43-61.

### ***Obituaries***

- Brenner, D.J. 34(2): 85-99.
- Duijker, H.C.J. 7(3): 73-80.
- Edom, C.C. 14(2): 81.
- Kantor, J.R. 7(3): bc†
- Kohlberg, L. 10(3): 91-95.
- Lasswell, H.D. 2(3): bc
- Nunnally, J.C., Jr. 6(2): bc
- Rogers, C.R. 10(3): 87-91.
- Schlinder, M.J. [Rawlins] 6(1): 37-bc.
- Skinner, B.F. 14(3): bc.
- Stephenson, M.. 26(1): 53-57.
- Stephenson, W. 12(3-4): 57-63; 13(2): 38-41; 13(2): 41-42; 13(3): 127-129; 14(3): fc.

---

† "bc" refers to inside back cover, "fc" refers to inside front cover.

***Organizational Studies***

- Anderson, D.R. 2005. Female executives in biotechnology: A contextual approach to understanding their work environments. 28(1-2): 33-57.
- Forray, J.M. 2000. Understanding fairness: Exploring subjectivity and organizational justice. 23(3): 131-146.
- Woodilla, J. 2000. Voices of hope, voices of cynicism: Using Q methodology to interpret complex attitudes towards organizational change. 23(3): 147-169.
- Illiescu, D. and Tureanu, V. 2006. Metaphorical representations of the HR consultant. 29(1-2): 27-57.

***Personality Theory***

- Karmatz, F.N. and Wood, E. C. 1985. Differentiating Outback miners. 8(3): 88-96.

***Play Theory***

- Singer, J. 1995. Stephenson's play theory and online news reading. 18(1-2): 36-50.
- Stephenson, W. 1980. Applications of communication theory: V. Play-theoretical aspects of science. 4(1): 2-16.
- Stephenson, W. 1982. Comment (on Wilkerson) by William Stephenson. 6(1): 14-21.
- Stephenson, W. 1986. Play theory of communication. 9(4): 109-122.
- Stephenson, W. 1992. Self in everyday life. 15(2): 29-55.
- Thomas, D.B. and Baas, L.R. 1994. Reading the romance, building the bestseller: A Q-technique study of reader response to *The Bridges of Madison County*. 17(3-4): 17-39.
- Thomas, D.B. and Rhoads, R.C., Jr. 2012. Exploring the "inner game" of video-game enthusiasts 35(3): 129-158.
- Wilkerson, K.E. 1982. Media Ludentia. 6(1): 1-14.

***Policy Studies***

- Aleprete, M.E. and Rhoads, J.C. 2011. U.S. foreign policy: Structure of elite opinion. 34(4): 247-270.
- Ascher, W. 1987. Subjectivity and the policy sciences. 10(3): 73-80.
- Carlson, J.M., Blum, D., and McKeown, B. 1996. The structure of attitudes toward America's world role. 19(1-2): 35-57.
- DeCourville, N.H. and Hafer, C.L. 2001. Attitudes toward affirmative action programs: A Q methodological study. 24(4): 183-200.
- Hooker, A.M. 2001. Beliefs regarding society and nature: A framework for listening in forest and environmental policy. 24(4): 159-182.

- Logan, R.A. and Beltrao, J. 1995. Sustainable development, the press and policy: A Q study of Brazilian policy makers. 18(3-4): 121-143.
- Stephenson, C.W.T. 1983. International development and security. 7(1): 3-13.

### ***Political Science***

- Baas, L.R. 1978. Images of the Constitution. 2(1): 17-30.
- Baas, L.R. 1997. The interpersonal sources of the development of political images: An intensive, longitudinal perspective. 20(3-4): 117-142.
- Baker, A.B. 1997. Identifying political subcultures in Mexico. 20(3-4): 73-96.
- Brenner, D.J. 1996. The O.J. Simpson papers: A commentary. 19(3-4): 116-120.
- Brown, S.R. 1981. Three's a crowd: Jimmy Carter, Ronald Reagan and the invisibility of John Anderson. 4(2): 54-60.
- Brown, S.R. 1989. A feeling for the organism: Understanding and interpreting political subjectivity. 12(3-4): 81-97.
- Brown, S.R. 1994. The structure and form of subjectivity in political theory and behavior. 17(1-2): 30-48.
- Brown, S.R. 2002. Q technique and questionnaires. 25(2): 117-126.
- Brown, S.R. and Feist, U. 1992. Calibrating bilingual Q samples. 15(4): 105-115.
- Carlson, J.M., Blum, D., and McKeown, B. 1996. The structure of attitudes toward America's world role. 19(1-2): 35-57.
- Cohen, S. and Martin, R. 1982. Political obligation, judges, and the Holocaust. 5(3): 89-106.
- Driver, D.W. 2008. The military mind and American public philosophies: A Q-methodology approach. 31: 60-99.
- Kanighan, S.F. and Kinsey, D.F. 1997. Political involvement: Characteristics and categories. 20(3-4): 97-116.
- Ketterer, S.E., Perry, E.L., Meeds, R.W., Lee, E., Sanders, K.P., and Yin, J. 1996. Perceptions of the O.J. Simpson murder trial and its news coverage. 19(3-4): 85-104.
- Kinsey, D.F. and Taylor, R.W. 1982. Some meanings of political cartoons. 5(3): 107-114.
- Kinsey, D.F. and Kelly, T.C. 1989. Mixing methodologies: An aid in developing Q samples. 12(3-4): 98-102.
- Kinsey, D.F. 1991. Selecting a winning campaign slogan. 15(1): 1-10.
- Koch, W., Martin, R., Matthes, U., and Taylor, R. 1992. Some East German attitudes toward law and political authority. 15(4): 97-104.

- Martin, R. and Taylor, R. 1978. Political obligation: An experimental approach. 1(3): 61–69.
- McCormack, U. 1998. First-time voting in the UK 1997 general election. 21(1–2): 49–61.
- Peritore, P. 1986. Field notes on the use of Q methodology in a study of the Brazilian Left. 10 (1): 10–20.
- Poole, B.L. and Steuernagel, G.A. 1989. A subjective examination of theories of justice. 12(3–4): 65–80.
- Rhoads, J.C. and Brown, S.J. 2002. "Sex, lies, and videotape": Attitudes toward the Clinton impeachment. 25(2): 99–116.
- Rhoads, J.C. and Kinsey, D.F. 2008. 2008 Democratic campaign: Perceptions of the Obama–Clinton nomination struggle. 31: 100–121.
- Root, Jeraine. 1995. A partisan/nonpartisan schematic approach to interpreting political cartoons. 18(3–4): 94–107.
- Scheutzow, S.J. 1981. Policy makers of tomorrow: Case studies of adolescents. 4(3): 74–92.
- Stephenson, W. 1988. The science of ethics: II. Ethical judgment. 11(2): 37–52.
- Sylvester, J.L. 1996. Post-verdict attitudes toward the O.J. Simpson murder trial: The LSU study. 19(3–4): 105–115.
- Thomas, D.B. and Baas, L.R. 1993. The issue of generalization in Q methodology: "Reliable schematics" revisited. 16(1–2): 18–36.
- Thomas, D.B., McBride, A., and Baas, L.R. 1996. Contrary convictions: Race and subjectivity in public opinion on the O.J. Simpson criminal trial. 19(33–4): 58–84.
- Thomas, D.B. and Baas, L.R. 2002. Probing the subjective communicability of an unfolding presidential scandal: A Q study of the Clinton/Lewinsky affair. 25(2): 59–98.
- Thomas, D.B. and Baas, L.R. 2008. The mutable mandate: Crafting the constructed message, meaning, and strategic subjectivity in the post-election campaign for 2004. 31: 2–37.
- Thomas, D.B., McKeown, B., and Baas, L.R. 2004. Civil-religious ideation and American exceptionalism: Negotiating national identity in a contentious time. 27(4): 166–193.
- Vajirakachorn, S. and Sylvia, R.D. 1990. Administrative attitudes of elite officials in a Buddhist polity. 13(4): 163–174.
- Wattier, M.J. 1989. Methods of belief: A Q study of R studies of electoral choice. 12(1–2): 25–44.

### **Privacy**

- Lee, B.S. 2000. Information privacy: How much privacy protection does the public want on the Web? 23(4): 170–191.

### ***Psychiatry***

Wartel, D.A. and Aragon, A. 1990. Psychiatric staff opinion about patient etiology and treatment. 13(3): 121–126.

### ***Psychoanalysis***

- Rhoads, J.C. 2001. Researching authoritarian personality with Q methodology Part I: Revisiting traditional analysis. 24(2): 68–85.
- Rhoads, J.C. 2001. Researching authoritarian personality with Q methodology Part II: An intensive study. 24(2): 86–103.
- Stephenson, W. 1982. Newton's Fifth Rule and Q methodology: Application to psychoanalysis. 5(4): 127–147.
- Stephenson, W. 1988. Falsification and credulity for psychoanalytic doctrine. 11(3): 73–97.
- Stephenson, W. 2006. Tribute to Melanie Klein. 29(3–4): 95–121.

### ***Psychology***

- Brown, S.R. 2003. Comments on Watts and Stenner's "Q methodology, quantum theory, and psychology". 26(4): 176–183.
- Chappell, S. 1998. Representations of violent young women. 21(1–2): 62–72.
- Duijker, H.C. J. 1979. Mind and meaning. 3(1): 15–31.
- Goldstein, D.M. 1989. Q methodology and control systems theory. 13(1): 8–14.
- Goldstein, D.M. 1991. Q methodology and control theory: II. General considerations. 14(2): 61–74.
- Good, J.M. 2000. William Stephenson and the post-World War II bifurcation of British psychology. 23(3): 116–130.
- Good, J.M.M. 2003. William Stephenson, quantum theory, and Q methodology. 26(4): 142–156.
- Knight, M., Frederickson, W.A., and Martin, S.A. 1987. Q methodology and the measurement of self perception. 10(4): 114–124.
- Knight, M. and Rupp, G. 1999. "Two sciences..." Part I: The epistemology of object/subject inter-dependence. 22(3): 2–11.
- Knight, M. and Rupp, G. 1999. "Two sciences..." Part II: Mental life and the language of science. 22(4): 3–10.
- Knight, M. and Rupp, G. 1999. "Two sciences..." Part III: Stephenson's quantum psychology. 23(1): 1–8.
- Lichtenstein, P.E. 1988. Interbehavioral psychology and Q methodology. 11(2): 53–61.
- Lipgar, R.M. 2002. Behavior under conditions of uncertainty: Empirical probes of subjective probability. 25(3–4): 164–176.

- Marshall, H. 1991. The social identities of women lawyers. 14(3): 106–121.
- Midgley, B.D. and Morris, E.K. 2002. Subjectivity and behaviorism: Skinner, Kantor, and Stephenson. 25(3–4): 127–138.
- Shontz, F.C. 1981. Individualization of Q-sort statements. 5(1): 17–21.
- Smith, N.W. 2001. Centrisms, noncentrisms, and universal Q. 24(2): 52–67.
- Smith, N.W. 2002. Commentary on papers on operantcy. 25(3–4): 177–180.
- Stainton Rogers, R. 1998. Q methodology and “*going critical*”: Some reflections on the British dialect. 21(1–2): 19–26.
- Stainton Rogers, R. and Kitzinger, C. 1986. Human rights: Bedrock or mosaic? 9(4): 123–130.
- Stephenson, W. 1979. Old age research in England. [1950] 2(2): 46–50.
- Stephenson, W. 1979. Influence of cultural background on test performance. [1949] 2(2): 40–45.
- Stephenson, W. 1982. Newton’s Fifth Rule and Q methodology: Application to self psychology. 5(2): 37–57.
- Stephenson, W. 1987. Measurement of self perception: Some reflections on the article by Knight, Frederickson, and Martin. 10(4): 125–135.
- Stephenson, W. 1989. The quantumization of psychological events. 12(1–2): 1–23.
- Stephenson, W. 1990. My self in 1980: A study of culture. 14(1): 1–19.
- Stowell-Smith, M. 1997. Identity dilemmas and psychological discourse: The case of psychopaths in a secure psychiatric hospital. 20(3–4): 143–158.
- Watts, S. and Stenner, P. 2003. Q methodology, quantum theory, and psychology. 26(4): 157–175.

### ***Psychometry***

- Stephenson, W. 1979. Influence of cultural background on test performance. [1949] 2(2): 40–5.
- Stephenson, W. 1990. Fifty years of exclusionary psychometrics: I.Q. technique. 13(3): 105–20.
- Stephenson, W. 1990. Fifty years of exclusionary psychometrics: II. Developments. 13(4): 141–162.

### ***Public Policy***

- Deignan, T. 2012. A novel way to develop policy and practice. 35(2): 102–128.

- Kramer, B. and Gravina, V. 2004. Theoretical rotation as a tool for identifying points of leverage in people's perspectives for program improvement. 27(3): 125–144.
- Logan , R.A. and Beltrao, J. 1995. Sustainable development, the press and policy: A Q study of Brazilian policy makers. 18(3–4): 121–143.
- Sun, M.T.-W. 2008. The implementation of contracting out in Taiwan's local governments: An application of Q methodology. 31: 38–59.

### ***Public Relations***

- Barchak, L.J. and Marshall, R. 1999. What do they know of English who only English know? Ascertaining attitudes toward foreign language study using Q methodology in a public relations framework. 22(1–2): 31–48.
- Kim, I. 2007. Korean public relations practitioners' perspectives on integrated marketing communications implementation. 30(3–4): 137–154.
- Popovich, K. and Popovich, M. 1994. Use of Q methodology by public relations practitioners for hospital strategic planning. 17(3–4): 40–54.

### ***Q-Block Method***

- Baker, R., van Exel, J., Mason, H., and Stricklin, M. 2010. Connecting Q & surveys: Three methods to explore factor membership in large samples. 34(1): 38–58.
- Kim, H.K. 2010. Estimating agreement between the Q block and the Q tool. 34(1): 25–37.
- Niemeyer, S. 2010. The Q-block method and an alternative: Benchmarking the Australian Discourse of Democracy. 34(1): 59–84.
- Peck, R.L. 2010. Introduction to the Q-block symposium. 34(1): 1–5.
- Talbott, A. D. 2010. The Q-block method of indexing Q typologies. [1963]34(1): 6–24.

### ***Q Methodology***

*See also Bibliographic Updates.*

1985. 50 Years of Q methodology (Interview with W. Stephenson). 8(4): 109–110.
- Adams, R.C. 1983. An evaluation of research replication and market segmentation with Q method. 6(4): 126–139.
- Allgood, E. 1995. Persons-in-relation and Q methodology. 18(1–2): 17–35.
- Baas, L.R. and Thomas, D.B. 1993. Are we all on the same factor? (Rejoinder) 16(1–2): 55–59.
- Barchak, L.J. 2005. An end to ideology. 28(1–2): 1–12.

- Brenner, D.J., Aucoin, J., and Xiaoming, H. 1998. Quantumstuff in communication: Some implications of Stephenson's concept. 21(3-4): 139-150.
- Brouwer, M. 1993. Validity: Q vs. R. 16(1-2): 1-17.
- Brouwer, M. 1993. Rejoinder to Brown and Dennis. 16(1-2): 52-54.
- Brown, S.R. 1993. On validity and replicability. (Commentary) 16(1-2): 45-51.
- Brown, S.R. 1984. "Q-analysis": *Caveat emptor*. 8(1): 6-17.
- Brown, S.R. 1985. Comment on "A new Q too?" 8(2): 54-62.
- Brown, S.R. 1993. A primer on Q methodology. 16(3-4): 91-138.
- Brown, S.R. 1995. Q methodology as the foundation for a science of subjectivity. 18(1-2): 1-16.
- Brown, S.R. 1998. Subjective science: Normal and abnormal (Continued). 21(3-4): 92-111.
- Brown, S.R. 1999. On the taking of averages: Variance and factor analyses compared. 22(3): 31-37.
- Brown, S.R. 2002. Subjective behavior analysis. 25(3-4): 148-163.
- Brown, S. R. 2004. Reserving a key place for reality: Philosophical foundations of theoretical rotation. 27(3): 104-124
- Capdevila, R. and Lazard, L. 2009. 'Is it just me...?' Q methodology and representing the marginal. 32: 70-84.
- Deignan, T. 2012. A novel way to develop policy and practice. 35(2): 102-128.
- Delprato, D.J. and Brown, S.R. 2002. Q methodology and the operant construct. 25(3-4): 139-147.
- Dennis, K.E. 1993. Looking at reliability and validity through Q-colored glasses. (Commentary) 16(1-2): 37-44.
- Dennis, K.E. 2001. The use and misuse of Q methodology in health research. 25(1): 1-10.
- Expositor. 1987. Reliable schematics. 10(3): 81-86.
- Expositor. 1996. A note on "testing" psychological types. 9(1): 29-35.
- Fairweather, J.R. 1981. Reliability and validity of Q-method results: Some empirical evidence. 5(1): 2-16.
- Gould, P. 1985. A new Q too? 8(2): 42-53.
- Hurd, R.C. and Brown, S.R. 2005. The future of the Q methodology movement. 28(1-2): 58-75.
- Hurd, R.C. and Brown, S.R. 2005. In place of a rejoinder: Embracing factors A, B, C, and D. 28(1-2): 93-96.
- Kang, H. 1997. Q studies in Korea: Past and present. 20(1-2): 3-13.

- Lichtenstein, P.E. 1988. Interbehavioral psychology and Q methodology. 11(2): 53–61.
- McKeown, B. 1998. Circles: Q methodology and hermeneutical science. 21(3–4): 112–138.
- Nesterenko, A. and Wilson, S.A. 1980. CONCOURSE: Computer program for the Q methodologist. 4(1): 17–22.
- Peritore, P. 1986. Field notes on the use of Q methodology in a study of the Brazilian Left. 10(1): 10–20.
- Rhoads, J.C., Kinsey, D.F., Popovich, M.N., Focht, W., Stricklin, M., Christman, P., and Fang, K.-H. 2005. Commentaries on “The future of the Q methodology movement.” 28(1–2): 76–92.
- Schwartz, S.H. 1978. Reflections on a Q dissertation and its opposition. 1(3): 78–84.
- Smith, N.W. 2001. Centrisms, noncentrisms, and universal Q. 24(2): 52–67.
- Smith, N.W. 2005. Combining Q with interbehavioral concepts for clinical application. 28(3–4): 167–171.
- Stainton Rogers, R. 1998. Q methodology and “*going critical*”: Some reflections on the British dialect. 21(1–2): 19–26.
- Stainton Rogers, W. 1998. Q methodology, textuality, and tectonics. 21(1–2): 1–18.
- Stenner, P. 2009. Introduction: Between method and ology. 32: 1–5.
- Stenner, P. 2009. Q as a constructivist methodology. 32: 46–69.
- Stephenson, W. 1985. Letter to the Editor, *Nature* [1935]. 8(4): fc.
- Stephenson, W. 1977. Factors as operant subjectivity. 1(1): 3–16.
- Stephenson, W. 1978. A note on estimating standard errors of factor scores in Q method. 1(2): 29–37.
- Stephenson, W. 1979. Observations on Sir Cyril Burt and “The Burt Affair”. 2(4): 110–123.
- Stephenson, W. 1980. Factor analysis. 3(2): 38–57.
- Stephenson, W. 1982. Newton’s Fifth Rule and Q methodology: Application to self psychology. 5(2): 37–57.
- Stephenson, W. 1982. Newton’s Fifth Rule and Q methodology: Application to psychoanalysis. 5(4): 127–147.
- Stephenson, W. 1983. Against interpretation [Parts 1 & 2]. 6(3): 73–103.
- Stephenson, W. 1983. Against interpretation [Part 3]. 6(4): 109–125.
- Stephenson, W. 1984. Perspectives on Q methodology: I. Statements of problems. 7(4): 110–114.
- Stephenson, W. 1984. Perspectives on Q methodology: II. Monistic protopostulate of communicability. 8(1): 2–5.

- Stephenson, W. 1985. Perspectives on Q methodology: III. A creative nexus. 8(2): 37–41.
- Stephenson, W. 1985. Perspectives on Q methodology: IV. Behavioral worlds. 8(3): 83–87.
- Stephenson, W. 1986. Protoconcursus: The concourse theory of communication (Part 1). 9(2): 37–58.
- Stephenson, W. 1986. Protoconcursus: The concourse theory of communication (Conclusion). 9(3): 73–96.
- Stephenson, W. 1987. How to make a good cup of tea. 10(2): 37–57.
- Stephenson, W. 1987. Measurement of self perception: Some reflections on the article by Knight, Frederickson, and Martin. 10(4): 125–135.
- Stephenson, W. 1988. Falsification and credulity for psychoanalytic doctrine. 11(3): 73–97.
- Stephenson, W. 1990. Fifty Years of exclusionary psychometrics: I. Q technique. 13(3): 105–120.
- Stephenson, W. 1990. Fifty Years of exclusionary psychometrics: II. Developments. 13(4): 141–162.
- Stephenson, W. 1990. My self in 1980: A study of culture. 14(1): 1–19.
- Stephenson, W. 1991. *Ulysses* and *Finnegans Wake*: A Q-methodological look at profundity (Part I: *Ulysses*). 14(3): 89–105.
- Stephenson, W. 1991. *Ulysses* and *Finnegans Wake*: A Q-methodological look at profundity (Part II: *Finnegans Wake*). 14(4): 133–152.
- Stephenson, W. 1993. Intentionality: Or how to buy a loaf of bread. 16(3–4): 69–90.
- Stephenson, W. 1994. Introduction to Q-methodology. 17(1–2): 1–13.
- Stephenson, W. 2005. Theory and methodology for esthetics. 28(1–2): 13–32.
- Stephenson, W. 2005. A sentence from B. F. Skinner. 28(3–4): 97–115.
- Stephenson, W. 2006. Tribute to Melanie Klein. 29(3–4): 95–121.
- Stephenson, W. 2007. Consciring: A general theory for subjective communicability. [1980] 30(3–4): 89–136.
- Stephenson, W. 2011. Old age research. 34(4): 217–233.
- Stricklin, M. 1987. Some thoughts on completing computer programs for Q technique. 10(4): 136–139.
- Stricklin, M. 2005. Eight quantum realities redux: Finding David Bohm. 28(3–4): 145–166.
- Thomas, D.B. and Baas, L.R. 1993. The issue of generalization in Q methodology: "Reliable schematics" revisited. 16(1–2): 18–36.

- Thorsen, A.A. and Størksen, I. 2010. Ethical, methodological, and practical reflections when using Q methodology in research with young children. 33(1-2): 3-25.
- Van Tubergen, G.N. and Olins, R.A. 1982. Reflections on a test for construct validity in some Q typologies. 6(1): 22-30.
- Watts, S. 2009. Social constructionism redefined: Human selectionism and the objective reality of Q methodology. 32: 29-45.
- Wolf, A. 2009. Subjectivity, the researcher, and the researched. 32: 6-28.
- Wolf, A., with Good, J.M.M., Brown, S.R., Cuppen, E.H.W.J., Ockwell, D., and Watts, S. 2011. Q methodology and its applications: Reflections on theory. 35(1): 48-71.

### ***Q Methodology (and Other Methods)***

- Baker, R., van Exel, J., Mason, H., and Stricklin, M. 2010. Connecting Q & surveys: Three methods to explore factor membership in large samples. 34(1): 38-58.
- Brown, S.R. 2002. Q technique and questionnaires. 25(2): 117-126.
- Deignan, T. 2012. A novel way to develop policy and practice. 35(2): 102-128.
- Gourlay, S. 2011. Commentary on Ramlo and Newman, "Q methodology and its position in the mixed-methods continuum". 34(3): 208-212.
- Hutson, G. and Montgomery, D. 2011. Demonstrating the value of extending qualitative research strategies into Q. 34(4): 234-246.
- Kim, H.K. 2010. Estimating agreement between the Q block and the Q tool. 34(1): 25-37.
- McKeown, B. 2001. Loss of meaning in Likert Scaling: A note on the Q methodological alternative. 24(4): 201-206.
- Ramlo, S. and Newman, I. 2011. Q methodology and its position in the mixed-methods continuum. 34(3): 172-191.
- Singer, J.B. 1999. Pairing Q methodology and case studies to explore journalists' attitudes toward new media forms. 22(1-2): 1-19.
- Stenner, P. 2011. Q methodology as qualiquantology: Comment on Susan Ramlo and Isadore Newman's "Q methodology and its position in the mixed-methods continuum". 34(3): 192-203.
- Thompson, B., Frankiewicz, R.G., and Ward, G.R. 1983. Cross-technique validation of attitude measures. 6(2): 37-50.

### ***Q Methodology (Rotation and Factor Analysis)***

- Brown, S.R. 1978. The importance of factors in Q methodology: Statistical and theoretical considerations. 1(4): 117-124.
- Creaser, J.W. 1978. The factor-wheel method of rotation for Q-technique research. 1(3): 70-77.

- D'Agostino, B. 1984. Replicability of results with theoretical rotation. 7(3): 81-87.
- Expositor. 1992. A note on measuring changes in Q factor loadings. 15(2): 56-61.
- Fairweather, J.R. 2001. Factor stability, number of significant loadings, and interpretation: Evidence from three studies and suggested guidelines. 25(1): 37-58.
- Kramer, B. and Gravina, V. 2004. Theoretical rotation as a tool for identifying points of leverage in people's perspectives for program improvement. 27(3): 125-144.

### ***Q Methodology (Sampling and Sorting)***

- Barchak, L.J. 2003. Who performs the Q sort? 26(2): 72-77.
- Brown, S.R. 1996. Comments on Professor Howard's Quest-Sort. 19(1-2): 23-30.
- Cottle, C.E. and McKeown, B. 1980. The forced-free distinction in Q technique: A note on unused categories in the Q sort continuum. 3(2): 58-63.
- Grosswiler, P. 1992. Some methodological considerations on the use of multimedia Q-sample items. 15(3): 65-80.
- Howard, L.W. 1996. Quest-Sort: A paper-and-pencil alternative to card-sorting Q samples. 19(1-2): 12-22.
- Howard, L.W. 1996. Response to Dr. Brown's comments on Quest-Sort. 19(1-2): 31-34.
- Kinsey, D.F. and Kelly, T.C. 1989. Mixing methodologies: An aid in developing Q samples. 12(3-4): 98-102.
- Reber, B.H., Kaufman, S.E., and Cropp, F. 2000. Assessing Q-Assessor: A validation study of computer-based Q sorts versus paper sorts. 23(4): 192-209.
- Shontz, F.C. 1981. Individualization of Q-sort statements. 5(1): 17-21.
- Van Tubergen, G.N. and Olins, R.A. 1979. Mail vs. personal interview administration for Q sorts: A comparative study. 2(2): 51-59.

### ***Quantum Theory***

- Brenner, D.J., Aucoin, J., and Xiaoming, H. 1998. Quantumstuff in communication: Some implications of Stephenson's concept. 21(3-4): 139-150.
- Brown, S.R. 2001. Understanding the rebellious conformist: A note on Rhoads's study of authoritarianism. 24(2): 104-108.
- Brown, S.R. 2002. Subjective behavior analysis. 25(3-4): 148-163.
- Brown, S.R. 2003. Comments on Watts and Stenner's "Q methodology, quantum theory, and psychology". 26(4): 176-183.

- Delprato, D.J. and Brown, S.R. 2002. Q methodology and the operant construct. 25(3-4): 139-147.
- Good, J.M.M. 2003. William Stephenson, quantum theory, and Q methodology. 26(4): 142-156.
- Grosswiler, P. 1994. The convergence of William Stephenson's and Marshall McLuhan's communication theories. 17(3-4): 2-16.
- Knight, M. and Rupp, G. 1999. "Two sciences..." Part I: The epistemology of object/subject inter-dependence. 22(3): 2-11.
- Knight, M. and Rupp, G. 1999. "Two sciences..." Part II: Mental life and the language of science. 22(4): 3-10.
- Knight, M. and Rupp, G. 1999. "Two sciences..." Part III: Stephenson's quantum psychology. 23(1): 1-8.
- Stephenson, W. 1978. Substructure of science and Newton's Fifth Rule. 2(1): 4-16.
- Stephenson, W. 1981. Cyril Burt, quantum theory, and Q: Historical note. (4): 120-134.
- Stephenson, W. 1987. How to make a good cup of tea. 10(2): 37-57.
- Stephenson, W. 1989. The quantumization of psychological events. 12(1-2): 1-23.
- Stephenson, W. 1991. *Ulysses* and *Finnegans Wake*: A Q-methodological look at profundity (Part I: *Ulysses*). 14(3): 89-105.
- Stephenson, W. 1991. *Ulysses* and *Finnegans Wake*: A Q-methodological look at profundity (Part II: *Finnegans Wake*). 14(4): 133-152.
- Stephenson, W. 1996. Quantum theory media research: I. Reception analysis. 9(1-2): 1-11.
- Stephenson, W. 1998. Quantum theory media research: II. Intentionality and acculturation. 21(3-4): 73-91.
- Watts, S. and Stenner, P. 2003. Q methodology, quantum theory, and psychology. 26(4): 157-175.
- Watts, S. and Stenner, P. 2003. On normativity, meaninglessness, and the centrality of the self: A reply to the comments of Good and Brown. 26(4): 184-191.

### ***Readership Studies***

- Singer, J. 1995. Stephenson's play theory and online news reading. 18(1-2): 36-50.

### ***Reception Analysis***

- Stephenson, W. 1996. Quantum theory media research: I. Reception analysis. 19(1-2): 1-11.

***Religion and Values***

- Hathcoat, J.D., and Montgomery, D. 2010. Second-order structure of academic and religious personal epistemologies. 33(1-2): 26-50.
- Stewart, T., DeCourville, N., and Belicki, K. 2010. Experiences and ideals of forgiveness. 33(3-4): 149-172.
- Thomas, D.B., McKeown, B., and Baas, L.R. 2004. Civil-religious ideation and American exceptionalism: Negotiating national identity in a contentious time. 27(4): 166-193.

***Science of Ethics***

- Stephenson, W. 1987. The science of ethics: I. The single case. 11(1): 10-31.
- Stephenson, W. 1988. The science of ethics: II. Ethical judgment. 11(2): 37-52.

***Social Behavior***

- Poole, B.L. and Steuernagel, G.A. 1989. A subjective examination of theories of justice. 12(3-4): 65-80.

***Sociology***

- Brown, S.R. 2001. Understanding the rebellious conformist: A note on Rhoads's study of authoritarianism. 24(2): 104-108.
- Rhoads, J.C. 2001. Researching authoritarian personality with Q methodology Part I: Revisiting traditional analysis. 24(2): 68-85.
- Rhoads, J.C. 2001. Researching authoritarian personality with Q methodology Part II: An intensive study. 24(2): 86-103.

***Sports***

- Rhoads, J.C. 2000. Fanaticism: Sports and subjectivity. 23(4): 210-221.

***Stephenson***

*See William Stephenson.*

***Stephenson Appreciations<sup>††</sup>***

- Knight, M. 2005. Prepared minds: Reflections on Stephenson's article, "Newton's fifth rule". 28(3-4): 172-176.
- Midgley, B.D. 2006. Unacknowledged behaviorist: An appreciation of William Stephenson's "Postulates of behaviorism". 29(1-2): 87-93.
- Ramlo, S. 2006. A physicist's reflection on Q methodology, quantum mechanics & Stephenson. 29(1-2): 81-86.
- Stricklin, M. 2005. "Correlating persons instead of tests": My favorite Stephenson article. 28(3-4): 177-180.

---

<sup>††</sup> An occasional series of personal reflections on books or articles by William Stephenson

Wolf, A. 2007. On "Consciring". 30(3-4): 87-88.

### ***Strategic Planning***

Popovich, K. and Popovich, M. 1994. Use of Q methodology by public relations practitioners for hospital strategic planning. 17(3-4): 40-54.

### ***Subjectivity***

Allgood, E. and Kvalsund, R. 2000. Career counseling experiences in Norway: A Q methodological study. 23(2): 74-91.

Ascher, W. 1987. Subjectivity and the policy sciences. 10(3): 73-80.

Baas, L.R. 1997. The interpersonal sources of the development of political images: An intensive, longitudinal perspective. 20(3-4): 117-142.

Brown, S.R. 1989. A feeling for the organism: Understanding and interpreting political subjectivity. 12(3-4): 81-97.

Brown, S.R. 1993. A primer on Q methodology. 16(3-4): 91-138.

Brown, S.R. 1994. The structure and form of subjectivity in political theory and behavior. 17(1-2): 30-48.

Brown, S.R. 1995. Q methodology as the foundation for a science of subjectivity. 18(1-2): 1-16.

Brown, S.R. 1998. Subjective science: Normal and abnormal (Continued). 21(3-4): 92-111.

Brown, S.R. 2002. Subjective behavior analysis. 25(3-4): 148-163.

Delprato, D.J. and Brown, S.R. 2002. Q methodology and the operant construct. 25(3-4): 139-147.

Forray, J.M. 2000. Understanding fairness: Exploring subjectivity and organizational justice. 23(3): 131-146.

Knight, M., Frederickson, W.A., and Martin, S.A. 1987. Q methodology and the measurement of self perception. 10(4): 114-124.

Lipgar, R.M. 1995. William Stephenson at the University of Chicago: Subjectivity/objectivity revisited. 18(3-4): 86-93.

Lipgar, R.M. 2002. Behavior under conditions of uncertainty: Empirical probes of subjective probability. 25(3-4): 164-176.

Maxwell, J.P. and Brown, S.R. 1999. Identifying problems and generating solutions under conditions of conflict. 23(1): 31-51.

Midgley, B.D. and Morris, E.K. 2002. Subjectivity and behaviorism: Skinner, Kantor, and Stephenson. 25(3-4): 127-138.

Parker, K.R. 1995. Storylistener subjectivity in response to Mark's Passion-Resurrection narrative. 18(1-2): 51-69.

Poole, B.L. and Steuernagel, G.A. 1989. A subjective examination of theories of justice. 12(3-4): 65-80.

- Rhoads, J.C. 2000. Fanaticism: Sports and subjectivity. 23(4): 210–221.
- Rhoads, J.C. and Brown, S.R. 2002. "Sex, lies, and videotape": Attitudes toward the Clinton impeachment. 25(2): 99–116.
- Shontz, F.C. 1981. Individualization of Q-sort statements. 5(1): 17–21.
- Silcox, W. 1999. Science of subjectivity. 22(4): 11–13.
- Smith, N.W. 2002. Commentary on papers on operantcy. 25(3–4): 177–180.
- Stainton Rogers, R. and Stainton Rogers, W. 1989. Subjectivity, representation, and communication: Report of the first British Q conference. 13(1): 15–20.
- Stenner, P. and Watts, S. 1998. [Re]searching for love: Subjectivity and the ontology of the Q factor. 21(1–2): 27–48.
- Stephenson, W. 1977. Factors as operant subjectivity. 1(1): 3–16.
- Stephenson, W. 1980. Conscience and consciousness. 3(3): 74–82.
- Stephenson, W. 1981. Principles for the study of subjectivity. 4(2): 37–53.
- Stephenson, W. 1987. The science of ethics: I. The single case. 11(1): 10–31.
- Thomas, D.B. 1999. Taking subjectivity seriously in educational outcomes assessment: Exploring undergraduate understandings of the liberal arts. 22(4): 14–40.
- Thomas, D.B. and Baas, L.R. 2002. Probing the subjective communicability of an unfolding presidential scandal: A Q study of the Clinton/Lewinsky affair. 25(2): 59–98.
- Watts, S. 2011. Subjectivity as operant: A conceptual exploration and discussion. 35(1): 37–47.
- Willard, A.R. 1994. The scientific study of subjectivity and the achievement of human rights. 17(1–2): 14–29.
- Wolf, A. 2009. Subjectivity, the researcher, and the researched. 32: 6–28.

### ***Trauma Studies***

- Maxwell, J.P. 1999. The violence of the night: The perception of trauma in a song. 22(3): 12–30.

### ***Transportation***

- van Exel, N. J. A., de Graaf, G., and Rietveld, P. 2004. Getting from A to B: Operant approaches to travel decision making. 27(4): 194–216.

### ***Voting Behavior***

- McCormack, U. 1998. First-time voting in the UK 1997 general election. 21(1–2): 49–61.

Wattier, M.J. 1989. Methods of belief: A Q study of R studies of electoral choice. 12(1-2): 25-44.

### ***William Stephenson***

1985. 50 Years of Q methodology (Interview with W. Stephenson). 8(4): 109-110.
1987. William Stephenson at 85 (May 14, 1987); *Operant Subjectivity* at 10. 10(4): 109-112.
- Barchak, L.J. 1990. Obituaries: William Stephenson. 13(2): 38-41.
- Good, J.M. 2000. William Stephenson and the post World War II bifurcation of British psychology. 23(3): 116-130.
- Good, J.M.M. 2003. William Stephenson, quantum theory, and Q methodology. 26(4): 142-156.
- Good, J.M.M. 2011. Some contextualizing notes on William Stephenson's "Old age research". 34(4): 215-216.
- Lipgar, R.M. 1995. William Stephenson at the University of Chicago: Subjectivity/objectivity revisited. 18(3-4): 86-93.
- Stephenson, W. 1985. Letter to the Editor, *Nature* [1935]. 8(4): fc.
- Various Authors. 1990. Remembrances of things past. 13(2): 43-100.
- Waters, H.J., III. 1990. Obituaries: William Stephenson. 13(2): 41-2.

### ***Women's Studies***

- Slavet, J. and Butterfield, D.A. 1999. Women's career advancement and the glass ceiling in the financial services industry. 23(1): 9-30.
- Marshall, H. 1991. The social identities of women lawyers. 14(3): 106-121.

### ***Writers and Writing***

- Copeland, C.T. and Knight, M. 2007. Making sense: A study of the dialogic nature of subjectivity in creative writing. 30(3-4): 155-175.
- Massé, M.H. and Popovich, M.N. 1999. Using Q methodology to assess journalism faculty attitudes toward the teaching of writing. 22(1-2): 20-30.
- Popovich, M., Massé, and Pitts, B. 2003. Revisiting student writer apprehension: A new interpretation of the Riffe and Stacks's *Writing Apprehension Measure*, 26(3): 88-111.

## ***Operant Subjectivity Author Index, Volumes 1–35***

### **A**

- Adams, R.C.** 1983. An evaluation of research replication and market segmentation with Q method. 6(4): 126–139.
- . 1984. See Suppasarn, P.
- Aitken, J.E.** 1988. Using Q-methodology to teach interpersonal communication. 11(4): 114–118.
- , ed. 1991. Bylaws of the International Society for the Scientific Study of Subjectivity. 14(2): 75–79.
- Aleprete, M.E.** and Rhoads, J.C. 2011. U.S. foreign policy: Structure of elite opinion. 34(4): 247–270.
- Allgood, E.** 1995. Persons-in-relation and Q methodology. 18(1–2): 17–35.
- . 2000. Attention in counseling and education: A Q methodological study. 24(1): 25–37.
- Allgood, E.** and Kvalsund, R. 2000. Career counseling experiences in Norway: A Q methodological study. 23(2): 74–91.
- . 2001. The work group as a learning group in counseling: Leader subjectivity in group learning processes. 24(3): 132–153.
- Allgood, E.** 2005. See Kvalsund, R.
- Anderson, D.R.** 2005. Female executives in biotechnology: A contextual approach to understanding their work environments. 28(1–2): 33–57
- Anderson, J.Q.** 2001. See Lee, B.S.
- Aragon, A.** 1990. See Wartel, D.A.
- Arnold, P.R.** 1991. See Barchak, L.J.
- Ascher, W.** 1987. Subjectivity and the policy sciences. 10(3): 73–80.
- Aucoin, J.** 1998. See Brenner, D.J.

### **B**

- Baas, L.R.** 1978. Images of the constitution. 2(1): 17–30.
- . 1997. The interpersonal sources of the development of political images: An intensive, longitudinal perspective. 20(3–4): 117–142.
- Baas, L.R.** and Thomas, D.B. 1993. Are we all on the same factor? (Rejoinder) 16(1–2): 55–59.
- Baas, L.R.** 1993; 1994; 1996; 2002; 2004; 2008. See Thomas, D.B.
- Bair, J.P.** 2000. See Lipgar, R.M

- Baker, A.B.** 1997. Identifying political subcultures in Mexico. 20(3-4): 73-96.
- Baker, M.A.** 2012. Examining the agricultural education fishbowl: Understanding perceptions of agricultural education stakeholders in higher education. 35(2): 74-101.
- Baker, R.**, van Exel, J., Mason, H., and Stricklin, M. 2010. Connecting Q & surveys: Three methods to explore factor membership in large samples. 34(1): 38-58.
- Baptiste, L.J.C.** 2011. What educators learn when they evaluate students. 34(2): 104-123.
- Barbosa, J.C.**, Erickson, T., and Wiloughby, P. 1999 Attitudes and self-perceptions of emergency physicians: The systematization of factor interpretation. 22(1-2): 49-71.
- Barchak, L.J.** 1979. Discovery of socialist opinion. 2(3): 69-102.
- . 1984. Discovering communication paradigms with Q methodology: Ferment or sour grapes? 7(4): 115-130.
- . 1990. Obituaries: William Stephenson. 13(2): 38-41.
- . 2003. Who performs the Q sort? 26(2): 72-77.
- . 2005. An end to ideology. 28(1-2): 1-12.
- Barchak, L.J.** and Arnold, P.R. 1991. Reducing vulnerability by assessing customer business styles. 14(2): 49-60.
- Barchak, L.J.** and Marshall, R. 1999. What do they know of English who only English know? Ascertaining attitudes toward foreign language study using Q methodology in a public relations framework. 22(1-2): 31-48.
- Belicki, K.** 2010. See Stewart, T.
- Beltrao, J.** 1995. See Logan, R.A.
- Berry, R.A.W.**, Shields, B.A., Krickovich, S.R., and Sadler, J.T. 2012. Prospective student teachers' concerns regarding the student-teaching experience. 35(3): 159-175.
- Blanchard, C.L.** 1995. See Sherman, R.
- Blum, D.** 1996. See Carlson, J.M.
- Borthwick, A.C.** 2004. See Nauman, A.
- Brenner, D.J.** 1996. The O.J. Simpson papers: A commentary. 19(3-4): 116-120.
- Brenner, D.J.** Aucoin, J., and Xiaoming, H. 1998. Quantumstuff in communication: Some implications of Stephenson's concept. 21(3-4): 139-150.
- Brouwer, M.** 1992. A lecture not given. 15(2): 62-64.
- . 1993. Validity: Q vs. R. 16(1-2): 1-17.

- Brown, S.R.** 1978. Q bibliographic update: A continuation of "Bibliography on Q technique and its methodology." Published periodically. See *Bibliographic Updates* in Subject Index.
- . 1978. The importance of factors in Q methodology: Statistical and theoretical considerations. 1(4): 117–124.
- . 1981. Three's a crowd: Jimmy Carter, Ronald Reagan and the invisibility of John Anderson. 4(2): 54–60.
- . 1984. "Q-analysis": Caveat emptor. 8(1): 6–17.
- . 1985. Comment on "A new Q too?" 8(2): 54–62.
- . 1989. A feeling for the organism: Understanding and interpreting political subjectivity. 12(3–4): 81–97.
- . 1993. On validity and replicability. (Commentary) 16(1–2): 45–51.
- . 1993. Rejoinder to Brown and Dennis. 16(1–2): 52–54.
- . 1993. A primer on Q methodology. 16(3–4): 91–138.
- . 1994. The structure and form of subjectivity in political theory and behavior. 17(1–2): 30–48.
- . 1994. Scientific conferences and the communication of enlightenment. 17(3–4): 55–69.
- . 1995. Q methodology as the foundation for a science of subjectivity. 18(1–2): 1–16.
- . 1996. Comments on Professor Howard's Quest-Sort. 19(1–2): 23–30.
- . 1998. Subjective science: Normal and abnormal (continued). 21(3–4): 92–111.
- . 1999. On the taking of averages: Variance and factor analyses compared. 22(3): 31–37.
- . 2001. Understanding the rebellious conformist: A note on Rhoads's study of authoritarianism. 24(2): 104–108.
- . 2002. Q technique and questionnaires. 25(2): 117–126.
- . 2002. Subjective behavior analysis. 25(3–4): 148–163.
- . 2002. Obituary: Maimie Stephenson. 26(1): 53–57.
- . 2003. Comments on Watts and Stenner's "Q methodology, quantum theory, and psychology." 26(4): 176–183.
- . 2004. Reserving a key place for reality: Philosophical foundations of theoretical rotation. 27(3): 104–124.
- Brown, S.R.** and Feist, U. 1992. Calibrating bilingual Q samples. 15(4): 105–115.
- Brown, S.R.** 1999. See Maxwell, J.P.
- Brown, S.R.** 2002. See Rhoads, J.C.

- Brown, S.R.** 2002. See Delprato, D.J.
- Brown, S.R.** 2005a; 2005b. See Hurd, R.C.
- Brown, S.R.** 2011. See Wolf, A.
- Burt, C.** 1981. O, P, Q, and R techniques. 4(4): 102–119.
- Buehner, T.M.** 2011. College student preferences for trendy versus classic typefaces. 35(1): 1–36.
- Butterfield, D.A.** 1999. See Slavet, J.

## C

- Calvert, C.** 1994. See Cohen, J.
- Capdevila, R.** and Lazard, L. 2009. 'Is it just me...?' Q methodology and representing the marginal. 32: 70–84.
- Capdevila, R.** 2004. See Corr, S.
- Carlson, J.M.**, Blum, D., and McKeown, B. 1996. The structure of attitudes toward America's world role. 19(1–2): 35–57.
- Chappell, S.** 1998. Representations of violent young women. 21(1–2): 62–72.
- Chi, S.A.** 1997. See Yeun, E.J.
- Chinnis, A.S.**, Paulson, D.J., and Davis, S.M. 2003. Targeted faculty development and program administration based on subjective structural analysis of academic physician needs. 26(2): 58–71.
- Choi, Y.J.** 2010. The ideal female body image: A Q approach to the third-person effect. 33(3–4): 129–148.
- Christman, P.** 2005. See Popovich, M.N.
- Clyde, R.W.** 1993. See Endorf, M.G.
- Cohen, J.**, Calvert, C., and Lipari, L. 1994. Shared goals in an undergraduate communication curriculum: Using Q methodology to identify community expectations. 17(3–4): 70–84.
- Cohen, S.** and Martin, R. 1982. Political obligation, judges, and the Holocaust. 5(3): 89–106.
- Copeland, C.T.** and Knight, M. 2007. Making sense: A study of the dialogic nature of subjectivity in creative writing. 30(3–4): 155–175.
- Corr, S.**, Phillips, C.J., and Capdevila, R. 2004. Using Q methodology to evaluate a day service for younger adult stroke survivors. 27(1): 1–23.
- Cottle, C.E.** and McKeown, B. 1980. The forced-free distinction in Q technique: A note on unused categories in the Q sort continuum. 3(2): 58–63.
- Craig, R.B.** 1983. See Sell, D.K.
- Creaser, J.W.** 1978. The factor-wheel method of rotation for Q-technique research. 1(3): 70–77.

**Cropp, F.** 2000. See Reber, B.H.

**Crumley, W.** 1990. Looking to the future. 13(2): 103–104.

**Cuppen, E.H.W.J.** 2011. See Wolf, A.

### D-E

**D'Agostino, B.** 1984. Replicability of results with theoretical rotation. 7(3): 81–87.

**Danbury, T.** 1985. An original in-depth segmentation study of the market research industry. 8(4): 113–122.

**Davis, S.M.** 2003. See Chinnis, A.S.

**DeCourville, N.H.** and Hafer, C.L. 2001. Attitudes toward affirmative action programs: A Q methodological study. 24(4): 183–200.

**DeCourville, N.** 2010. See Stewart, T.

**de Graaf, G.** 2004. See van Exel, N.J.A.

**Deignan, T.** 2012. A novel way to develop policy and practice. 35(2): 102–128.

**Delprato, D.J.** and Brown, S.R. 2002. Q methodology and the operant construct. 25(3–4): 139–147.

**Dennis, K.E.** 1993. Looking at reliability and validity through Q-colored glasses. (Commentary) 16(1–2): 37–44.

—. 2001. The use and misuse of Q methodology in health research. 25(1): 1–10.

**Dew, J.** 2006. See Ray, C.M.

**Downing Wilson, D.** 2007. Revealing shifts in attitude among undergraduates participating in academic service learning programs. 30(1–2): 23–51.

**Driver, D.W.** 2008. The military mind and American public philosophies: A Q-methodology approach. 31: 60–99.

**Duijker, H.C.J.** 1979. Mind and meaning. 3(1):15–31.

**Ellingsen, I.T.** 2011. Designing a Q sample for a study with adolescent foster children. 34(3): 125–145.

**Endorf, M.G.** and Clyde, R.W. 1993. Adolescent female views of values education. 16 (3–4): 139–146.

**Erickson, T.** 1999. See Barbosa, J.C.

**Expositor.** 1987. Reliable schematics. 10(3): 81–86.

—. 1992. A note on measuring changes in Q factor loadings. 15(2): 56–61.

—. 1996. A note on “testing” psychological types. 9(1): 29–35.

**F-G**

- Fairweather, J.R.** 1981. Reliability and validity of Q-method results: Some empirical evidence. 5(1): 2-16.
- . 2001. Factor stability, number of significant loadings, and interpretation: Evidence from three studies and suggested guidelines. 25(1): 37-58.
- Fang, K.-H.** 2005. See Popovich, M.N.
- Feist, U.** 1992. See Brown, S.R.
- Fichtner, C.G.** 2000. See Lipgar, R.M.
- Focht, W.** 2005. See Popovich, M.N.
- Forray, J.M.** 2000. Understanding fairness: Exploring subjectivity and organizational justice. 23(3): 131-146.
- Forrest, J.** 2000. Using Q methodology to assess chronic pain in elderly cognitively intact and Alzheimer's patients. 24(1): 38-48.
- Frankiewicz, R.G.** 1983. See Thompson, B.
- Frederickson, W.A.** 1987. See Knight, M.
- Gajdamaschko, N.** 2006. See Madoc-Jones, G.
- Gibson, D.** and Ingle, J.R. 1989. A select review of *Nursing Research*. 13(1): 3-7.
- Goldstein, D.M.** 1989. Q methodology and control systems theory. 13(1): 8-14.
- . 1991. Q methodology and control theory: II. General considerations. 14(2): 61-74.
- Good, J.M.M.** 2000. William Stephenson and the post-World War II bifurcation of British psychology. 23(3): 116-130.
- . 2003. William Stephenson, quantum theory, and Q methodology. 26(4): 142-156
- . 2011. Some contextualizing notes on William Stephenson's "Old age research". 34(4): 215-216.
- Good, J.M.M.** 2011. See Wolf, A.
- Gould, P.** 1985. A new Q too? 8(2): 42-53.
- Gourlay, S.** 2011. Commentary on Ramlo and Newman, "Q methodology and its position in the mixed-methods continuum." 34(3): 208-212.
- Gravina, V.** 2004. See Kramer, B.
- Grosswiler, P.** 1992. Some methodological considerations on the use of multimedia Q-sample items. 15(3): 65-80.
- . 1994. The convergence of William Stephenson's and Marshall McLuhan's communication theories. 17(3-4): 2-16.

**H-J**

- Hafer, C.L.** 2001. See DeCourville, N.H.
- Hathcoat, J.D.** and Montgomery, D. 2010. Second-order structure of academic and religious personal epistemologies. 33(1-2): 26-50.
- Hofmeister, J.F.** 1978. See Mauldin, C.R.
- Hooker, A.M.** 2001. Beliefs regarding society and nature: A framework for listening in forest and environmental policy. 24(4): 159-182.
- Hoss, M.M.** and Kinsey, D.F. 2003. Instinctive influence: An exploration of the influences of opinion leaders on buyers of original fine art. 26(3): 112-131.
- Howard, L.W.** 1996. Quest-Sort: A paper-and-pencil alternative to card-sorting Q samples. 19(1-2): 12-22.
- . 1996. Response to Dr. Brown's comments on Quest-Sort. 19(1-2): 31-34.
- Hurd, R.** 2007. Academic procrastination by undergraduate students. 30(1-2): 2-22.
- Hurd, R.C.** and Brown, S.R. 2005a. The future of the Q methodology movement. 28(1-2): 58-75.
- . 2005b. In place of a rejoinder: Embracing factors A, B, C, and D. 28(1-2): 93-96.
- Hutson, G.** and Montgomery, D. 2011. Demonstrating the value of extending qualitative research strategies into Q. 34(4): 234-246.
- Illiescu, D.** and Tureanu, V. 2006. Metaphorical representations of the HR consultant. 29(1-2): 27-57.
- Illiescu, D.** 2006. See Tureanu, V.
- Ingle, J.R.** 1989. See Gibson, D.

**K**

- Kagel, K.A.** 1995. See Sherman, R.
- Kang, H.** 1997. Q studies in Korea: Past and present. 20(1-2): 3-13.
- Kanihan, S.F.** and Kinsey, D.F. 1997. Political involvement: Characteristics and categories. 20(3-4): 97-116.
- Karmatz, F.N.** and Wood, E.C. 1985. Differentiating outback miners. 8(3): 88-96.
- Kaufman, S.E.** 2000. See Reber, B.H.
- Kelly, T.C.** 1989. See Kinsey, D.F.
- Ketterer, S.E.**, Perry, E.L., Meeds, R.W., Lee, E., Sanders, K.P., and Yin, J. 1996. Perceptions of the O.J. Simpson murder trial and its news coverage. 19(3-4): 85-104.

- Khatri, R.*** 2010. Investigating students' perceptions of e-learning within an occupational therapy program. 33(1-2): 84-100.
- Kim, B.H.*** and Kim, H.K. 1997. The causal schemata of cancer: A Q-methodological application for Korean patients. 20(1-2): 14-27.
- Kim, B.H.*** and Kim, J.K. 2004. Meaning of cancer and hospice among health service providers: Conflict or congruity? 27(1): 24-46.
- Kim, D.*** and Kim, H.K. 1997. The schemata of hope: A Q methodological look. 20(1-2): 62-72.
- Kim, H.K.*** 1997. Q methodology and creation of advertising message: An example of coffee. 20(1-2): 28-42.
- . 2010. Estimating agreement between the Q block and the Q tool. 34(1): 25-37.
- Kim, H.K.*** 1997. See Kim, B.H.
- Kim, H.K.*** 1997. See Kim, D.
- Kim, H.K.*** 1997. See Yeun, E.J.
- Kim, I.*** 2007. Korean public relations practitioners' perspectives on integrated marketing communications implementation. 30(3-4): 137-154.
- Kim, J.K.*** 2004. See Kim, B.H.
- Kinsey, D.F.*** 1991. Selecting a winning campaign slogan. 15(1): 1-10.
- . 1994. Humor communicability. 17(1-2): 49-61.
- Kinsey, D.F.*** and Taylor, R.W. 1982. Some meanings of political cartoons. 5(3): 107-114.
- Kinsey, D.F.*** and Kelly, T.C. 1989. Mixing methodologies: An aid in developing Q samples. 12(3-4): 98-102.
- Kinsey, D.F.*** 1997. See Kanihan, S.F.
- Kinsey, D.F.*** 2003. See Hoss, M.M.
- Kinsey, D.F.*** 2008. See Rhoads, J.C.
- Kitzinger, C.*** 1986. See Stainton Rogers, R.
- Kleine, S.S.*** 2000. Using clothing to bring alive autobiographical memories. 23(2): 92-115.
- Knight, M.*** 2005. Prepared minds: Reflections on Stephenson's article, "Newton's fifth rule." 28(3-4): 172-176.
- Knight, M.***, Frederickson, W.A., and Martin, S.A. 1987. Q methodology and the measurement of self-perception. 10(4): 114-124.
- Knight, M.*** and Rupp, G. 1999. "Two sciences..." Part I: The epistemology of object/subject inter-dependence. 22(3): 2-11.
- . 1999. "Two sciences..." Part II: Mental life and the language of science. 22(4): 3-10.

- Knight, M.** and Rupp, G. 1999. "Two sciences..." Part III: Stephenson's quantum psychology. 23(1): 1-8.
- Knight, M.** 2007. See Copeland, C.T.
- Koch, W.**, Martin, R., Matthes, U., and Taylor, R. 1992. Some East German attitudes toward law and political authority. 15(4): 97-104.
- Kramer, B.** and Gravina, V. 2004. Theoretical rotation as a tool for identifying points of leverage in people's perspectives for program improvement. 27(3): 125-144.
- Kvalsund, R.** and Allgood, E. 2005. Co-leader subjectivity in an educational setting. 28(3-4): 116-144
- Kvalsund, R.** See Allgood, E. 2000; 2001.
- Krickovich, S.R.** 2012. See Berry, R.A.W.

**L**

- Lazard, L.** 2009. See Capdevila, R.
- Lee, B.S.** 2000. Information privacy: How much privacy protection does the public want on the Web? 23(4): 170-191.
- Lee, B.S.** and Synn, W. 2001. Investor response to online stock trading: A study using Q methodology. 24(3): 109-131.
- Lee, B.S.** and Anderson, J.Q. 2001. An analysis of internet adopters. 25(1): 11-36.
- Lee, E.** 1996. See Ketterer, S.E.
- Lichtenstein, P.E.** 1988. Interbehavioral psychology and Q methodology. 11(2): 53-61.
- Lipari, L.** 1994. See Cohen, J.
- Lipgar, R.M.** 1995. William Stephenson at the University of Chicago: Subjectivity/objectivity revisited. 18(3-4): 86-93.
- . 2002. Behavior under conditions of uncertainty: Empirical probes of subjective probability. 25(3-4): 164-176.
- Lipgar, R.M.**, Bair, J.P., and Fichtner, C.G. 2000. Integrating research with conference learning: 10 years of Q methodology studies exploring experiential learning in the Tavistock tradition. 24(1): 1-24.
- Logan, R.A.** 2011. In memoriam: Donald J. Brenner (1932-2010). 34(2): 85-99.
- Logan, R.A.** and Beltrao, J. 1995. Sustainable development, the press and policy: A Q study of Brazilian policy makers. 18(3-4): 121-143.

**M-O**

- Madoc-Jones, G.** and Gajdamaschko, N. 2006. Theoretical incompatibilities in teachers' self-understandings of educational practice: An examination using Q methodology. 29(1-2): 58-80.

- Marshall, H.** 1991. The social identities of women lawyers. 14(3): 106–121.
- Marshall, R.** 1999. See Barchak, L.J.
- Martin, R.** and Taylor, R. 1978. Political obligation: An experimental approach. 1(3): 61–69.
- Martin, R.** 1982. See Cohen, S.
- Martin, R.** 1992. See Koch, W.
- Martin, S.A.** 1987. See Knight, M.
- Mason, H.** 2010. See Baker, R.
- Massé, M.H.** and Popovich, M.N. 1999. Using Q methodology to assess journalism faculty attitudes toward the teaching of writing. 22(1–2): 20–30.
- Massé, M. H.** 2003. See Popovich, M.
- Matthes, U.** 1992. See Koch, W.
- Mauldin, C.R.** 1980. Closing the gap between communication research and communication. 3(3): 83–100.
- . 1990. A segmentation study of attitudes about advertising. 14(1): 20–33.
- Mauldin, C.R.**, Sutherland, J.C., and Hofmeister, J.F. 1978. Operant attitude segmentation and marketing decisions. 1(2): 38–50.
- Maxwell, J.P.** 1999. The violence of the night: The perception of trauma in a song. 22(3): 12–30.
- Maxwell, J.P.** and Brown, S.R. 1999. Identifying problems and generating solutions under conditions of conflict. 23(1): 31–51.
- McBride, A.** 1996. See Thomas, D.B.
- McCormack, U.** 1998. First-time voting in the UK 1997 general election. 21(1–2): 49–61.
- McKeown, B.** 1998. Circles: Q methodology and hermeneutical science. 21(3–4): 112–138.
- . 2001. Loss of meaning in Likert scaling: A note on the Q methodological alternative. 24(4): 201–206.
- McKeown, B.** 1980. See Cottle, C.
- McKeown, B.** 1996. See Carlson, J.M.
- McKeown, B.** 2004. See Thomas, D.B.
- Meeds, R.W.** 1996. See Ketterer, S.E.
- Midgley, B.D.** 2006. Unacknowledged behaviorist: An appreciation of William Stephenson's "Postulates of behaviorism". 29(1–2): 87–93.
- Midgley, B.D.** and Morris, E.K. 2002. Subjectivity and behaviorism: Skinner, Kantor, and Stephenson. 25(3–4): 127–138.
- Montgomery, D.** 2010. Q applied to educational issues. 33(1–2): 1–2.

- Montgomery, D.** 2010. See Hathcoat, J.D.
- Montgomery, D.** 2011. See Hutson, G.
- Montgomery, D.** 2006. See Ray, C.M.
- Moore, R.L.** 1979. See Schwartz, S.H.
- Morris, E.K.** 2002. See Midgley, B.D.
- Nauman, A.**, Borthwick, A.C., and Stirling, T. 2004. Experienced and preservice teacher beliefs about how best to teach beginning reading. 27 (2): 84–103.
- Nesterenko, A.** and Wilson, S.A. 1980. CONCOURSE: Computer program for the Q methodologist. 4(1): 17–22.
- Newman, I.** 2011. See Ramlo, S.
- Niemeyer, S.** 2010. The Q-block method and an alternative: Benchmarking the Australian Discourse of Democracy. 34(1): 59–84.
- Ockwell, D.** 2011. See Wolf, A.
- Olins, R.A.** 1979; 1982. See Van Tubergen, G.N.
- O'Shea, L.** 1985. Value congruences shaping mankind's future. 9(1): 8–24.
- Oterkiiil, C.** 2010. Exploring perceptions of leadership for school staff. 33(1–2): 51–67.

### P–R

- Parker, K.R.** 1995. Storylistener subjectivity in response to Mark's Passion–Resurrection narrative. 18(1–2): 51–69.
- Patterson, J.** 1982. A dissection of attitudes about science. 5(2): 58–76.
- Paulson, D.J.** 2003. See Chinnis, A.S.
- Peck, R.L.** 2010. Introduction to the Q-block symposium. 34(1): 1–5.
- Peritore, P.** 1986. Field notes on the use of Q methodology in a study of the Brazilian Left. 10(1): 10–20.
- Perry, E.L.** 1996. See Ketterer, S.E.
- Petit dit Dariel, O.** 2010. Assessment research in nursing education: The case for Q methodology. 33(1–2): 68–83.
- Phillips, C.J.** 2004. See Corr, S.
- Pitts, B.** 2003. See Popovich, M.
- Pool, B.L.** and Steuernagel, G.A. 1989. A subjective examination of theories of justice. 12(3–4): 65–80.
- Popovich, K.** and Popovich, M. 1994. Use of Q methodology by public relations practitioners for hospital strategic planning. 17(3–4): 40–54.
- Popovich, M.N.** 2002. Subject index 1–25. 2002. 26(1): 7–36.
- . 2002. Author index 1–25. 2002. 26(1): 37–52.

- Popovich, M.**, Massé, M.H. and Pitts, B. 2003. Revisiting student writer apprehension: A new interpretation of the Riffe and Stacks's *Writing Apprehension Measure*, 26(3): 88–111.
- Popovich, M.N.**, Focht, W., Stricklin, M., Christman, P., and Fang, K.-H. 2005. Commentaries on "The future of the Q methodology movement." 28(1–2): 76–92.
- Popovich, M.** 1994. See Popovich, K.
- Popovich, M.N.** 1999. See Massé, M.H.
- Rahman, N.A.** 2004. Teacher attitudes towards misconceptions in physics learning. 27(2): 50–67.
- Ramlo, S.** 2006. A physicist's reflection on Q methodology, quantum mechanics & Stephenson. 29(1–2) 81–86.
- . 2007. Student views of learning in a first semester college physics course: A study using Q methodology. 30(1–2): 52–63.
- . 2011. A note on the Don Brenner Outstanding Paper Award. 34(2): 100–101.
- Ramlo, S.** and Newman, I. 2011. Q methodology and its position in the mixed-methods continuum. 34(3): 172–191.
- Ray, C.M.**, Thorman, J., Montgomery, D., Yang, Y., and Dew, J. 2006. Using Q methodology as a strategy to explore cultural opinions toward health care. 29(3–4): 182–205.
- Reber, B.H.**, Kaufman, S.E., and Cropp, F. 2000. Assessing Q-Assessor: A validation study of computer-based Q sorts versus paper sorts. 23(4): 192–209.
- Rhoads, J.C.** 2000. Fanaticism: Sports and subjectivity. 23(4): 210–21.
- . 2001. Researching authoritarian personality with Q methodology Part I: Revisiting traditional analysis. 24(2): 68–85.
- . 2001. Researching authoritarian personality with Q methodology Part II: An intensive study. 24(2): 86–103.
- Rhoads, J.C.** and Brown, S.R. 2002. "Sex, lies, and videotape": Attitudes toward the Clinton impeachment. 25(2): 99–116.
- Rhoads, J.C.** and Kinsey, D.F. 2008. 2008 Democratic campaign: Perceptions of the Obama–Clinton nomination struggle. 31: 100–121.
- Rhoads, J.C.** 2011. See Aleprete, M.E.
- Rhoads, J.C., Jr.** 2012. See Thomas, D.B.
- Rietveld, P.** 2004. See van Exel, N.J A.
- Root, J.** 1995. A partisan/nonpartisan schematic approach to interpreting political cartoons. 18(3–4): 94–107.
- Rupp, G.** 1999. See Knight, M.

**S**

**Sadler, J.T.** 2012. See Berry, R.A.W.

**Sanders, K.P.** 1996. See Ketterer, S.E.

**Scheutzw, S.J.** 1981. Policy makers of tomorrow: Case studies of adolescents. 4(3): 74–92.

**Schwartz, S.H.** 1978. Reflections on a Q dissertation and its opposition. 1(3): 78–84.

**Schwartz, S.H.** and Moore, R.L. 1979. Newspaper research on the rocks: An argument for the use of Q methodology in readership studies. 2(4): 124–134.

**Sell, D.K.** and Craig, R.B. 1983. The use of Q methodology to investigate attitude change in American students who participate in foreign study programs: A review of the literature. 7(1): 14–29.

**Sherman, R.**, Blanchard, C.L., and Kagel, K.A. 1995. Local theater attendance: A scientific approach to audience recruitment. 18(3–4): 108–120.

**Shields, B. A.** 2012. See Berry, R.A.W.

**Shin, Y.** 2007. Attitudes toward gender equality: A Q methodology study. 30(1–2): 64–86.

**Shontz, F.C.** 1981. Individualization of Q-sort statements. 5(1): 17–21.

**Silcox, W.** 1999. Science of subjectivity. 22(4): 11–13.

**Singer, J.B.** 1995. Stephenson's play theory and online news reading. 18(1–2): 36–50.

———. 1999. Pairing Q methodology and case studies to explore journalists' attitudes toward new media forms. 22(1–2): 1–19.

**Slavet, J.** and Butterfield, D.A. 1999. Women's career advancement and the glass ceiling in the financial services industry. 23(1): 9–30.

**Smith, N.W.** 2001. Centrism, noncentrism, and universal Q. 24(2): 52–67.

———. 2002. Commentary on papers on operantcy. 25(3–4): 177–180.

———. 2005. Combining Q with interbehavioral concepts for clinical application. 28(3–4): 167–171.

**Stainton Rogers, R.** 1998. Q methodology and "going critical": Some reflections on the British dialect. 21(1–2): 19–26.

**Stainton Rogers, R.** and Kitzinger, C. 1986. Human rights: Bedrock or mosaic? 9(4): 123–30.

**Stainton Rogers, R.** and Stainton Rogers, W. 1989. Subjectivity, representation, and communication: Report of the first British Q conference. 13(1): 15–20.

- Stainton Rogers, W.*** 1998. Q methodology, textuality, and tectonics. 21(1-2): 1-18.
- Stainton Rogers, W.*** 1989. See Stainton Rogers, R.
- Stenner, P.*** 2009. Introduction: Between method and ology. 32: 1-5.
- . 2009. Q as a constructivist methodology. 32: 46-69.
- . 2011. Q methodology as qualiquantology: Comment on Susan Ramlo and Isadore Newman's "Q methodology and its position in the mixed-methods continuum". 34(3): 192-203.
- Stenner, P.*** and Watts, S. 1998. [Re]searching for love: Subjectivity and the ontology of the Q factor. 21(1-2): 27-48.
- Stenner, P.*** 2003a; 2003b. See Watts, S.
- Stephenson, C.W.T.*** 1983. International development and security. 7(1): 3-13.
- . 1990. Looking to the future. 13(2): 101-102.
- Stephenson, W.***
1977. Factors as operant subjectivity. 1(1): 3-16.
1978. A note on estimating standard errors of factor scores in Q method. 1(2): 29-37.
1978. Applications of communication theory: IV. Immediate experience of movies. 1(4): 96-116.
1978. Substructure of science and Newton's Fifth Rule. 2(1): 4-16.
1979. Influence of cultural background on test performance. [1949] 2(2): 40-45.
1979. Old age research in England. [1950] 2(2): 46-50.
1979. Observations on Sir Cyril Burt and "The Burt Affair." 2(4): 110-123.
1979. The communicability and operantcy of self. 3(1): 2-14.
1980. Factor analysis. 3(2): 38-57.
1980. Conscience and consciousness. 3(3): 74-82.
1980. Q methodology and the subjectivity of literature. 3(4): 111-133.
1980. Applications of communication theory: V. Play-theoretical aspects of science. 4(1): 2-16.
1981. Principles for the study of subjectivity. 4(2): 37-53.
1981. Cyril Burt, quantum theory, and Q: Historical note. 4(4): 120-134.
1982. Newton's Fifth Rule and Q methodology: Application to self psychology. 5(2): 37-57.
1982. Newton's Fifth Rule and Q methodology: Application to psychoanalysis. 5(4): 127-147.
1983. Against interpretation [Parts 1 and 2]. 6(3): 73-103.

**Stephenson, W.** (continued)

1983. Against interpretation [Part 3]. 6(4): 109–125.
1984. The primary function of human communicability: Testimony to H.C.J. Duijker. 7(3): 73–80.
1984. Perspectives on Q methodology: I. Statements of problems. 7(4): 110–114.
1984. Perspectives on Q methodology: II. Monistic protopostulate of communicability. 8(1): 2–5.
1985. Perspectives on Q methodology: III. A creative nexus. 8(2): 37–41.
1985. Perspectives on Q methodology: IV. Behavioral worlds. 8(3): 83–87.
1985. Letter to the Editor, *Nature* [1935]. 8(4): fc.
1986. Protoconcursus: The concourse theory of communication (Part 1). 9(2): 37–58.
1986. Protoconcursus: The concourse theory of communication (Conclusion). 9(3): 73–96.
1986. Play theory of communication. 9(4): 109–12.
1987. How to make a good cup of tea. 10(2): 37–57.
1987. Measurement of self perception: Some reflections on the article by Knight, Frederickson, and Martin. 10(4): 125–135.
1987. The science of ethics: I. The single case. 11(1): 10–31.
1988. The science of ethics: II. Ethical judgment. 11(2): 37–52.
1988. Falsification and credulity for psychoanalytic doctrine. 11(3): 73–97.
1989. The quantumization of psychological events. 12(1–2): 1–23.
1990. Fifty years of exclusionary psychometrics: I. Q technique. 13(3): 105–120.
1990. Fifty years of exclusionary psychometrics: II. Developments. 13(4): 141–162.
1990. My self in 1980: A study of culture. 14(1): 1–19.
1991. *Ulysses* and *Finnegans Wake*: A Q-methodological look at profundity (Part I: *Ulysses*). 14(3): 89–105.
1991. *Ulysses* and *Finnegans Wake*: A Q-methodological look at profundity (Part II: *Finnegans Wake*). 14(4): 133–152.
1992. Self in everyday life. 15(2): 29–55.
1993. Intentionality: Or how to buy a loaf of bread. 16(3–4): 69–90.  
2006. Reprinted 29(3–4): 122–137.
1994. Introduction to Q-methodology. 17(1–2): 1–13.
1996. Quantum theory media research: I. Reception analysis. 19(1–2): 1–11.

**Stephenson, W.** (continued)

1998. Quantum theory media research: II. Intentionality and acculturation. 21(3-4): 73-91.
2005. Theory and methodology for esthetics. 28(1-2): 13-32.
2005. A sentence from B. F. Skinner. 28(3-4): 97-115.
2006. Tribute to Melanie Klein. 29(3-4): 95-121.
2007. Consciring: A general theory for subjective communicability. [1980] 30(3-4): 89-136.
2011. Old age research. 34(4): 217-233.
- Steuernagel, G.A.** 1989. See Poole, B.L.
- Stewart, T.**, DeCourville, N., and Belicki, K. 2010. Experiences and ideals of forgiveness. 33(3-4): 149-172.
- Stirling, T.** 2004. See Nauman, A.
- Størksen, I.** and Thorsen, A.A. 2011. Young children's participation in a Q study with visual images: Some comments on reliability and validity. 34(3): 146-171.
- Størksen, I.** 2010. See Thorsen, A.A.
- Stowell-Smith, M.** 1997. Identity dilemmas and psychological discourse: The case of psychopaths in a secure psychiatric hospital. 20(3-4): 143-158.
- Stricklin, M.** 1987. Some thoughts on completing computer programs for Q technique. 10(4): 136-139.
- Stricklin, M.** 2005. Eight quantum realities redux: Finding David Bohm. 28(3-4): 145-166.
- Stricklin, M.** 2005. "Correlating persons instead of tests": My favorite Stephenson article. 28(3-4): 177-180.
- Stricklin, M.** 2005. See Popovich, M.N.
- Stricklin, M.** 2010. See Baker, R.
- Suppasarn, P.** and Adams, R.C. 1984. Some discrete views of televised violence: Implications for media policy. 7(2): 37-55.
- Sutherland, J.C.** 1978. See Mauldin, C.R.
- Sylvester, J.L.** 1996. Post-verdict attitudes toward the O.J. Simpson murder trial: The LSU study. 19(3-4): 105-115.
- . 2000. Q methodology and social marketing: Interpreting racial attitudes toward health care. 23(2): 52-73.
- Sylvia, R.D.** 1990. See Vajirakachorn, S.
- Synn, W.** 2001. See Lee, B.S.
- Sun, M.T.-W.** 2008. The implementation of contracting out in Taiwan's local governments: An application of Q methodology. 31: 38-59.

**Swetnam, K.G.** 2010. Dropout and academic achievement perceptions of middle and high school students of Mexican descent: A Q-methodology study. 33(3-4): 103–128.

**T-V**

**Talbott, A. D.** 2010. The Q-block method of indexing Q typologies. [1963] 34(1): 6–24.

**Taylor, H.A.** 1988. Help orientations of geriatric nursing care givers. 11(4): 105–113.

**Taylor, R.** 1978. See Martin, R.

**Taylor, R.** 1992. See Koch, W.

**Taylor, R.W.** 1982. See Kinsey, D.F.

**Thomas, D.B.** 1999. Taking subjectivity seriously in educational outcomes assessment: Exploring undergraduate understandings of the liberal arts. 22(4): 14–40.

**Thomas, D.B.** and Baas, L.R. 1993. The issue of generalization in Q methodology: "Reliable Schematics" revisited. 16(1-2): 18–36.

———. 1994. Reading the romance, building the bestseller: A Q-technique study of reader response to *The Bridges of Madison County*. 17(3-4): 17–39.

———. 2002. Probing the subjective communicability of an unfolding presidential scandal: A Q study of the Clinton/Lewinsky affair. 25(2): 59–98.

———. 2008. The mutable mandate: Crafting the constructed message, meaning, and strategic subjectivity in the post-election campaign for 2004. 31: 2–37.

**Thomas, D.B.**, McBride, A., and Baas, L.R. 1996. Contrary convictions: Race and subjectivity in public opinion on the O.J. Simpson criminal trial. 19(3-4): 58–84.

**Thomas, D.B.**, McKeown, B., and Baas, L.R. 2004. Civil-religious ideation and American exceptionalism: Negotiating national identity in a contentious time. 27(4): 166–193.

**Thomas, D.B.** and Rhoads, R.C., Jr. 2012. Exploring the "inner game" of video-game enthusiasts 35(3): 129–158.

**Thomas, D.B.** 1993. See Baas, L.R.

**Thompson, B.**, Frankiewicz, R.G., and Ward, G.R. 1983. Cross-technique validation of attitude measures. 6(2): 37–50.

**Thorman, J.** 2006. See Ray, C.M.

**Thorsen, A.A.** and Størksen, I. 2010. Ethical, methodological, and practical reflections when using Q methodology in research with young children. 33(1-2): 3–25.

**Thorsen, A.A.** 2011. See Størksen, I.

- Trepal, H.C.** 2004. See Webster, K.L.
- Tureanu, V.** and Illiescu, D. 2006. Segmentation of public perceptions on Romania's accession to the EU. 29(1-2): 1-27.
- Tureanu, V.** 2006. See Illiescu, D.
- Vajirakachorn, S.** and Sylvia, R.D. 1990. Administrative attitudes of elite officials in a Buddhist polity. 13(4): 163-174.
- van Exel, J.** 2010. See Baker, R.
- van Exel, N.J.A.**, de Graaf, G., and Rietveld, P. 2004. Getting from A to B: Operant approaches to travel decision making. 27(4): 194-216.
- van Tubergen, G.N.** and Olins, R.A. 1979. Mail vs personal interview administration for Q sorts: A comparative study. 2(2): 51-59.
- . 1982. Reflections on a test for construct validity in some Q typologies. 6(1): 22-30.
- Various Authors.** 1990. Remembrances of things past. 13(2): 43-100.

## W-Z

- Ward, G.R.** 1983. See Thompson, B.
- Wartel, D.A.** and Aragon, A. 1990. Psychiatric staff opinion about patient etiology and treatment. 13(3): 121-126.
- Waters, H.J., III.** 1990. Obituaries: William Stephenson. 13(2): 41-42.
- Waterton, E.** 2006. The meaning of 'heritage': Mapping discursive perspectives with Q methodology. 29(3-4): 138-181.
- Wattier, M.J.** 1989. Methods of belief: A Q study of R studies of electoral choice. 12(1-2): 25-44.
- Watts, S.** and Stenner, P. 2003a. Q methodology, quantum theory, and psychology. 26(4): 157-175.
- . 2003b. On normativity, meaninglessness, and the centrality of the self: A reply to the comments of Good and Brown. 26(4): 184-191.
- . 2011. Subjectivity as operant: A conceptual exploration and discussion. 35(1): 37-47.
- . 2009. Social constructionism redefined: Human selectionism and the objective reality of Q methodology. 32: 29-45.
- Watts, S.** 1998. See Stenner, P.
- Watts, S.** 2011. See Wolf, A.
- Webster, K.L.** and Trepal, H.C. 2004. Youth perspectives of bullying: Thinking outside the box. 27(2): 68-83.
- Wilkerson, K.E.** 1982. Media Ludentia. 6(1): 1-14.
- Willard, A.R.** 1994. The scientific study of subjectivity and the achievement of human rights. 17(1-2): 14-29.
- Wiloughby, P.** 1999. See Barbosa, J.C.

- Wilson, S.A.** 1980. See Nesterenko, A.
- Wolf, A.** 2004. The bones of a concourse. 27(3):145–165.
- . 2007. On “Consciring”. 30(3–4): 87–88.
- . 2009. Subjectivity, the researcher, and the researched. 32: 6–28.
- Wolf, A.**, with Good, J.M.M., Brown, S.R., Cuppen, E.H.W.J., Ockwell, D., and Watts, S. 2011. Q methodology and its applications: Reflections on theory. 35(1): 48–71.
- Wood, E.C.** 1985. See Karmatz, F.N.
- Woodilla, J.** 2000. Voices of hope, voices of cynicism: Using Q methodology to interpret complex attitudes towards organizational change. 23(3): 147–169.
- Xiaoming, H.** 1998. See Brenner, D.J.
- Yang, Y.** 2006. See Ray, C.M.
- Yeun, E.J.**, Chi, S.A., and Kim, H.K. 1997. A study of the professional nursing images of nursing unit managers: A Q-methodological approach. 20(1–2): 43–61.
- Yin, J.** 1996. See Ketterer, S.E.