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This paper deals with the influence which ten years of fertilization has had on the replaceable sodium and calcium in Kirkland very fine sandy loam soil. No attempt will be made to review the literature on this subject; many investigators having reported various influences of different fertilizers on the reaction and physical condition of the soll.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE EXPERIMENT

In the fall of 1924 a series of plots was laid out on Kirkland very fine sandy loam soil. Each plot contained one-twentieth of an acre, except one which was one-fortieth acre in size, was rectangular in shape, and was separated from adjoining plots by alleys 3.5 feet wide. A fertilizer program based on the triangular system has been followed since the establishment of the plots. A total application of 300 pounds per acre of nitrate of suda, kainit, or superphosphate, elther singly or in combinations has been made each year in the fall at or near the time of seeding the wheat crop. Turkey Red wheat has been grown continuously on the area.

During July, 1933, composite samples from twenty different locations on the respective plots were taken representing the surface 6 inches of soll. The base exchange capacity and the replaceable sodium and calcium were determined using neutral normal ammonia acetate as the replacing reagent. Replaceable is used here to include both actual replaceable and that which is soluble in the acetate solution.

The results are given in Table $I$.

## DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

When either nitrate of soda or kainit or both was used as the chief ingredient in the fertilizer the amount of active sodium increased. The increase was as great whether the fertilizer was mainly kainit or nitrate of soda. It thus appears that kainit may influence the amount of sodium brought into active fcrm in the soil although no analysis is available on the kainit used, and thus its sodium content is unknown. The increase in replaceable sodium in the surface 6 inches of soil receiving the 300 pounds annual application of nitrate of soda accounts for approximately onefourth of the sodium applied. A study of the lower horizons of the profile would no doubt be interesting.

Studies (1,2) recently made of soils in this state indicate the importance of the $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratio in alkali soil particularly as regards thelir ability to produce crops and their behavior toward erosion. solls having a low $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratio tend to deflocculate, are easily puddled, and seal over or run together on the surface after a rain. Such conditions do not allow penetration of water and heavy runoff is the result. Much of our eroded soll in this state is of this nature. Many of the gullies now 00 prominent in our farm lands had as their origin one of these alkall spots. Buch soil has a tendency to remain in suspension once it acquires this state and therefore may be easily carried away in the runoff water.

While the $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios on these fertilized plots are all well above "those in the unfavorable soils examined in the erosion-solonetz soil study, yet the plots having the high replaceable sodium content in this study have a poorer physical condition than those with the lower sodium content.
TABLE $I$.
The Base Exchange Capacity and Replaceable Sodium and Calcium

| Treatment inpounds perAcre $\quad$Base Exchange <br> Capacty in <br> Millequivalents | Replaceable Na Na in Miniequivalents | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Na} \text { saturation } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Percent } \end{gathered}$ | Replaceable Csinilequivalents | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ca Saturation } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Percent } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Check ................................................ 9.922 | . 156 | 1.57 | 4.874 | 49.12 | 31.2 |
| 800 P ................................................. 10.229 | . 146 | 1.43 | 5.229 | 51.12 | 35.8 |
| 225 P, 75 K .......................................... 9.943 | . 142 | 1.43 | 5.181 | 52.11 | 36.4 |
| 225 P, 75 N ............................................10.373 | . 142 | 1.37 | 5.253 | 50.64 | 37.0 |
| Check ................................................. 9.420 | . 125 | 1.33 | 4.926 | 52.29 | 39.4 |
| 150 P, 150 K ...................................... 8.938 | . 309 | 3.45 | 4.842 | 54.17 | 15.6 |
|  | . 192 | 1.99 | 4.188 | 43.47 | 21.8 |
| 150 P, 150 N ..................................... 9.471 | . 192 | 2.03 | 4.067 | 42.94 | 21.2 |
| 75 P, 225 K ......................................... 9.430 | . 268 | 2.84 | 3.793 | 40.22 | 14.1 |
| Check ................................................ 8.877 | . 115 | 1.29 | 4.006 | 45.13 | 34.8 |
| $75 \mathrm{P}, 150 \mathrm{~K}, 75 \mathrm{~N} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ 8.928 ~$ | . 291 | 3.26 | 3.704 | 41.47 | 12.7 |
| 75 P, $75 \mathrm{~K}, 150 \mathrm{~N}$.............................. 8.517 | . 320 | 3.76 | 3.801 | 44.63 | 11.9 |
| Check ................................................ 9.348 | . 182 | 1.95 | 6.149 | 65.88 | 33.8 |
| 800 K ............................................... 8.610 | . 693 | 8.05 | 5.520 | 64.11 | 7.9 |
|  | . 601 | 5.09 | 5.972 | 50.48 | 9.9 |
|  | . 695 | 6.95 | 5.955 | 59.52 | 8.8 |
| Check ................................................... 11.234 | . 192 | 1.71 | 5.713 | 50.85 | 29.7 |
| $75 \mathrm{~K}, 225 \mathrm{~N}$....................................... 10.988 | . 692 | 6.29 | 5.568 | 50.67 | 8.0 |
| 300 N .................................................... 11.193 | . 599 | 5.35 | 5.036 | 44.99 | 8.4 |
| Oheck .......................................................11.316 | . 142 | 1.25 | 5.375 | 47.49 | 37.9 |
|  | . 376 | 3.43 | 4.890 | 44.67 | 13.0 |
| AVERAGE OF CHECKS ...................... 10.019 | . 152 | 1.51 | 5.174 | 51.64 | 84.0 |

- $\mathbf{P}=$ superphosphate; $\mathbf{K}=$ kainit, and $\mathbf{N}=$ nitrate of soda.

Soils having a good physical condition are soils with a high ratio of calcium to sodium in their base exchange complex.

Many soils in Oklahoma have only a very shallow surface and are underlain with subsoils high in replaceable sodium content. Such soils should never be brought under cultivation or if they are now in cultivation they should be protected from erosion because once this surface soil is removed that which remains has no agricultural value.
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