# Demographic Trends of the Negro (1890-1950) in Oklahoma

# E. R. EDMONDS, Langston University, Langston, Oklahoma

Living as we do in a dynamic social order it becomes necessary from time to time to take inventory of people as well as of material goods. Any considerable population group varies from time to time in its own composition, in its relationship to other population groups, and in the direction of its movements. Those who would study and seek solutions to the problems connected with such a group must be kept informed as to significant trends.

Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to compile a selected series of pertinent data on the Negro population in Oklahoma, and to point out what appears to be significant trends in that population, with particular reference to the recent decade. 1940-1950.

The materials herein presented are based upon the United States Census Reports. However, in the census reports these materials are rather scattered, and often not easily accessible to many persons. It is felt and hoped that such a compilation as this will prove of service.

Because of the limited time and space the method used throughout is descriptive. The inclinations to interpret and to analyze have been strong, but any such attempts must be reserved for a later work on the subject.

The areas treated are presented in the following order: (1) racial composition of the Oklahoma population, (2) Negro population by counties, (3) rural-urban distribution, (4) population by urban areas, (5) rural-urban sex ratios, (6) age distribution of the population, and (7) rural-urban distribution.

## RACIAL COMPOSITION of the POPULATION of OKLAHOMA

The population of Oklahoma unlike most states has been composed of three major racial groups, namely, White, Negro, and Indian. An interesting trend as revealed in Table 1 is the progressive movement toward a bi-racial composition. This can be seen in the gradual reduction of the percentage of the Indian population from 24.9 per cent in 1890 to 2.4 per cent in 1950.

The White population has shown the most marked increase in proportion to the total population, gradually growing larger from 66.7 per cent in 1890 to 90.2 per cent in 1950. On the other hand, the Negro population, though at times fluxuating, had in 1950 reached its lowest proportion to the total population, that of 6.5 per cent.

An examination of the losses in population between 1940 and 1950 reveals that whereas the White population decreased by 70,249 or 3.3 percent, the Negro population decreased by 23,346 or a percentage loss of 13.8, and the Indian population decreased by 9,356 constituting a percentage loss of 14.7. Thus, having loss far more heavily in actual numbers, the Whites actually gained in proportion. In spite of the apparent leveling off of this decline in 1930 and 1940, it seems reasonable to assume that the trend is toward a gradual lowering of the proportion of Negroes to the total population.

### NEGRO POPULATION BY COUNTIES

In Table 2 can be seen the distribution of the Negro population by counties. Only two of the seventy-seven counties contained no Negroes in 1950 which is a decrease from the five such counties in 1940. Over 60 per cent of the counties contain less than 1,000 Negroes, and 48 per cent, or slightly less than one-half, of the counties cantain less than 500 Negroes, with 20 per cent of the counties containing less than 100 Negroes each.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a similar work in the field see Hill, Mozelle C., and Richards, Eugene S., "Demographic Trends of The Negro in Oklahema," The Southwest Journal, Vol. 2, No. 1, Winter, 1946, pp. 47-63.

In 1940 the Negro population in twenty-four counties constituted 5 per cent or more of the total population in these counties, while in 1950 this number was increased to twenty-five such counties. However, in 1940 there were thirteen counties in which the Negro population was 10 per cent or more of the total population, while in 1950 this number was reduced to only nine counties.

In 1940 the ten largest counties contained 62.5 per cent of the total Negro population, whereas in 1950 the ten largest counties contained 64.0 per cent. Eight of the ten such counties included in the 1950 report were also included in the 1940 report, the two new counties for 1950 being Choctaw and Comanche replacing Wagoner and Seminole. This seems to suggest the continued gradual concentration of the Negro population in Oklahoma.

## RURAL-URBAN DISTRIBUTION

An examination of Table 3 reveals the rapid growth of the urban population, a moderate increase in the rural non-farm population, and a marked decrease in the rural farm population. There is a strong trend in the Negro population to become increasingly urban as can be seen in the growth from 26.9 per cent in 1910, 47.2 per cent in 1940, and 59.0 per cent in 1950. The percentage of Negroes classed as urban has been consistently higher than that for the total population. In 1950 the Negro population was 59.0 per cent while the total urban population was 51.0 per cent. However, the rate of urban increase for all classes from 1940 to 1950, 35 per cent was somewhat higher than the rate for Negroes, 25.9 per cent. It seems probable that the increase in the size of the Negro population classed as urban will tend to level off in the future.

The rural population decrease from 73.1 per cent in 1910 to 52.8 per cent in 1940 and 41.0 per cent in 1950 with the greater part of this percentage being non-farm. Perhaps the most striking difference between 1940 and 1950 can be seen in the drop in the rural farm population. There was a loss of 29,561 persons since 1940, a percentage loss of 50.2 per cent. This rate approximately doubles the rate of decrease of rural farm population between 1930 and 1940 which was a decrease of 25.9 per cent. The rise in the rural non-form percentage from 17.9 in 1940 to 20.9 in 1950 may indicate a shift from rural farm to rural non-farm because of occupational changes.

#### DISTRIBUTION BY URBAN AREAS

In 1940 seventy-four areas were classified as urban<sup>2</sup>, while in 1950, eighty-six were so classified. Of these eighty-six urban areas, Negroes resided in all save five. In 1940 only seventeen towns contained more than 1,000 Negroes, while in 1950 this number was reduced to fifteen such towns.

The Negro population in 1940 constituted 10 per cent of the total population in 22 urban areas, whereas in 1950 the number had decreased to only twenty such areas. Although there was an increase in the number of towns containing Negroes, the Negro population in most such towns did not continue to grow. The ten cities containing the largest Negro population constituted approximately 73 per cent of the Negro population, urban, and 42 per cent of the total Negro population. Though the Negro population became more urban in 1950, there was a general decrease in the proportion of the Negro population to the total population of these areas. There seems little doubt that the Negro population is becoming more and more concentrated.

## SEX COMPOSITION

As can be seen in Table 5 dealing with the sex ratios, there has been a gradual reduction in the number of males per 100 females. This has been true in all classes resulting in the ratio of 93.8 males to every 100 females for 1950 indicating a continued decline since 1930 when it was 101.7. The 1950 returns indicate no change in the fact that the sexes have been out of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Urban areas are defined by the Bureau of the Census as "all incorporated places having 2,500 inhabitants or more."

proportion since 1910, yet, the trend has been toward a change in the proportions from an excess of males to an excess of females. Although the urban population has increased from 1940 to 1950, it is significant that the ratio of males to females has remained constant, 88.1. On the other hand, the rural sex ratios have decreased in both the rural farm and rural nonfarm approximately equality among the rural non-farm, 100.5. The trend in all classes seems to be toward the gradual decrease in the number of males per 100 females in the population.

## AGE COMPOSITION

In Table 6 is shown the age distribution of the Negro population. An examination of this table will disclose that although for 1940 there was a percentage decrease in all age groups under 25 years of age, there was an increase in all age groups over 25 years of age. There are some notable exceptions in the 1950 figures. In the age group under 5 years and 5 to 9 years there were increases, whereas there was a decrease in the age group 25 to 44 years. In 1910, 59.6 per cent of the Negro population was under 25 years of age, in 1940, 49.3 per cent, while in 1950 only 47.7 per cent were under 25 years of age. It should be noted that the two greatest losses fell in the age groups 25 to 44 and 15 to 19 years. These findings would indicate that the Negro population of Oklahoma is consistently growing older, and that it is sustaining its greatest losses in the most productive age groups.

#### RURAL-URBAN AGE DISTRIBUTION

Table 7 is concerned with rural-urban age distribution. In 1940 approximately 43 per cent of the urban Negro population was under 25 years of age, whereas in 1950 this percentage was increased slightly to 44.1 per cent. The percentage of persons under 25 years of age for rural farm and rural non-farm were 57.4 per cent and 49.7 per cent in 1940, while these percentages changed in 1950 to 55.2 per cent and 50.9 per cent respectively. Even though there was a decline in the percentage of urban persons under 25 years of age in 1950, the increase in the number of persons under 25 years of age constituted a gain of 3,663 or approximately 59 per cent of the total urban gain for all classes.

#### SUMMARY

On the basis of the several sets of statistics presented in this study, it does seem reasonable to make the following generalizations:

- 1. The population of Oklahoma has been in decline since 1930, a trend which has been true for the three major racial or cultural groupings.
- 2. The Negro population has lost not only in actual numbers but in its proportion to the total population, a situation also true of the Indian population.
- 3. There is evidence of a gradual shift toward greater concentration of the Negro population, in 1950 ten counties contained 64.9 per cent of the total Negro population.
- 4. The Negro population has led the way in the strong trend toward a shift in the total population from rural to an urban population. In 1950 the total population was classed as 51.0 per cent urban whereas the Negro population was 59.0 per cent urban.
- 5. The most significant shift in the Negro population was the 50.2 per cent decline in the rural farm population, suggesting a sharp transition in occupations of a considerable portion of the Negro population.
- 6. There was a continuation in 1950 of the trend toward gradual decline in the proportion of Negro males to Negro females, the present ratio being 93.8 males to every 100 females.
- 7. The Negro population is becoming increasingly older, while concurrently it has taken its major losses in the age categories 25 to 44 years and 15 to 19 years.

TABLE I

RACIAL COMPOSITION OF OKLAHOMA POPULATION BY NUMBER AND PERCENT FOR CENSUS YEARS-1890-1950

						white	(1) Included with native white
_	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Total
	<u>ფ</u>	9	ରି	8	ଞ		All Others
	9	63	3	ଟି	3		Japanese
	9	3	3	<u> </u>	3	(5)	Chinese
	œ i	4.5	8.5 8.5	ත. හ	2.7		Indian
	7.	85 85	4.7	2.5	7.5		Negro
	eri eri	2.4	1.9	1.2	æ		Foreign-born White
7. 66.7	81.7	84.8	87.8	7.78	89.3	90.1	Native White
			OPULATION	PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION	PERCE		
91 258,657	790,391	1,657,155	2,028,283	2,396,040	2,336,434	2,233,351	Total
	٠	:	16	83	83	1,019	All Others
:	•	<b>48</b>	29	104	57	137	Japanese
		139	261	206	112	397	Chinese
	4,49	74,825	57,337	92,725	63,125	53,769	Indian
84 21,609	55,6	137,612	149,408	172,198	168,849	145,503	Negro
	20,38	<del>1</del> 0,084	39,968	30,249	20,329	18,906	Foreign-born White
	649,841	1,404,447	1,781,226	2,100,529	2,083,869	2,013,620	Native White
nacr noar		,	0707	neer	1340	1990	Racial Group

TABLE II

NEGRO POPULATION OF OKLAHOMA BY COUNTIES BY NUMBER AND PERCENT FOR CENSUS YEARS-1910-1950

County         Number           Oklahoma         25,989           Tulsa         20,967           Muskogee         13,868           McCurtain         5,743           Logan         4,968           Comanche         3,713           Choctaw         3,711           Okruskee         3,713           Vagoner         3,713           Pittsburg         3,621           Carter         3,477           Pittsburg         3,689           McIntosh         1,746           Lincoln         1,746           Lincoln         1,481           Pottawatomie         1,327           Pontotoc         1,327           Washington         1,248           Jackson         1,224           LeFlore         1,212           Hughes         1,137           Caddo         1,137	Percent of total Pop.				2	201			-
e e		Number	Percent of total Pop.	Number	Percent of total Pop.	Number	Percent of total Pop.	Number	Percent of total Pop.
e e	6.7	22.784	9.3	17.524	6.7	11,401	9.8	9,227	10.8
<u>a</u>	80	17.972	6.6	17.817	9.5	10,903	10.0	2,754	6.7
<b>.</b>	21.1	15,593	23.7	16,059	24.2	15,310	24.8	16,454	31.2
ie	16.4	11.066	22.1	11.014	19.5	9,791	17.8	5,933	28.1
eje – je	18.1	8.050	19.5	7.795	22.4	6.914	18.2	4.578	22.1
e e	22.4	5,389	21.3	6,487	23.4	6,422	23.3	8,196	25.8
<b>ā</b>	7.6	1.800	4.6	1.458	4.2	641	2.4	25 26 26	8. 8.
	0.6	6.573	11.8	6.584	10.3	6.794	10.9	2,778	10.6
ile	18.4	5.207	18.4	4.994	20.7	5,242	16.2	4,303	19.7
je je	21.9	6.156	23.4	7.283	25.1	8,617	34.4	8,073	40.4
ā	22.1	5.231	24.2	6,753	30.1	7,093	33.2	8,761	39.1
<u>a</u>	8	8.678	10.9	5.607	2.0	4.517	19.0	4,081	20.4
ë	S.C.	4.645	10.7	3,696	G 30	4.267	10.6	4,315	17.0
ie	7.4	3.557	7.3	3,770	7.4	4,005	7.6	5,244	11.0
ile	14.9	3.732	15.5	4.448	17.8	5,950	22.5	5,283	25.2
je Je	1.3	2,410	11.6	2,993	12.3	1.371	6.1	482	2.3
ie e	7.	2004	6.4	2.247	4.7	1.478	4.4	1,731	5.7
ē.	5	2.617	6.8	3,272	9.7	3,955	11.8	3,945	11.3
ej.	2.6	1.153	25.5	874	1.9	591	1.6	822	75 121 121
l	0	1.882	100	2.072	3.1	1.916	4.2	2,017	4.6
	4.2	1.307	60	1.026	3.2	970	3.1	1.009	4.1
	2.00	1.301	4	1.020	3.7	763	2.8	434	2.5
	6.0	1,314	00	1.988	6.9	411	1.9	114	0.5
	4	1,742	00	1.633	(C)	2.082	4.9	1,781	6.1
	5.7	1.939	6.6	2.007	9.9	1,746	6.7	1,737	7.5
	3.5	1.372	60	1,761	33.57	<b>8</b>	2.5	1,178	လ လ
	2.4	1.509	4.2	1.924	5.2	1.208	4.0	1,458	6.1
	4.	1.129	4.1	970	30 30	614	2.8	<b>8</b>	3.5
	7.2	1,520	8.2	1,924	5.2	1,208	4.0	1,458	6.1

TABLE II-Continued

	19	1950	15	1940	1	1930	19.	1920	1910	0
County	Number	Percent of total Pop.	Number	Percent of total Pop.	Number	Percent of total Pop.	Number	Percent of total Pop.	Number	Percent of total Pop.
Garvin	1.057		1.545	5.0	1.443	4.6	2.005	6.2	2.818	8.7
Kingfishar	1 010		1.758	11.2	1.927	12.1	1 693	104	9809	19.7
Somoneh	286		1 555	8.7	1 596	( &	9,788	103	2778	101
Nomete Nomete	620		1 495	00	1 549	11.3	1,541	20	1 054	101
NOW BLE	010		300	3 6		9.0	1 6	•	1,001	70.
Кау	3		788	2.1	8	0.2	25.5	×0.	109	0.4
Atoka	<del>2</del> 43		1,001	5.4	1,139	œ.	1,204	5.8 8.0	2,100	15.3
Osage	<b>1</b> 8		886 6	2.4	1,284	2.7	788	2.2	391	1.9
Klowa	708		963	4.2	1,497	4.7	492	2.1	317	1.2
Custer	888		477	2.1	70s	2.6	135	0.7	291	1.3
Bryan	673		1,222	3.5	1,192	3.7	1,829	4.5	2.184	7.3
Crais	587		737	3.5	106	3.9	771	4.0	1,175	8.8
Stephens	559		358	1.1	361	1.1	128	0.5	8	9.4
Rogers	498		632	3.0	619	3.6	220	3.1	620	3.5
Greer	468		202	3.5	986	4.9	179	11.1	146	6.0
McClain	725		553	2.9	667	3.1	776	4.0	1,081	6.9
Love	415		<b>4</b> 5	4.0	25 25	5.7	9+2	6.0	1,021	10.0
Beckham	398		351	1.6	574	2.0	ဢ	(1)	<b>ભ</b>	(1)
Noble	397		611	4.1	718	4.7	362	2.1	642	4.3
Pawnee	348		258	3.5	989	3.5	<b>02</b> †	5. 10.	908	4.7
Cherokee	828		739 9027	3.1	610	3.5	88 55	4.2	995	5.9
Johnston	321		<del>48</del> 4	3.0	458	3.5	659	83. 83.	<b>8</b>	5.3
Harmon	312		216	2.2	555	4.0	110	6.0	1	ı
Murray	<b>5</b> 8		<del>1</del> 03	2.9	346	2.8	888 888	2.6	<b>4</b> 23	8.3
Pushmataba	#2		<b>02+</b>	2.4	363 83	2.5	386	2.2	385	3.8
Coal	249		<b>96</b> ‡	3.8 8.8	<b>2</b>	4.7	<b>3</b> 2	4.0	976	6.2
Cotton	83		170	1.3	<b>%</b>	1.7	156	6.0	I	ı
Maraball	181		<b>282</b>	2.1	216	2.0	275	1.9	818	2.7
Jefferson	188		<b>8</b>	1.5	272	1.6	402	2.8	884	2.8
Latimer	168		88	1.9	385 55	оў 4-	<del>4</del>	32	618	5.6
Haskell	158	1:1	252 252	1.5	185	1.1	83.	1.7	<b>88</b>	2.0
Mayes	153		856	1.6	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b> 0	8	<b>4.8</b>	<b>3</b> 80	5.9

TABLE II-Continued

County         Number percent of total Pop.         Number total Pop.         Percent of total Pop.         Number total Pop.           Cleveland         107         0.2         142         0.5           Washita         20         0.1         30         0.4           Major         16         0.1         1         (1)           Adair         16         0.1         1         (1)           Delaware         12         0.8         9         (1)           Woodward         12         0.8         7         (1)           Bowey         12         0.1         16         0.1           Grant         5         0.4         9         0.1           Afails         44         0.8         3         (1)           Texas         0         0         0         0										
nd 107 0.2 142 a 92 0.5 93 c 0.5 142 c 16 0.1 30 c 17 0.2 142 c 18 0.1 30 c 18 0.4 3 c 18 0.1 3 c 18 0.4 3 c 18 0.4 3 c 18 0.4 0.8 3 c 19 0.8 0.4 0.8 3 c 19 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.8 3 c 19 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	d 10		Number	Percent of total Pop.	Number	Percent of total Pop.	Number	Percent of total Pop.	Number	Percent of total Pop.
A the control of the		60 24	149	0.5	624	2.5	460	2.4	456	2.4
Mills 11 0.1 16 0.1 16 0.1 16 0.1 17 0.1 18		200	8	0.4	307	1.0	11	Ξ	ĸ	0.1
Mills 1.04 9 9 1.04 1.05 1.04 1.05 1.04 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05		0.1	8	0.3	41	0.3	45	<b>0.4</b>	8	9.0
Mills 15 04 3 3 6 4 3 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		1.0	; -	Ð	8	Ð	4	0.3	ន	0.0
12 .08 9 12 .08 14 4 4 .08 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		. 50.	က	Ξ	83	£	18	Ξ	# 2	0.1
12 .08 7 1 15 16 18 19 16 19 16 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	بو	80:	6	<del>(1</del> )	1	1	17	0.3	æ °	\$ ·
12 .01 15 5 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6	٠		۲-	Ð	8	Ð	- ;	Ð	သင္ခ	
18 11 0.1 16 5 0.4 9 8 44 0.03 3 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6			ĸ	Ξ	83	0.5	3	7.0	20	<b>6</b> .4
20. 44. 42. 62. 62. 62. 63. 63. 63. 63. 63. 63. 63. 63. 63. 63	S		16	0.1	8	0.7	1:	1;	1;	1;
80. 44. 6. 20. 8. 8. 9. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.			G	0.1	12	0.1	18	0.1	1 <del>4</del>	i c
20.	•		က	Ð	တ	E)	3;	0.1	۵,	∃€
c			ı	l	۱,	1 (	or T	Ţ.	٦ 6	36
4		20.	١	Į.	-	Ð:	4, 4	Ξ,	0 0	3
64		7 70.	ì	1	<del>, -</del>	Ξį	16	0.1	N Ç	33
Beaver 1 .01 1 (1)		10.	-	Ξ	H	(E)		١٤	210	: :
Cimarron — — — — —		1		ľ	I	ł	N	1.0	٧ ح	36
Harper		1	I	1	l	1	1	]	۲	(T)

(1) Less than 1/10 of 1%

RURAL-URBAN DISTRIBUTION OF NEGRO POPULATION OF OKLAHOMA 1910-1950 TABLE III

A .	1950	c	1940	0	1930	30	1920	-	1910	O.
Alea	Number	Number Percent	1 1	Number Percent	1 1	Percent	Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent	Percent	Number	Percent
Urban	86,909	59.0	79,696	47.2	67,801	39.4	47,904	82.1	36,982	26.9
Rural-Non-Farm	30,278	20.9	30,276	17.9	24,883	14.4	23,489	15.7	(1)	
Rural-Farm	29,316	20.1	58,877	34.9	79,514	46.2	78,005	52.2	100,630	78.1
Total	145,503	100.0	168,849	100.0	172,198	100.0	149,408	100.0	137,612	100.0

NEGRO POPULATION OF OKLAHOMA BY URBAN AREAS BY NUMBER AND PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION: 1910-1950 TABLE IV

	1950	8	1940	9	19	1930	1920	0.	1910	0
Urban Area	Number	Percent of Total Pop.	Number	Percent of Total Pop.	Number	Percent of Total Pop.	Number	Percent of Total Pop.	Number	Percent of Total Pop.
Oklahoma City	21.163	8.7	19,344	9.5	14,662	6.7	8,241	9.0	6,546	10.2
Tulsa	18,444	10.0	15,151	10.7	15,203	10.8	8,878	12.3	1,959	10.8
Muskogee	7.298	19.5	6,596	20.5	6,576	20.5	7,195	23.8	7,831	31.0
Okmulgee	3,617	19.7	3,659	18.8	3,213	18.9	3,359	19.3	1,376	38.0
Lawton	3.212	12.9	1,508	8.4	808	6.7	405	4.5	542	0.2
McAlester	2,182	12.2	1.247	10.1	1,348	11.5	90°5	17.3	2,997	28.1
Ardmore	2.023	11.3	2,619	15.5	2,060	13.1	2,008	14.2	1,628	18.9
Guthrle	2.022	19.9	2,081	20.8	1.759	18.4	2,370	20.5	2,976	25.5
Chickasha	1.675	10.5	1,586	11.2	1,625	11.5	1,183	11.6	1,265	12.3
Idabel	1.438	30.7	1.095	29.7	628	24.3	631	<b>50.</b> 8	£	
Sanulna	1.379	10.5	1,609	8.6	1,039	6.6	1,317	11.3	<del>1</del> 08	4.9
Enid	1.360	9.4	1,050	3.7	763	6.7	474	2.9	961	4.8
Wewoka	1.228	18.2	2,017	15.1	1,575	15.1	Ξ		3	
Altıns	1.081	11.1	1.072	13.9	895	11.8	145	8.2	\$	5.5 5.5
Bartlesville	1.056	5.4	748	4.6	610	1.7	526	3.6	212	8.4
Hugo	808	14.3	1,011	17.1	797	15.2	693	10.8	512	11.2
Shawnee	858	8	1.045	4.5	1,044	4.5	869	4.5	878 88	6.6
El Reno	808	8.	735	7.3	533	5.7	487	6.3	<b>88</b>	8.7
Ponca City	781	80	828	4.5	732	ig T	200 200	61 80	41	1.6
Frederick	723	13.2	814	15.9	250	12.0	98 88	6.8	142	4.7
Sand Springs	63.1	0.6	200	11.4	710	10.6	413	10.1	Ξ	
Ada	839	တ္	753	5.0	479	4. 6.	343	8.4	159	8.7
Seminole	622	5.2	1.016	œ œ	841	7.3	Ē		3	
Wagoner	609	13.9	516	16.0	497	16.6	543	15.8	1,038	<b>25.8</b>
Anadarko	808	8.6	553	6.6	486	9.7	264	8.5	338	9.4
Clinton	551	7.2	340	5.0	434	5.8	49	1.9	8	2.5
Duncan	547	3.5	335	3.6	312	J	92	2.5	Ð	
Stillwater	516	2.5	393	3.5 5.5	223	3.2	112	2.4	105	3.1

TABLE IV-Continued

	1950	9	1940	0	19	1930	1920	0%	1910	0
Urban Area	Number	Percent of Total Pop.	Number	Percent of Total Fop.	Number	Percent of Total Pop.	Number	Percent of Total Pop.	Number	Percent of Total Pop.
Watonga	<del>1</del> 06		499	17.6	(1)		(E)		3	
Pawhuska	454		340	6.2	555	9.2	382	6.0	70	2.5
Cushing	446		537	2.0	267	6.1	446	7.1	Ξ	ì
Eufaula	395		(1)		$\Xi$		Ξ	!	Œ	
Bristow	304		577	9.5	558	8. 4.8	345	10.0	Ξ	
Chandler	380		419	15.3	357	13.1	Ξ		Œ	
Claremore	380		441	10.7	408	11.0	334	9.7	316	11.0
Nowata	378		473	14.2	513	14.5	515	11.6	456	12.4
Vinita	375		465	8.2	415	9.1	323	6.6	518	12.7
Holdenville	360		626	9.4	631	8.7	270	9.5	Ξ	
Elk City	330		18	0.4	63	ଚ	4	(5)	<del>,</del>	(3)
Checotah	344		(1)		3		Ξ		(1)	
Atoka	343		325	12.8	(1)		(I)		Ξ	
Pauls Valley	337		381	13. 13.	340	8.0	320	8.7	330	12.3
Hobart	331		408	6.2	276	5.5	8	બં	21	rċ
Perry	325		430	œ 13:	319	9.2	233	7.4	347	11.1
Kingfisher	311		416	12.1	310	11.4	Ξ		<u>(1)</u>	
Mangum	9 8 8		261	6.2	321	6.7	55	1.6	48	1.8
Purcell	<del>‡</del>		252	œ ?;	250	8.9	301	10.2	422	15.3
Poteau	<u> </u>		247	6.1	198	6.2	113	£.3	Ξ	
Madill	181		233	9.0	3		(1)		Ξ	
Pawnee	174		208	7.6	13	51.5	Ξ		Ξ	
Antlers	173		22	6.9	<del>(</del> 1		Ξ		Ξ	
Tablequah	167		190	6.3	<del>.</del>		Ξ		Ξ	
Dewey	157		Ξ		3		E		Ξ	
Sulphur	141		190	4.0	151	3.6	103	8 8	164	4.5
Hollis	124		146	7. 60	145	2.0	Ê		Ξ	
Midwest City	<b>1</b>	1.0	E		Ξ		Ξ		Ξ	
Nicholas Hill	88		E		Ē		Ξ		E	
Drumright	51		119	6. 8.	110	2.5	172	2.1	Ξ	

TABLE IV-Continued

Number         Percent of Total Pop.         Number Total Pop.         Number Total Pop.         Percent of Total Pop.         Number Total Pop.		1950	05	1940	0	19	1930	1920	Q	1910	0
ford 38 2.0 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Urban Area	Number	Percent of Total Pop.	Number	Percent of Total Pop.	Number	Percent of Total Pop.	Number	Percent of Total Pop.	Number	Percent of Total Pop.
27 0.7 92 2.4 94 2.3 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Sallisaw Weatherford	88 8	2.0	(1) 35	1.4	33		8		E	
1	Okemah	22	0.7	38	4	Ĵ	23	36		3€	
11   9   .06   1   (2)   5   (2)   1   (2)   .07     12   .08   .04   .08   .09   .09   .09   .09   .09   .09     13   .04   .08   .04   .08   .09   .09   .09   .09     14   .08   .08   .09   .09   .09   .09   .09     15   .08   .06   .09   .09   .09   .09     16   .09   .09   .09   .09   .09     17   .09   .09   .09   .09   .09     18   .09   .09   .09   .09   .09     19   .09   .09   .09   .09     10   .09   .09   .09   .09     11   .00   .00   .00   .00     12   .03   .09   .00   .00     13   .04   .05   .00   .00     14   .05   .00   .00   .00     15   .00   .00   .00     16   .00   .00   .00     17   .00   .00   .00     18   .00   .00   .00     19   .00   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     11   .00   .00   .00     12   .00   .00   .00     13   .00   .00   .00     14   .00   .00   .00     15   .00   .00   .00     16   .00   .00   .00     17   .00   .00     18   .00   .00     19   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     11   .00   .00     12   .00   .00     13   .00   .00     14   .00   .00     15   .00   .00     16   .00   .00     17   .00   .00     18   .00   .00     19   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     11   .00   .00     12   .00   .00     13   .00   .00     14   .00   .00     15   .00   .00     16   .00   .00     17   .00   .00     18   .00   .00     19   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     11   .00   .00     12   .00   .00     13   .00   .00     14   .00   .00     15   .00   .00     16   .00   .00     17   .00   .00     18   .00   .00     19   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     10   .00   .00     11   .00   .00     12   .00   .00     13   .00   .00     14   .00   .00   .00     15   .00   .00   .00     16   .00   .00   .00     17   .00   .00   .00     18   .00   .00   .00     19   .00   .00   .00     10   .00   .00   .00     10   .00   .00   .00     10   .00   .00   .00     11   .00   .00   .00     11   .00   .00   .00     12   .00   .00   .00     13   .00   .00   .	Sayre	6	0.2	43	4:1	G	, eş.	9		£	
The first series of the fi	Blackwell	6	8.	H	(2)	ю	8	ì	(5)	از	
rd 5 .08	Norman	Si,	\$.	-	8	1	į	'	ĵ	1	
rd 5 .14	Henryetta	2	8	ŀ	: 1	1		1		3	
rd 5 0.4 28 0.3 2 (2) 4 (2) 28 (1)	Veterans' Village	ro.	14	l		I		1		l	
rd 5 .08 6 .01 5 .03 .01 1 .02 .01 1 .03 .01 1 .03 .01 1 .03 .01 1 .03 .01 1 .03 .01 1 .03 .03 .04 .04 .04 .04 .04 .04 .04 .04 .04 .04	Durant.	ĸ	9.4	86	0.3	¢	6	٧	(6)	6	c
## 1	Woodward	<b>3</b> 0	8	ဗ	0.1	1 143	95	۱ ۲	9	3 6	¹ §
Treek 8 .08 1 (1) (2) (1) (1) (2) (1) (1) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	Bethany	æ	80.	۱,	!	)	;			'ε	ì
Teek 8 .01 (1) (1) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (3) (1) (4) (1) (5) (1) (6) (1)	Miami	4	8	-	8	(1)		2	(2)	او	
Treek 3 .06 (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) (5) (5) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7	Tonkawa	4	10:	I		1		Ē	ĵ	(1)	
3 .04 3 (1) (1) (1) (2)	Pryor Creek	က	8	1		(1)		Œ		Œ	
Arrow 1 (2) 1 (1) (2) 1 (2) 1 (1) (1) (1) (2) 1 (1) (1) (2) 1 (1) (2) 1 (1) (2) 1 (1) (2) 1 (1) (2) 1 (1) (2) 1 (1) (2) 1 (1) (2) 1 (1) (2) 1 (1) (2) (2) (2) (3.96	Edmond	က	<b>\$</b> ;	တ	(3)	Ξ		Œ		Ē	
Arrow 1 (2) 1 (2) 1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Walters	8	.00	1		1		1		1	
Arrow 1 (2) 1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Guymon	61 ·	<b>2</b> ;	1		l				ı	
Arrow 1 (2) 1 (2) (1) (1) (2) $\frac{1}{1}$ (2) (1) (1) (2) $\frac{1}{1}$ (2) $\frac{1}{1}$ (2) $\frac{1}{1}$ (2) $\frac{1}{1}$ (3) $\frac{1}{1}$ (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (2) (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (3) (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (4) (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (5) (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (7) (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (1) (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (2) (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (3) (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (4) (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (5) (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (7) (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (8) $\frac{1}{1}$ (9) (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (2) $\frac{1}{1}$ (3) (4) $\frac{1}{1}$ (4) $\frac{1}{1}$ (5) $\frac{1}{1}$ (7) $\frac{1}{1}$ (7) $\frac{1}{1}$ (8) $\frac{1}{1}$ (9) $\frac{1}{1}$ (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (1) $\frac{1}{1}$ (2) $\frac{1}{1}$ (3) $\frac{1}{1}$ (4) $\frac{1}{1}$ (5) $\frac{1}{1}$ (7) $\frac{1}{1}$ (7) $\frac{1}{1}$ (8) $\frac{1}{1}$ (8) $\frac{1}{1}$ (9) $\frac{1}{1}$ (1) $\frac{1}{1$	Alva	οı ,	ස් (	1 '	į	1		Η,	8	-	<b>a</b>
Arrow 1 (2)	Cordell	r-1 1	<u> </u>	-	(3)	£		Ē		Ð	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Broken Arrow	⊣,	98	l		I				1	
The (1) (2) 311 12.0 396 11.0 377 10.8 517 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Healdton Tindas	<b>⊣</b> •	36			1		1		ı	
(1) 0.11 (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	Hontshonno Hontshonno	1 €	9	1 5	40	3	,	[	9	1	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Chemical	96		311	12.0	, ge	0.11	31.5	10.8	).TG	17.4
	Cleveland	Ξ		i '	į	Н;	<u>8</u>	<b>-</b>	3	€:	
1 <del>2</del> 11	Cherokee	l		1	<u>ຄ</u>	Ð		Đ		Ð	
(E)	Ficher	1		1				1		Ð	
Let Oity — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Marlow	1		1		1		Ð		Ð	
Konawa	Lei City	1		]		1		1		i	
	Kongwa	!		1		1		i		!	

TABLE V

	RURAL-URBA	N SEX RATIOS OF	RURAL-URBAN SEX RATIOS OF NEGRO POPULATION OF OKLAHOMA-1910-1950	N OF OKLAHOMA-	-1910-1950	
			Males Per 100 Females	Females		Ì
Area	1950	1940	1930	1920	1910	
Urban	88.1	88.1	91.9	99.8	111.5	
Rural-Non farm	100.5	105.2	108.0	104.6	108.8	
Rural	104.8	108.3	108.8	107.1	(1)	
Total	93.8	97.8	101.7	104.3	109.5	
(1) Rural-non far	rm and rural fa	(1) Rural-non farm and rural farm were compiled together for 1010	tather for 1010			

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF NEGRO POPULATION IN OKLAHOMA-1910-1950 TABLE VI

Age Groun	1950	0	1940	0	1930	<u>8</u>	1920	•	1910	0
dron ser	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Under 5 years	17,830	12.2	15,356	9.1	17.337	10.1	16.214	10.9	18.186	13.2
5-9 Years	15,140	10.4	17,022	10.1	19,972	11.5	18,658	12.5	18.280	13.3
10-14 Years	13,170	9.0	17,972	10.6	18,296	10.6	18,684	15.51	16,208	11.8
15-19 Years	12,985	8.9	18,093	10.7	18,811	10.9	16.518	11.1	14.974	10.9
20-24 Years	10,205	7.0	14,656	8.7	17,893	10.4	14.547	2.0	14.344	101
25-44 Years	36,080	24.8	49,351	29.1	50.038	0.0%	40,0 <del>1</del>	27.2	37,007	28.9
45-64 Years	26,385	18.1	26,178	15.6	24,538	14.3	19.388	13.0	14.730	10.7
· 65 years and over	13,320	9.1	10,221	6.0	5,339	3.5	4,338	2.9	3,303	2.4
Unknown					12.	Ξ	397	લ	591	4
All Ages	145,005	100.0	168,840	100.0	172,198	100.0	149,408	100.0	137,612	100.0

FURAL-URBAN DISTRIBUTION OF NEGRO POPULATION OF OKLAHOMA 1940-50 TABLE VII

		State	te			Urbai	an	
Age Group	1950	0	19	1940	1950	S	1940	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Tinder K veere	17.830	12.2	15.856	9.1	10,330	12.0	5,863	7.4
K-0 Voors	15 140	101	17,022	10.1	8.175	9.5	6.457	8.1
10.14 Vests	18 170	06	17.972	10.6	6,615	7.7	7,118	8.8
15-19 Years	12,985	8	18,093	10.7	6,490	2.2	7,549	9.2
20-24 Vears	10.205	7.0	14.656	2.8	6.265	7.2	7,230	9.1
25-29 Years	9.635	8.	13,917	80	6,615	7.7	7,802	8.6
20-34 Vears	8,515	00	12,887	7.8	5,795	6.7	7,480	9.4
85-89 Years	9.280	8	12.547	7.4	6,235	7.2	7,324	9.2
40-44 Years	650	6.6	10.000	5.9	5,610	6.5	5,611	0.2
45-49 Years	8,775	0.0	8,922	5.3	5,585	6.5	4,814	6.0
50-54 Years	7.120	6.7	7.048	4.2	4,485	5.5	3,477	4.4
55-59 Years	5.625	ο Θ	5.865	33.55	3,415	3.0	2,726	8.4
60-64 Years	4.865	67	4.343	2.8	2,835	<b>8</b> 9	1,900	2.4
65-69 Years	5,985	7.	2.000	80	3,355	3.9	2,151	2.7
70-74 Years	3,350	8	2.779	1.6	1,795	2.0	1,180	1.5
75 Years and over	3,985	2.7	2,442	4:	2,235	2.6	1,089	1.3
Total	145,095	100.0	168,849	100.0	85,835	100.0	79,696	100.0

TABLE VII (Continued)

		Rural-Farr	-Farm			Rural-non Farn	n Farm	
Age Group	1	1950	131	1940	1950	8	1940	0
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Inder 5 Years	3,805	13.0	6.682	11.3	3,695	12.2	2.811	9.3
-9 Years	3,750	12.8	7,402	112.6	3,215	10.6	3,163	10.4
0-14 Years	3,550	12.2	7,575	12.9	3,005	6.6	3.284	10.8
15-19 Years	3,480	11.9	7,269	12.3	3,015	6.6	3.275	10.8
20-24 Years	1,500	5.1	4,859	& 89.	2,440	8.0	2,567	80
5-29 Years	1,165	4.0	3,656	6.2	1,855	6.1	2,459	8.1
to-84 Years	1,205	4.1	3,326	5.6	1,515	200	2,101	6.9
5-39 Years	1,430	4.9	3,240	5.5	1,595	5.2	1.983	6.5
0-44 Years	1,595	5.4	2,727	4.6	1,445	4.7	1,662	50
5.49 Years	1,720	5.9	2,637	4.5	1,470	8.4	1.471	4.9
50-54 Years	1,390	4.7	2,341	4.0	1,245	4.1	1,230	4.1
65-59 Years	1,145	3.9	2,098	3.6	1,065	3.5	1,041	8.4
No-64 Years	<b>2</b>	3.0	1,579	2.7	1,130	3.7	<b>8</b>	2.9
55-69 Years	1,190	4.0	1,790	3.0	1,440	4.7	1.059	3.5
70-74 Years	98	2.2	932	1.6	802	2.8	987	2.2
75 Years and over	<b>6</b> 03	2.0	764	1.3	1,145	3.7	88	2.1
<b>Fota</b> l	29,090	100.0	58.877	100.0	30,170	100.0	30.276	1000