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Several geochemists have postulated that thermal cracking of organic material at low temperature over long periods of time results in the formation of the lower-boiling fractions of crude oil. Thus Hunt (1962) showed that ancient sediments did contain low-boiling hydrocarbons, whereas all previous work on recent sediments failed to indicate their presence. Biederman (1965) used the gas chromatographic data by Martin et al. (1963) to show that there is an increase in the content of individual low-boiling hydrocarbons of crude oil in the following order: young, shallow oils; young, deep oils; old, shallow oils; and old, deep oils. Silverman (1962) has proposed mechanisms whereby lighter portions of complex molecules are split off as a function of time and temperature. The latter is of course related to depth of burial. Finally Phillipi (1965) has provided an excellent treatise on the effect of time and depth on the mechanism of petroleum generation.

Recently the Bureau of Mines has placed the data from over 7,000 crude oil analyses on IBM cards, and it seemed that a study of these on
the basis of geologic age and depth of burial might provide additional evidence for the general theories underlying the presentations of the authors cited above and of others who agree with this theory. The author acknowledges the capable assistance of Mrs. Wileen Crossman in the preparation and distribution of the IBM cards.

The cards were sorted to show both the naphtha content and the gasoil content by geologic periods. The naphtha is the material distilled to a temperature of 200 C , fractions 1-7 inclusive, in the Bureau of Mines routine crude oll analysis, a typical example of which is shown in Table I. The gas oil is the material distilled between 200 C at atmospheric 3

TABLE 1

CRUDE PETROLEUM ANALYSIS


| Midiand Farms field | Texas |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ellenburger, Cambro-Ordovicion | Andrews County |
| $12,635-12,655$ feet |  |

## general charactermics

| 0.763 | Gruvity, © API, .........54: 0 | Pour poi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sulfur, pmewat, ... 0.00 |  | Color, ......green |
| Vinoodity, Bay | 100\% F. 34.5 sec | Nitrogen, percent, ....-0.01. |

DETHLLATON, DUREAU OF MINES ROUTINE METHOD

Frat drop. .....7......... • $\mathbf{r}$.

| Troceloa | Oot | Promat | sumen | 80900\% | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{APPI} \mathrm{F}$. | C. I. |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 . \\ 100^{\circ} \mathrm{y} . \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 | 5.3 | $5,3$ | $0.632$ | $92,4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 107 | 4.6 | $9$ | $657$ | $83,9$ | 1.3 | $\text { I. } 37900$ | -133.9 |  |  |
|  | 118 | 8 c | 15.9 | - ....692 | 73.0 | 8.0 | $1,38902$ | $\ldots 127.4$ |  |  |
|  | 4 | \% ${ }^{8} 8$. | 22,7. | .... 716 | \%6, | $10$ | -1.40129 | 129.9 |  |  |
|  | 308 | 7.2 | 29.9 | . 734 | 61.3 | $11$ | 1.41147 | 133.0 |  |  |
|  | 267 | 6.7. | 36.6. | 750 | 572 | 12 | $\cdots 1.41954$ | 131.2 |  |  |
|  | 38 | - 6.8 | 43.4 | 762 | 54.2 | 12 | -1.42547 | 129:8... |  |  |
|  | 49 | - 7.1 | 50.5 | 776 | 50.8 | 13 | -1.43238 | 131.1 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 6.8 | 57.3 | 789 | 47.8 | 13 | 1.43888 | 1316 |  |  |
| 10. | 887 | 6.4 | 63.7 | 800 | 45:4 | 14 | 1.44496 | 132.0 |  |  |

Eracs 2-Distmation contioued at 40 man. He

|  |  | 5.0 | 68.7 | 0.822 | 20.2 | 21 | 1.45335 | 136.6 | 40 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 48 | 61 | 74,8. | 830 | 39.0 | 20 | 1-45761 | 1323 | 44 | 40 |
|  | 4 | 44 | 79.2 | 838 | 37.4 | 21 | 146390 | 141, 8 | 52 | 50 |
|  | 49 | 3.4 | 82.6 | 8 | 33.8 | 26. | 1.47032 | -138, 3 | 66 | 60 |
|  | 172 | 3.4 | 86.0 | . 864 | 32.3 | 27. | 1.47705 | . 1337 | 100 | 75 |
| Re...... | br | 2.7 | 93.7 | . 901 | 25.5 |  |  |  |  |  |


APMOXHATE SUMMARY

|  | Frame | Bp.er | - Apt | Vinity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2tasmation | 15.9 | 0.682 | 82.3 |  |
| Tran maxtor and matilic | 43.4 | 0.212 | 67.3 |  |
| 4 ymodine drames | 29.3 | 788 | 48.1 |  |
| One at. | -12.9 | 828 | 39.4 |  |
|  | - 8.6 | 836-864 | 37, 28.32 .3 | 50.100 |
|  | 1.7 | .864 868 | 32.3-31.5 | 160.300 |
| Tomen moriosters mix | 7.7 | 901 | 25.5 | Abeve 200 |
| Dme | 6.3 |  |  |  |

pressure (fraction 8) and 225 C at 40 mm mercury pressure (fraction 12) inclusive. The geologic periods used were as follows:

Paleozoic rocks
Cambro-Ordovician and Ordovician
Siluro-Devonian
Mississippian
Pennsylvanian
Permian
Post-Paleozoic rocks
Triassic
Jurassic
Cretaceous
Tertiary.
In Figure 1 panels A and B represent the distribution of the naphtha content in 5 -percent intervals for 2,704 post-Paleozoic oils and 3,640 Paleozoic oils portrayed as percent of samples in each geologic period according to content of naphtha. The histograms illustrate clearly that the postPaleozoic oils do not attain well-defined distribution curves; that the Tertiary oils show slightly saw-toothed distribution averaging about $11 \%$ in the range from 15 to $45 \%$ naphtha; that Cretaceous and Jurassic oils show maximums in the 30 - to 35 -percent range, with continuing contributions above this range; that the Triassic oils are erratic, presumably because of the small number. The Paleozoic oils in Panel B all form welldefined distribution curves with maximums at $35-40 \%$ of the naphtha. There appears to be a tendency for the oils from the older rocks to give more symmetrical groups, with less concentration in the low-naphthacontent area.

To emphasize the effect of depth of burial, a distribution analysis of the oils considered above was made as follows:

Oils from Paleozoic rocks (Permian, Pennsylvanian, Mississippian, Siluro-Devonian, Ordovician and Cambro-Ordovician)

1. Produced from rocks $2,000 \mathrm{ft}$ or less
2. Produced from rocks $10,000 \mathrm{ft}$ or more

Oils from post-Paleozoic rocks (Tertiary, Cretaceous, Jurassic, and Triassic)

1. Produced from rocks $2,000 \mathrm{ft}$ or less
2. Produced from rocks $10,000 \mathrm{ft}$ or more.

The data for the Paleozoic oils are shown in Figure 2 and for post-Paleozoic oils in Figure 3. In panel A of Figure 2 the oils from 2,000 ft or less do not as a group form the more normal distribution histogram shown in panel B. However, there are maximums that shift to the right with increase in age. Thus the Permian oils have a maximum at the 20- to 25-percent range; the Pennsylvanian oils also have a maximum at this same range, but are skewed toward the high-content side. The Mississippian oils have a definite maximum in the 30 - to 35 -percent range (see below), and the Siluro-Devonian oils have a maximum in the 30 - to $35-$ percent range and are also skewed toward the high side. The few Ordovician oils, discounting those in the 0 - to 5 -percent range, (see below) are mostly in the 20 - to 30 - and 30 - to 35 -percent ranges. In contrast the olls from 10,000 ft or more shown in panel $\mathbf{B}$ show a definite maximum at the 40 - to 45 -percent range, and a distribution pattern only a little skewed to the low-content side. It is of interest geologically that the high peak for



FIGURE \|.-Distribution of Naphtha Content of Crude Oll by Geological Period.
the Mississippian oils (panel A) at $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5 \%}$ naphtha is formed exclusively by olls from four contiguous counties in Illinois, another group of seven almost contiguous counties in Illinois, Indiana, and Kentucky, and one separate Illinois county. The Ordovician oils with 0-5\% naphtha (panel A) are from the Arbuckle Limestone in Montgomery and Labette countiea in Kansas, and three separate counties in Oklahoma. It seems probable that these oils have been subject to "weathering" of some form. The geology of these Kansas oils is discussed by Neumann et al. (1947).

In panel A of Figure 3 the data for oils from $2,000 \mathrm{ft}$ or less are presented. The large percentage of those oils having naphtha contents of $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ or below is marked. In contrast the data for oils from $10,000 \mathrm{ft}$ or more ahown in panel $B$ show a distribution pattern with a maximum in the 80 - to 85 -percent range (excluding two Cretaceous oils that are escontially condensates). Although the distribution is still somewhat



FIGURE 2-Distribution of Nophtha Content of Crude Oit According to Depth of Burial in Paleozoic Rocks.
weighted in the low-content side of the maximum, the approach to a normal distribution curve is very apparent.

A distribution analysis similar to that made for the naphthas was carried out for the gas oils, and the data are shown in Figure 4 for the effect of age. The results are the converse of those for the naphthas, as should be expected if there is a long time effect of age resulting in the thermal cracking of gas oils. Panel A shows the distribution for the oils from post-Paleozoic rocks. The peak is in the 25 - to 30 -percent range, but the significant part is the weighting on the high-content side of the maximum, especially noticeable for Tertiary oils. Panel B show-



FIGURE 3.-Distribution of Naphtha Content of Crude Oil According to Depth of Burial in Post-Poleozoic Rocks.

Ing data for oils from Paleozoic rocks is exceptionally restricted in the range; the peak is in the 25 - to 30 -percent range, the next highest grouping is in the 20 - to 25 - percent range, and a third noticeable grouping in the 30- to 35 - percent range; on either side of these there are very few oils. This is the most clearly restricted range of any of the naphtha or gas-oll distribution histograms.

In Figures 5 and 6 the same data are used to show the effects of depth of burial as was done for the naphtha content. The distribution graph in panel A of Figure 5 for post-Paleozoic oils from $2,000 \mathrm{ft}$ or less has a signiricantly greater proportion of samples in the percentage ranges above the maximum at $25-30 \%$ as compared to similar data for oils from $10,000 \mathrm{ft}$ or more in panel B. The effect of depth of burial for Paleozoic oils shown in Figure 6 is not clear. In fact there appears to be somewhat of a reversal in that the oils from $10,000 \mathrm{ft}$ or more, panel B, are weighted slightly in the high side. The high for the Ordovician oils at the 30 - to 35 - percent range is due exclusively to Enlenburger oils of the Permian Basin in West Texas and New Mexico. The similarity in the histograms in panels A and B may indicate that, regardless of depth of burial, Palcozoic oils are old enough to have closely approached an equilibrium condition. The author believes that the data presented in Figures 1 through 6 make a strong case for investigators, such as Phillipi, Bieder-

man, Silverman and Hunt, who espouse the theory that the effects of time and depth of burial on organic matter are responsible for the lighter portion of petroleum.

A few hundred crude oil analyses of recent years have included specific dispersion data that made it possible to calculate the aromatic content of the naphtha, fractions 1-7 inclusive, boiling to 200 C . Therefore a distribution analysis of 283 oils was made on the same geologic basis as used previously, and separating the aromatic content into the following percentage ranges: $0-5,6-10,11-15,16-20$, over 20 . It has been the author's belief for some time that the maximum aromatic content of crude oil naphthas is about $10 \%$ except for certain of the Permian oils of West Texas and the younger oils such as those of the Tertiary period. The histogram in Figure 7 bears this out along with the following points:

1. All the young Tertiary oils contain aromatics, and the percentage is fairly evenly divided over the entire range to $\mathbf{>} \mathbf{2 0 \%}$.



FIGURES5.- Distribution of Gas Oil Content of Crude Oil According to Depth of Burial in Post-Poleozoic Rocks.
2. In the somewhat older Cretaceous oils the aromatic content seldom exceeds $15 \%$, and the 6 - to 10 - percent range predominates.
3. The aromatic content of Jurassic oils is mostly in the 6 - to 10 - percent range with a much smaller proportion in the 11- to 15- percent range and none above this range.
4. There were no Triassic samples.
5. The aromatic content of Permian olls shows none in the 0 - to 5percent range, about $40 \%$ in the 6 - to 10 -percent range, and about $20 \%$ in each of the higher ranges including those ranges greater than 20.
6. Most of the Pennsylvanian, Siluro-Devonian, and Cambro-Ordovician oils have aromatic contents in the 6 - to 10 - percent range.
7. The five Mississippian samples were too few to provide useful data.



FIGURE 6 -Distribution of Gos Oil Content of Crude Oil According to Depth of Burial in Paleozoic Rocks.

fIGURE 7. - Distribution of Aromatic Content of Nophtha by Geologic Period.
Three tentative general conclusions seem to stand out.

1. Naphthas from Tertiary oils contain the highest percentage of aromatics except for the Permian olls.
2. Permian oils are unique in being the only oils of a period older than Tertiary that have a high content of aromatics in their naphthas.
3. It appears that the normal expected aromatic content of a naphtha is 5 to $10 \%$, with the two exceptions noted.
Before sound conclusions regarding the aromatics can be reached there are several studies that should be made. A considerably larger sample selection covering all geologic periods should be studied. The question of why the younger oils have more aromatics should be considered. Is this caused by differences in the characteristics of the original source materials, by differences in diagenesis, difference in modes of accumulation and migration, by adsorption, or a combination of these? Finally, a plausible mechanism is needed to account for the high-aromatic, high-sulfur Permian oils.

This technique offers interesting possibilities in studying the relationahips of crude oil composition and the geological environment in which it is found. A number of properties of crude oil could be studied in this manner and probably some basic concepts could be developed.
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