ATTITUDES TOWARD WAR: CHANGING TIMES AND CIRCUMSTANCES

Roger Handberg & William S Maddox, University of Central Florida

. Attitudes toward war as an instrument of national policy have traced out a volatile pattern, depending on the success or failure of particular foreign policy initiatives. Such fluctuations are typical of public opinion in areas such as foreign policy issues characterized by low mass information levels and high levels of chauvinism. Here we will consider opinion changes over nearly two decades in attitudes in the United States toward war.

. A 1961 survey based on student responses found a population which could be classed as hawkish and war approving as a policy alternative. These respondents clearly reflected the geopolitical views embodied in the concepts of the <u>containment</u> <u>doctrine</u> (Putney & Middleton 1962). By contrast, a 1972 study showed that student attitudes toward war had dramatically shifted (Handberg 1972-1973). Apparently traumatized by the American war experience in Viet Nam, the students rejected war as a suitable policy alternative for the United States. Speculation then about the likelihood that such an attitude would continue beyond the Viet Nam War was inconclusive. In both studies, attitudes toward war were measured by the pacifism scale developed by Putney & Middleton (Figure 1), and the results are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

. We will now extend the inquiry to the summer of 1979 from a test of a science attitudes scale on a sample of 157 registered voters in Orange County, Florida, which included the pacifism scale (Handberg & Maddox 1980). These respondents were demographically typical registered voters who were primarily Democrats (60%), but split more evenly in actual party preference (Democrat, 43%, Republican 40%). The sample slightly (57%), over-represents females while the nonwhite proportion is close to the community profile (14%). The mean age was 47 years with an average formal education level of 13 years. The dominant occupational categories were housewife, white collar worker, and retired. About 21 percent were college graduates, and the median income level was \$ 16,500.

. A conclusion from Table 1 is that the 1979 sample falls somewhere between the 1961 hawkish and war-ready sample, and the more pacifist sentiments of the 1972 sample. The 1979 survey came prior to the Iran hostage crisis, which may have accentuated the militarist aspects of public opinion in the United States. The earlier differences by sex reported by Putney & Middleton do not appear in this sample. Males and females are similar according to this index. This similarity of attitudes by males and females is found across a wide range of social issues.

. Unlike the student surveys, education was a variable in this sample. No significant differences were found by educational level. Republicans were marginally less pacifist than Democrats. Given the evidence that many people take their cues from the President, the Democratic partisans were more pacifist as an indirect reflection of President Carter's more pacifist position before the Iranian revolution.

. The most obvious differences on the issue of war occur along racial lines. Black respondents are decidedly more pacifist, and reject war as a policy alternative. The pattern is not unexpected. Since the Viet Nam War, black voters have been least supportive of American involvement in foreign conflicts. Blacks have felt that as a social group, blacks have borne a disproportionate share of the social costs incurred in such foreign adventures. Younger voters were not significantly more pacifist than others. As reported earlier, public attitudes toward war are clearly a situational artifact rather than adherence to a FREE INQUIRY in Creative Sociology Volume 8 No 2 November 1980 221

FIGURE 1: PUTNEY-MIDDLETON PACIFISM SCALE

- 1* The U.S. must be willing to run any risk of war which may be necessary to prevent the spread of Communism.
- 2 If disarmament negotiations are not successful the U.S. should begin a gradual program of military disarmament: i.e., disarm whether other countries do or not.
- 3* Pacifist demonstrations picketing missile bases, peace walks, etc. are harmful the the best interests of the American people.
- 4 The U.S. has no moral right to carry its struggle against communism to the point of risking destruction of the human race.
- 5 It is contrary to my moral principles to participate in war and the killing of other people.
- 6 The real enemy today is no longer communism but rather war itself.
- 7* Pacifism is simply not a practical philosophy in the world today.

* Items reversed in scoring for the scale.

TABLE 1: TEMPORAL SHIFTS IN AGREEMENT WITH THE PUTNEY-MIDDLETON PACIFISM SCALE

ltem	Males				Females			Total		
	1962	1972	1979	1962	1972	1979	1962	1972 1	1979	
1	78%	22%	56%	64%	32%	57%	72%	25%	56%	
2	4	30	17	9	34	12	6	31	14	
3	50	17	42	37	15	40	44	17	41	
4	30	83	66	40	90	55	34	85	59	
5	15	43	28	20	66	36	17	49	33	
6	26	65	45	37	73	58	31	67	53	
7	60	41	67	45	27	52	54	37	59	
(N)	(697)	(109)	(64)	(502)	(41.)	(90)	(1199)	(150)	(157)	

TABLE 2: ATTITUDE SHIFT ON PUTNEY-MIDDLETON PACIFISM SCALE BY POLITICAL PARTY PREFERENCE & RACE

ltem	,	olican 1979	Democ 1972	crat 1979	Race White Black		
1	33%	63%	21%	53%	61%	23%	
2	9	13	38	15	12	27	
3	30	46	12	39	42	18	
4	85	63	88	55	47	59	
5	33	31	53	35	27	64	
6	55	56	78	56	52	64	
7	58	71	30	52	65	14	
(N)	(33)	(52)	(81)	(94)	(132)	(22)	

general moral position (Erskine 1972–1973). The moderating of the earlier anti-war attitudes indicated in the later sample illustrates the marked shifts in public opinion as their perception of the situation shifts.

REFERENCES

.Erskine Hazel 1972-1973 The polls: pacifism & the generation gap. Public Opinion Qtrly 26 616-127

.Handberg Roger 1972-1973 The Viet Nam analogy: student attitudes on war. Public Opinion Qtrly 26 612-615

Handberg R, W Maddox 1980 Twoculture hypothesis & public perceptions of science policy issues. Paper, Southwest Political Science Assn mtg

.Putney Snell, Russell Middleton 1962 Some factors associated with student acceptance or rejection of war. American Sociological Review 27 655-667

GROSS (Continued from page 208)

moral thinking, a person thinks with the subtlety of a relativist, but is capable of putting him/herself in the shoes of the rest of the World's people. This quality of enlightened empathy is the bedrock of principled thinking.

Kohlberg's focus is narrower, and consistently aims at the cognitive antecedents of moral behavior. His levels are levels of understanding. Perry's commitment decision. Kohlberg involves is more directly involved than Perry in the content of moral behavior. Kohlberg's research reveals broad moral universal principles. For the reader interested in moral development, there is opportunity for a penetrating look into the thought and value patterns of a group of elitist students in Perry's work. Kohlberg offers a more comprehensive perspective with a much wider range of articles and empirical studies.

REFERENCES

.Erikson Erik H 1958 Young Man Luther. Volume 8 No 2 November 1980 222

. 1963 Childhood & Society. 2nd ed. New York .Gross Francis L 1978 Intellectual development in the undergraduate. Unpublished paper .Kohlberg Lawrence 1958 Development of Modes of Thinking and Choice in the Years Ten to Sixteen. Unpublished dissertation. 1971 From is to ought. Cognitive Development and Epistemology. T Mischel ed. New York 1973 Moral development in aging human beings. Gerontologist 13 4 500 .Kohlberg L, Carol Gilligan 1971 Adolescent as philosopher. Daed-

alus 1061 Fall .Perry William G 1970 Forms of Intellectual & Ethical Development in College Years. New York

ALLEN & CAVANESS (Cont'd from 216) process may include a reverse flow, and the rating process inherently permits reevaluation in both directions. The distributions seen here, however, are much more consistant with the hypothesis of a net downward flow which operates at a very restrained pace over the early part of the life cycle.

REFERENCES

Allen, D.E., 1969. Perceived child-parent relations. Proceedings, Southwestern Sociological Assn. 19, 168.

Breznitz, S., 1965. Perception of parents by adolescents. Human Relations, 18, 103. May.

Coleman, J.S., 1964. Introduction to Mathematical Sociology. New York Free Press of Glencoe.

Droppleman, L., 1963. Boys' and girls' reports of paternal and maternal behavior. Jour. of Adnor. and Social Psych, 67, 648. July.

Elkin, F., 1955. The myth of adolescent culture. Amer. Sociol. Review, 20, 680. December.

Kagan, J., 1956. The child's perception of the parent. Jour. of Abnor. and Social Psych., 53, 257. September.

Luckey, E., 1960. Marital satisfaction and congruent self-spouse concepts. Social Forces, 39, 53.

Schvanenveldt, J., 1970. Concepts of badness and goodness or parents as perceived by nursery school children. Family Coordinator, 19, 98. January.