Collegiate Aviation Review International

Volume 42 | Issue 1 Position Paper #2

05-22-2024

# Examining Moral Conduct in Aviators Through the Lens of Immanuel Kant's Perfect and Imperfect Duties

Stephen Rice
*Embry Riddle Aeronautical University*

Danielle Rosales
*Embry Riddle Aeronautical University*

Joseph O'Brien
*Embry Riddle Aeronautical University*

David Cross
*Embry Riddle Aeronautical University*

Scott Winter
*Embry Riddle Aeronautical University*

This paper focuses on Kantian ethics, explicitly exploring the implications of Kant's perfect and imperfect duties within the aviation sector. It analyzes how these ethical principles apply to pilot behavior and their impact on safety, professionalism, and trust within flight operations. This paper argues for a culture of integrity and responsibility among aviation professionals and highlights the criticality of ethical leadership concerning pilot performance. Additionally, it outlines potential areas for future research, including empirical studies on pilot behavior and the development of targeted ethical training programs for flight crews, aiming to enhance ethical standards and practices in aviation.

Recommended Citation:
Rice, S., Rosales, D., O'Brien, J., Cross, D., & Winter, S. (2024). Examining moral conduct in aviators through the lens of Immanuel Kant's perfect and imperfect duties. *Collegiate Aviation Review International*, *42*(1), (pending). Retrieved from https://ojs.library.okstate.edu/osu/index.php/CARI/article/view/9768/8616.

In professional ethics, few domains demand rigorous adherence to moral principles as much as the aviation industry. Pilots entrusted with the lives of passengers and the safety of their crew operate in an environment where the most significant risk of ethical violations can be fatal. Within this context, the ethical framework provided by Immanuel Kant, particularly his distinctions between perfect and imperfect duties (Hochberg, 1974; Johnson & Cureton, 2022; Kant, 2017), offers a profound lens through which to examine the conduct of pilots. Kantian ethics, with its emphasis on duty, moral law, and the categorical imperative, provides a structured approach to ethical decision-making that rationalizes the moral responsibilities of pilots not only to their passengers and employers but also to their colleagues and the broader aviation community.

This paper explores the nuances of Kant's perfect and imperfect duties and their relevance to the aviation industry. According to Kant, "perfect duties" are moral obligations categorically binding under all circumstances (e.g., honesty and loyalty). On the other hand, imperfect duties allow for discretion in their fulfillment (e.g., aiding others or pursuing self-improvement) (Hochberg, 1974; Rice et al., 2010). The distinction between these duties becomes especially pertinent in the high-pressure, tightly regulated world of aviation. Violations of either type of duty by pilots can have significant repercussions, not just regarding safety and compliance, but also how such behaviors affect the perceptions and trust among flight crews. These perceptions, in turn, play a critical role in shaping the professional and ethical culture within the aviation sector.

Dissecting the implications of Kant's ethical distinctions for pilot behavior, we focus on the violations of perfect and imperfect duties as they erode trust, diminish professional standards, and ultimately impact the safety and efficiency of flight operations (Rice et al., 2010). Through this exploration, we offer a perspective of the Kantian framework that assimilates high moral standards in a field that demands trust, leadership, and situational awareness. The ensuing discussion will not only highlight the direct impacts of ethical lapses on operational safety but also consider the subtler effects on crew dynamics, morale, and the overall professional ethos of the aviation industry.

## Overview of Kant's Ethical Theory

The famed philosopher Immanuel Kant (1724-1804) espoused a systematic account of ethics that emphasized the role of duty and moral law (Johnson & Cureton, 2022; Kant, 2012). Central to his ethical theory is the distinction between perfect and imperfect duties, where perfect duties are those obligations that are generally universal and are binding for "rational beings" under all circumstances. These perfect duties are derived from the Categorical Imperative, which mandates that one must act only on the maxim they can consistently know to be a universal law without contradiction (Johnson & Cureton, 2022; Kant, 2012). So, for instance, the duty not to lie or to refrain from harming others is a perfect duty. The Categorical Imperative imposes these duties as morally binding in that even the slightest exception to their wants would lead to a logical contradiction and indicate a lapse of social competency.

On the other hand, imperfect duties are obligations that allow for some leeway in how they are to be fulfilled (Hochberg, 1974; Johnson & Cureton, 2022; Kant, 2012). The imperfect

duties are also derived from the Categorical Imperative. However, they are more flexible in that they require individuals to pursue certain ends (happiness of others, self-improvement) without the ends specifying a given set of actions to be chosen at the moment. These imperfect duties are, therefore, sensitive to the variabilities of human circumstances or the need for practical judgment as to how they are to be applied to any given situation. They are morally binding as well, although they do not specify that only one course of action can fulfill the obligation but, instead, can fulfill its general dictates in a way that is proximate to the demands of the context and the capabilities of the agent (Igneski, 2006; Jing, 2013).

## General Aviation Ethics

The principles and regulations governing pilot behavior and performance are deeply entrenched in a framework that mirrors Kantian's emphasis on duty, responsibility, and adherence to universal principles. Aviation ethics are embodied in a cosmopolitan of standards and regulations designed to ensure air travel's safety, security, and fairness (Stanford & Homan, 1999). The standards carefully constructed by international and national governing bodies outline the responsibilities and acceptable practices for flight crews and other professionals in the career field. They address the complexities of the aviation network, from technical competence and decision-making processes to communication and teamwork (Bigelow, 2018; Hoppe, 2018; Patankar, 1995).

Ethical standards in aviation are paramount in ensuring the safety and well-being of passengers, crew, and the public, akin to Kant's imperative for universal applicability. Trust, responsibility, and ethical behavior are the cornerstones of the aviation career field, reflecting a commitment to moral behavior at all levels of autonomy. Trust is critical as passengers and crew entrust their lives to pilots and crew daily (Li et al., 2021; Waymack, 2018b). Responsibility is definitive, as every decision and action could compromise safety. As a consequence of the aviation profession, ethical behavior comfortably provides a foundation for these two pillars to ensure that neither trust nor responsibility is misplaced (Hoppe, 2020).

Applying Kantian ethics to aviation underscores the importance of following one's duty and being guided by universal moral laws to demonstrate that one's actions are guided by the pursuit of safety, trust, and ethical responsibility (Chatzi et al., 2019; Waymack, 2018a). The congruence between the emphasis that Kant placed on moral duty and the ethical underpinnings that serve to guide aviation career professionals serves to underscore a shared commitment to acting in a principled manner designed to protect and serve the larger community. This symbiotic relationship between philosophical theory and pragmatic applications provides a robust backdrop to advance the aviation domain and foster a cultural paradigm for an evolving workforce.

## Kant's Perfect Duties in Aviation

In aviation, applying Kant's concept of perfect duties offers a compelling framework for understanding the ethical obligations of aviation professionals. When viewed through the lens of aviation, these duties can be exemplified by the unwavering adherence to safety protocols and integrity when reporting maintenance issues (Patankar, 1995). Such actions are not merely recommended practices but are seen as moral imperatives that admit no exceptions.

The adherence to safety protocols in aviation can be directly linked to Kant's notion of perfect duties—actions that must always be performed, regardless of the circumstances. This includes the rigorous execution of pre-flight checks, strict compliance with air traffic control instructions, and the meticulous observation of maintenance schedules (Degani & Wiener, 1992; Shaukat et al., 2020). Each action embodies the Kantian imperative to act like one wishes it to become a universal law. In aviation, this means conducting oneself to ensure the utmost safety and well-being of all passengers and crew, aligning with the universal maxim of preserving life and promoting safety (Kant, 1925).

However, the ethical landscape of aviation has its challenges. Potential violations of these perfect duties (e.g., neglecting pre-flight checks or falsifying flight logs) are stark illustrations of ethical failings that can erode the foundational trust upon which the industry stands (Chen et al., 2011). These violations not only compromise the safety of the aircraft and all those aboard but also impact the broader perceptions of reliability and professionalism within the aviation community (Kania, 2018).

When a pilot or aviation technician decides to bypass a safety protocol or misreport maintenance issues, they exceed the moral detriment of social loathing and actively choose to deviate from a universal ethical principle. This decision puts lives at immediate risk and damages the fabric of trust that binds the aviation community (Kapur et al., 2016). This ethical dilemma may influence other flight crews to question their colleagues' reliability and the integrity of the systems in place to ensure their collective job safety (Chan & Li, 2022). This is particularly detrimental in an environment where the margin for error is exceedingly small and the consequences of failure exceptionally catastrophic.

The implications of neglecting these perfect duties extend beyond the immediate risks to safety and professionalism. They undermine the collective commitment to ethical standards essential for the aviation industry's network of performance. When flight crews observe or become aware of instances where their peers have failed to uphold these duties, it introduces uncertainty and vulnerability into an environment that relies heavily on predictability and trust. Such breaches of duty challenge the assumption that all aviation community members are committed to the highest standards of conduct, thereby putting additional strain on professional relationships and the overall ethos of the industry (Prinzel, 2002).

> *Examples*. In one hypothetical example, a student pilot is working to gain flight experience to begin a career as a professional pilot. During the years of obtaining this necessary experience, the pilot adds flights that did not occur to their logbook and purposefully overestimates flight length to record the minimum number of hours more quickly to meet airline hiring minimums.
>
> In another hypothetical example, a professional pilot chooses to violate company and FAA standards on the minimum time from consuming alcohol to beginning the flight. This decision results in the pilot reporting for duty being unfit for flight, putting fellow crewmembers and passengers at risk.

Violations of these duties, by contrast, not only jeopardize the immediate safety of passengers and crew but also inflict long-term damage on the industry's moral foundation. The commitment to these duties, therefore, is not just a matter of professional obligation but a moral imperative ensuring the integrity and sustainability of aviation.

## Kant's Imperfect Duties in Aviation

In the nuanced realm of aviation, Kant's concept of imperfect duties takes on a unique and vital significance, reflecting obligations that are more flexible yet equally foundational to the ethical fabric of the industry. Within aviation, imperfect duties can be exemplified by the commitment to continuous professional development and the endeavor to foster a supportive team environment. Unlike perfect duties, which dictate actions that must always be performed, imperfect duties emphasize pursuing moral goals and improving oneself and one's community. These duties encourage aviation professionals to surpass the minimum requirements, promoting an ethos of excellence, cooperation, and mutual respect (Krivonos, 2007).

Continuous professional development in aviation is sustained by an ongoing commitment to enhancing and ensuring that pilots, engineers, and other aviation professionals remain at the forefront of best practices, technological advancements, and safety protocols (Bates & O'Brien, 2013). Regulations or protocols do not rigidly prescribe this pursuit; instead, it is motivated by a moral commitment to excellence and safety. Similarly, the effort to create and maintain a supportive team environment speaks to an imperfect duty to others. It fosters a culture of open communication, mutual respect, and collaboration—essential for effective teamwork and critical decision-making in high-pressure situations (Flin et al., 2002).

However, the violation of these imperfect duties, though more subtle in their immediate impact than the violation of perfect duties, can diminish cross-disciplinary skills, situational awareness, and an individual's ability to contribute effectively to team objectives and respond adeptly to emergent situations (Gaffney, 2015). This neglect undermines the individual's professional growth and burdens their colleagues, who may need to compensate for these deficiencies in critical moments (Valentine, 2018).

Poor communication and a failure to nurture a supportive team environment similarly harm team cohesion and morale (Kilner & Sheppard, 2010; O'Daniel & Rosenstein, 2008). In the high-stakes world of aviation, where decisions often need to be made quickly and collaboratively, communicating effectively and relying on one's colleagues is paramount. A team that lacks these qualities may find itself fragmented, with members working in isolation rather than in concert. This disunity not only deteriorates the team's operational effectiveness but also impacts the overall morale, leading to a work environment marked by frustration, misunderstanding, and lack of trust.

> *Examples.* In one hypothetical example, an airline crew is on the final approach to landing in a major city. The aircraft is flying at a faster speed than it should be. Rather than abort the landing and try again as required by standard operating procedures, the crew continues the approach and salvages the landing safely.

> In another hypothetical example, the pilot of a small four-seat airplane is supposed to conduct a local sightseeing flight for two passengers; however, three passengers show up. The pilot recognizes that the unexpected passenger will make the aircraft exceed the maximum takeoff weight, but they conduct the flight anyway, believing the aircraft can fly safely overweight.

The implications of neglecting Kant's imperfect duties in aviation extend to include the ethos and culture of the aviation community, shaping perceptions of professionalism, reliability, and mutual respect. When aviation professionals consistently engage in continuous learning and foster a supportive team environment, they reinforce a culture of excellence and ethical integrity (Mintrom, 2014). Conversely, even if subtle, violations of these duties can gradually erode this culture, affecting not just individual teams but the industry.

## Comparative Analysis of Perfect and Imperfect Duties in Aviation

Understanding the comparative effects of ethical violations provides insight into the dynamics within flight crews, which is pivotal to the safety and efficiency of aviation operations. Violating perfect duties, such as adhering strictly to safety protocols or reporting maintenance issues truthfully, has immediate and stark consequences for flight crew dynamics. Consider a hypothetical scenario where a pilot knowingly bypasses a crucial pre-flight check due to time pressure, prioritizing schedule adherence over safety. This action not only jeopardizes the safety of the flight but severely undermines the trust among crew members once discovered. Given its absolute and non-negotiable nature, the breach of a perfect duty is seen as a fundamental betrayal of the crew's collective commitment to safety. The impact on team dynamics is immediate and profound, with trust eroded and professional standards called into question. The knowledge that a crew member willingly compromised safety can lead to a pervasive sense of insecurity and doubt, impairing the team's ability to function cohesively (Palanski et al., 2011).

In contrast, while more subtle, the violation of imperfect duties negatively manipulates team cohesion and morale. Imagine a scenario where a flight crew member consistently needs to pay more attention to opportunities for professional development, gradually becoming less proficient with new navigation technologies. While this oversight may not immediately imperil the flight, it burdens fellow team members with the weight of compensating for another's deficiencies over time. Additionally, if a crew member fails to contribute to a supportive team environment by frequently engaging in poor communication, the cumulative effect can be a decline in team morale and effectiveness. While the violation of ethical framing is not overt, its insidious nature undermines the team's unity and operational efficiency over time (Valentine, 2018).

Illustrative real-world examples vividly underscore the divergence in consequences between violating perfect and imperfect duties. Incidents, where flight safety was compromised due to the deliberate falsification of maintenance records or the willful ignoring of safety protocols, highlight the catastrophic consequences of neglecting perfect duties. These cases often result in significant legal, professional, and reputational consequences for the individuals involved and can lead to a systemic overhaul of procedures and training within the affected organizations.

Conversely, case studies chronicling persistent issues such as deficient communication, mutual disrespect among crew members, or neglecting ongoing professional development may lack the sensationalism of singular, high-profile incidents. However, they contribute to a gradual decline in operational effectiveness, safety standards, and team morale. Over time, these issues manifest in a proliferation of minor incidents or near-misses, each signaling more profound systemic deficiencies within the team or organization (DiazGranados et al., 2023)

> *Case Study*. A flight instructor works at a flight school where they train several students working toward becoming professional pilots. On several occasions, the instructor is seen by students as taking shortcuts around flight school policies. The flight instructor skips essential steps during the pre-flight assessment, completes flights in lower-than-recommended weather conditions, and fails to properly review aircraft documentation before conducting flights. While the flight instructor always tells their students to adhere to all policies, they fail to lead by example, creating mixed messaging to students and encouraging them to behave similarly.

In summary, while breaching perfect duties in aviation yields immediate and severe consequences, the more nuanced neglect of imperfect duties can inflict equally significant harm on flight crew dynamics, safety, and professional norms. Both categories of duties are essential components of aviation's ethical and operational framework, emphasizing the necessity of adopting a comprehensive approach to ethics and professionalism within flight teams.

## Broader Ethical Implications and the Role of Ethical Leadership

The ethical terrain of aviation, molded by the interplay of perfect and imperfect duties, initiates a broader dialogue on aviation safety, professionalism, and the inherent responsibility entrusted to those who manage and navigate the skies. The ramifications of adhering to or violating these duties extend to flight crews, touching on fundamental concerns about the trustworthiness of the aviation industry and its commitment to safeguarding human lives.

The violation of perfect duties, with their unequivocal mandates for safety and honesty, elicits acute ethical questions about the stability of aviation safety. When such duties are neglected, the breach is not merely procedural but moral, questioning the integrity of the systems and individuals within the industry. Negligence of imperfect duties overthrows professionalism and ethical conduct within the aviation community.

Ethical leadership is at the heart of addressing these ethical challenges. From senior pilots to airline executives, leaders within the aviation industry have a critical role in fostering a culture of integrity, responsibility, and continuous improvement (Demirtas, 2015). Ethical leaders are role models, demonstrating the importance of perfect and imperfect duties through their actions and decisions. They cultivate environments where safety and professionalism are paramount, honesty and integrity in reporting are the norm, and continuous professional development and mutual support are actively championed (Freiwald, 2013).

> *Example*. A management team at a charter flight operator is committed to enhancing the safety of their operation. To that end, they work across all employee divisions (pilots,

> flight attendants, technicians, and front office staff) to create a 'just culture' environment. Within this just culture framework, the organization demonstrates a commitment to continuous improvement and safety. Employees are encouraged, recognized, and rewarded for identifying safety issues rather than fearful of punishment or reprisal.

Such leadership proves indispensable in engendering a culture where ethical considerations permeate operational decisions, which are not solely dictated by legal statutes or organizational policies but are informed by a profound comprehension of moral obligation. Ethical leadership in aviation fosters an environment where every team member feels empowered and obligated to uphold the highest standards of safety and professionalism. It ensures that the industry responds reactively to ethical breaches while taking proactive strides to forestall them through education, policy initiatives, and a shared dedication to ethical excellence (Phillips, 2006).

## Potential areas for future research

This exploration into Kantian ethics in aviation suggests several directions for future research, any of which are poised to extend our comprehension of ethical behavior in this critical domain. For example, empirical research into pilots' perceptions of ethical behavior holds the potential to unveil invaluable insights into the practical application of ethical theories in commercial aviation. Such investigations could unveil gaps in training protocols or mismatches between ethical principles and real-world exigencies. Surveys or interviews with pilots and other personnel could serve as vehicles for documenting their grasp of pertinent ethical principles and the extent to which these principles are used in daily activities. For example, one could explore how pilots perceive honesty as a value proposition when reporting technical issues amidst operational pressures to adhere to schedules.

In parallel, legislators and airline industry executives may also wish to develop ethical training programs tailored to flight crews. Additional future research may also explore the effectiveness of various ethical training modules designed to cultivate the making of effective decisions under duress. This line of inquiry might foreground Kant's inculcation of 'perfect' and 'imperfect' duties, for example—a longitudinal study following trainees for incident rates and protocol compliance over time.

Future research may also consider the role of regulators in policing aviation ethical standards. That is, through what policies and oversight mechanisms might the ethical integrity of aviation professionals best be maintained or still better furthered? This line of inquiry might offer case studies of statutory interventions that have improved ethical standards and safety outcomes within commercial airlines. Together, these areas of study promise to enrich the discourse on aviation ethics, offering pathways to enhance the moral integrity of the industry.

## Conclusions

This examination of moral conduct in aviation, as analyzed through Immanuel Kant's perfect and imperfect duties, has carefully noted ethical constructs' profound implications for the aviation industry. The paper has underscored the importance of understanding and fostering ethical behavior in aviation by illustrating perfect and imperfect duties and their potential to

threaten the aviation ecosystem. Through this analysis, the importance of ethical leadership in guiding pilots in developing an ethical aviator culture of integrity and accountability has been emphasized, offering the potential for courses that help navigate aviators through the intricate ethical terrain of aviation.

Looking forward, further efforts are needed to examine the real-world application of Kantian ethics in aviation, including empirical studies that assess how the behavior of pilots is commonly perceived. Such studies would shed additional light on how ethical conduct shapes team dynamics and operational safety. In addition, developing ethical training programs tailor-made for flight crews would help identify how ethical standards and practices might best be inculcated within the industry. In these endeavors lies a potential for elevating our commitment to upholding the highest moral precepts in the never-ending pursuit of aviation excellence.

## References

Bigelow, G. L. (2018). Ethics in aviation from the perspective of a flight attendant. In E. A. Hoppe (Ed.) *Ethical Issues in Aviation* 2nd ed., pp. 113-121). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429436789

Chan, W. T.-K., & Li, W.-C. (2022). Investigating professional values among pilots, cabin crew, ground staff, and managers to develop aviation safety management systems. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, *92*, Article 103370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2022.103370

Chatzi, A. V., Martin, W., Bates, P., & Murray, P. (2019). The unexplored link between communication and trust in aviation maintenance practice. *Aerospace*, *6*(6). https://doi.org/10.3390/aerospace6060066

Chen, C. C., Saparito, P., & Belkin, L. (2011). Responding to trust breaches: The domain specificity of trust and the role of affect. *Journal of Trust Research*, *1*(1), 85-106. https://doi.org/10.1080/21515581.2011.552438

Degani, A., Wiener, E. L. (1992, January 1). Human factors of flight-deck checklists. *NASA Tech Briefs*, *16*(12). https://ntrs.nasa.gov/citations/19920000775

Demirtas, O. (2015). Ethical leadership influence at organizations: Evidence from the field. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *126*(2), 273-284. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-013-1950-5

DiazGranados, D., Woods, J. J., Wilder, A., & Curtis, M. T. (2023). Team dynamics in the air: A review of team research relevant to aviation. In J. R. Keebler, E. H. Lazzara, K. A. Wilson, & E. L. Blickensderfer (Eds.) *Human Factors in Aviation and Aerospace* (3rd ed., pp. 199-215). Academic Press.https://pdf.sciencedirectassets.com/783010/3

Flin, R., O'Connor, P., & Mearns, K. (2002). Crew resource management improving team work in high reliability industries. *Team Performance Management*, *8*(3/4), 68-78. https://doi.org/10.1108/13527590210433366

Freiwald, D. R. (2013). *The effects of ethical leadership and organizational safety culture on safety outcomes* [Doctoral dissertation, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University]. Scholarly Commons: Doctoral Dissertations and Master's Theses. https://commons.erau.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1054&context=edt

Gaffney, P. (2015). The nature and meaning of teamwork. *Journal of the Philosophy of Sport*, *42*(1), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1080/00948705.2014.941849

Hochberg, G. M. (1974). The concept of "possible worlds" and Kant's distinction between perfect and imperfect duties. *Philosophical Studies*, *26*(3/4), 255-262. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00398884

Hoppe, E. A. (2018). The FAA and the ethical dimensions of regulatory capture. In E. A. Hoppe (Ed.) *Ethical Issues in Aviation* (2nd ed., pp. 97-112). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429436789

Hoppe, E. A. (2020). EES perspective: Ethical decision making and the aviation industry. *The Bridge: Linking Engineering and Society*, *50*(2). https://www.nae.edu/234426/EES-Perspective-Ethical-Decision-Making-and-the-Aviation-Industry

Igneski, V. (2006). Perfect and imperfect duties to aid. *Social Theory and Practice*, *32*(3), 439-466. https://doi.org/10.5840/soctheorpract200632321

Jing, L. (2013). Kant's virtue as strength. *Frontiesrs of Philosophy in China*, *8*(3), 451-470. https://doi.org/10.3868/s030-002-013-0036-8

Kania, D. D. (2018). The ethical issues of aviation business in Indonesia. *Jurnal Manajemen Transportasi & Logistik*, *5*(1). https://journal.itltrisakti.ac.id/index.php/jmtranslog/article/view/211/129

Kant, I. (2012). *Groundwork of the metaphysics of morals* (M. J. Gregor, Trans; 2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1785). https://www.cambridge.org/highereducation/books/kant-groundwork-of-the-metaphysics-of-morals/3C27822145D94F9E2F27362C1089810C - contents

Kant, I. (1925). *Fundamental principles of the metaphysics of ethics* (T. K. Abbot, Trans., 10th ed.). Longmans, Green, and Co. https://babel.hathitrust.org/cgi/pt?id=uva.x000266760&seq=8

Kant, I. (2017). *The metaphysics of morals* (M. Gregor, Trans.; L. Denis, Ed.; 2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1797). https://www.cambridge.org/highereducation/books/kant-the-metaphysics-of-morals/7D1394D56E177B8BE620B4B9D7A22301 - contents

Kapur, N., Parand, A., Soukup, T., Reader, T., & Sevdalis, N. (2016). Aviation and healthcare: A comparative review with implications for patient safety. *JRSM Open*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/2054270415616548

Kilner, E., & Sheppard, L. A. (2010). The role of teamwork and communication in the emergency department: A systematic review. *International Emergency Nursing*, *18*(3), 127-137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ienj.2009.05.006

Krivonos, P. D. (2007, June 9-10). *Communication in aviation safety: Lessons learned and lessons required* [Paper presentation]. 2007 Regional Seminar of the Australia and New Zealand Societies of Air Safety Investigators, Wellington, New Zealand. https://asasi.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05.pdf

Li, Y., Liu, Z., Qin, K., Cui, J. Zeng, X., Ji, M., Lan, J., You, X., & Li, Y. (2021). Organizational trust and safety operation behavior in airline pilots: The mediating effects of organizational identification and organizational commitment. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, *92*, Article 102018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2021.102018

Mintrom, M. (2014). Creating cultures of excellence: Strategies and outcomes. *Cogent Education*, *1*(1), Article 934084. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2014.934084

O'Daniel, M., & Rosenstein, A. H. (2008). Professional communication and team collaboration. In R. G. Hughes (Ed.) *Patient Safety and Quality: An Evidence-Based Handbook for Nurses*. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK2637/?report=reader

Palanski, M. E., Kahai, S. S., & Yammarino, F. J. (2011). Team virtues and performance: An examination of transparency, behavioral integrity, and trust. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *99*(2), 201-216. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0650-7

Patankar, M. (1995). Ethics in maintenance. In M. Maddox, C. G. Drury, J. Burnette, & L. Rifkind (Eds.) *Human Factors Guide for Aviation Maintenance* (pp. 247-265). Galaxy Scientific Corporation. https://www.faasafety.gov/files/gslac/courses/content/718/2173/HF Chapter 11.pdf

Phillips, E. D. (2006). Corporate social responsibility in aviation. *Journal of Air Transportation*, *11*(1), 65-87. https://ntrs.nasa.gov/citations/20060046466

Prinzel, L. J. (2002). *The relationship of self-efficacy and complacency in pilot-automation interaction*. NASA. https://ntrs.nasa.gov/api/citations/20020076395/downloads/20020076395.pdf

Rice, S., Trafimow, D., Hunt, G., & Sandry, J. (2010). Generalizing Kant's distinction between perfect and imperfect duties to trust in different situations. *Journal of General Psychology: Experimental, Psychological, and Comparative Psychology*, *137*(1), 20-36. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221300903293048

Johnson, R., & Cureton, A. (2022). Kant's moral philosophy. *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2022/entries/kant-moral/

Shaukat, S., Katscher, M., Wu, C. -L., Delgado, F., & Larrain, H. (2020). Aircraft line maintenance scheduling and optimization. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, *89*, Article 101914. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2020.101914stri

Stanford, L., & Homan, W. (1999). A model of "applied ethics" in aviation safety: The aviation safety reporting system. *Journal of Aviation/Aerospace Education and Research*, *9*(1), 39-44. https://doi.org/10.15394/JAAER.1999.1235

Valentine, M. (2018). When equity seems unfair: The role of justice enforceability in temporary team coordination. *Academy of Management Journal*, *61*(6), 2081-2105. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2016.1101

Waymack, M. H. (2018a). Ethical theories I: Dilemmas and decision-making. In E. A. Hoppe (Ed.) *Ethical Issues in Aviation* (2nd ed., pp. 3-16). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429436789

Waymack, M. H. (2018). Ethical theories II: Rights and character. In E. A. Hoppe (Ed.) *Ethical Issues in Aviation* (2nd ed., pp. 17-27). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429436789